

Digital onboarding and employee outcomes: empirical evidence from the UK

Item Type	Journal article
Authors	Sani, Kareem Folohunso;Adisa, Toyin;Adekoya, Olatunji David;Oruh, Emeka
Citation	Sani, K.F., Adisa, T.A., Adekoya, O.D. and Oruh, E.S. (2023) Digital onboarding and employee outcomes: empirical evidence from the UK. <i>Management Decision</i> , 61(3), pp. 637-654. https://doi.org/10.1108/MD-11-2021-1528
DOI	10.1108/MD-11-2021-1528
Publisher	Emerald
Journal	Management Decision
Download date	2025-08-20 20:57:08
License	https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/
Link to Item	http://hdl.handle.net/2436/625605



Digital Onboarding and Employee Outcomes: Empirical Evidence from the UK

Journal:	<i>Management Decision</i>
Manuscript ID	MD-11-2021-1528.R3
Manuscript Type:	Original Article
Keywords:	Digital onboarding, New hires, Covid-19, Organisational socialisation

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

1
2
3 ABSTRACT:
4

5 Given the sharp rise in the adoption of digital onboarding in employment relations and human
6 resource management practices, largely caused by the continuing COVID-19 pandemic, this study
7 explores the impact of digital onboarding on employees' wellbeing, engagement level,
8 performance, and overall outcomes.
9

10 This study uses an interpretive qualitative research methodology, undertaking semi-structured
11 interviews with 28 participants working in the UK services industry.
12

13 The study finds that digital onboarding has a significant impact on employee outcomes, following the
14 perceptions of 'dwindling social connectedness and personal wellbeing', 'meaningful and
15 meaningless work', and 'poor employee relations' among employees and their employers
16 in the workplace.
17
18

19 CUST_RESEARCH_LIMITATIONS/IMPLICATIONS__(LIMIT_100_WORDS) :No data available.
20

21 Due to the increased adoption of digital onboarding, human resource teams must focus on having
22 considerable human interaction with new hires, even if it means adopting a hybrid approach to
23 onboarding. Human resource teams must ensure that they work together with line managers to
24 promote a welcoming culture for new hires and facilitate organisation-driven socialisation tactics
25 and 'quality' information necessary for supporting new employees. For new employees,
26 besides acquiring the essential digital skills for the workplace, they must accept the changing digital
27 landscape in order to practice effective communication and align with their organisation's goals
28 and values.
29
30

31 CUST_SOCIAL_IMPLICATIONS__(LIMIT_100_WORDS) :No data available.
32

33 Qualitative research on the influence of digital onboarding on employee outcomes is limited, with
34 much of the research yet to substantially consider the impact of digitalisation on the human
35 resource function of onboarding employees as full members of an organisation.
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Digital onboarding and employee outcomes: Empirical evidence from the UK

Abstract

Purpose – Given the sharp rise in the adoption of digital onboarding in employment relations and human resource management practices, largely caused by the continuing COVID-19 pandemic, this study explores the impact of digital onboarding on employees' wellbeing, engagement level, performance, and overall outcomes.

Design/methodology/approach – This study uses an interpretive qualitative research methodology, undertaking semi-structured interviews with 28 participants working in the UK services industry.

Findings – The study finds that digital onboarding has a significant impact on employee outcomes, following the perceptions of 'dwindling social connectedness and personal wellbeing', 'meaningful and meaningless work', and 'poor employee relations' among employees and their employers in the workplace.

Practical implications – Due to the increased adoption of digital onboarding, human resources teams must focus on having considerable human interaction with new hires, even if this means adopting a hybrid approach to onboarding. Human resources teams must ensure that they work together with line managers to promote a welcoming culture for new hires and facilitate organisation-driven socialisation tactics and the 'quality' information necessary for supporting new employees. For new employees, besides acquiring the digital skills that are essential in the workplace, they must accept the changing digital landscape in order to practice effective communication and align their goals and values with those of their organisation.

Originality/value – Qualitative research on the influence of digital onboarding on employee outcomes is limited, with much of the research yet to substantially consider the impact of digitalisation on the human resources function of onboarding employees as full members of an organisation.

Keywords: COVID-19, digital onboarding, new hires, organisational socialisation

Introduction

The discourse on employee outcomes has always generated contentious debate among stakeholders, specifically scholars and practitioners. This contentiousness has only intensified during the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic, which has triggered an unprecedented rise (among organisations) in digital onboarding (among other technological adaptations) (Lund et al., 2021). Broadly speaking, digital onboarding entails the digitalisation of the human resources management (HRM) practice of onboarding by leveraging digital technology to help new hires to adjust and integrate into an organisation (Maurer, 2020). It is therefore important to establish the possible implications of this rise in digital onboarding on employee wellbeing, engagement, performance, and overall outcomes.

Consequently, the rise in digital onboarding is considered to have significant implications for new job starters and those changing jobs (Lund et al., 2021). This is due to the digitalisation of HRM practices, which allows organisations to use digital technology to recruit and onboard new employees into organisations. Thus, using digital technologies, organisations can effectively integrate new employees and familiarise them with their organisational culture, processes, and job roles (Chillakuri, 2020). Digital onboarding is not an entirely new human resources (HR) practice that emerged during the COVID-19 pandemic – organisations have previously exploited the approach to manage new hires, especially geographically dispersed ones. However, its adoption has increased significantly during the pandemic (Goodermote, 2020).

It is against this backdrop that this article explores the impact of the increased adoption of digital onboarding on employee outcomes in the UK. This increase is due to the changing structures perceived to have been built to onboard new hires and bolster positive employee experiences for most employees who undergo digital onboarding. Furthermore, we focus on employees in the UK services industry, since the rising adoption of digital communication

1
2
3 technologies not only increase client engagement but also foster quicker work processes within
4 organisations in this industry. The study aims to address a fundamental question: How has the
5 increased adoption of digital onboarding affected employee outcomes in the UK? This study
6 therefore contributes to the field by providing qualitative evidence (the participants' lived
7 experiences) that advances knowledge on whether digital onboarding affects employee
8 outcomes (positively or negatively).
9

10
11 Furthermore, it is important to note that because this study was carried out during the COVID-
12 19 pandemic, the study findings account for the experiences of the study participants who, as
13 a result of the social-distancing rules during the pandemic, were largely subjected to a virtual
14 (digital) onboarding experience. Therefore, it is not the aim of this study to focus on the effects
15 of the COVID-19 pandemic on digital onboarding in depth. Rather, its aim is to observe the
16 pandemic as a reagent leading to the increased adoption of digital onboarding. As a result, it
17 also has research-related implications on the adoption of digital onboarding in the case of the
18 increasing regularity of remote working post-COVID-19.
19

20
21 The article is structured as follows. The first section presents a review of the extant literature
22 and is followed by a description of the interpretivist research methodology used herein.
23 Thereafter, the findings and a discussion thereof are presented. The study concludes by
24 outlining the research implications and acknowledging its limitations, including some
25 suggestions for future research.
26

27 **Digital onboarding, organisational socialisation, and employee outcomes**

28
29 Onboarding is an HR routine of introducing new employees into their job roles and
30 familiarising them with an organisation's culture, goals, values, rules, and processes (Cable et
31 al., 2013). Digital onboarding is inspired by digital transformation in the workplace, which
32 reinforces the need for digital organisations by using technology to gain a competitive
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 advantage, transforming business processes, and creating value that increases business revenue
4 (Bajer, 2017). The goal of digital onboarding is to create a virtual experience for employees to
5 quickly become absorbed into the organisation in order to make a contribution to the overall
6 business objectives. Moreover, using digital technologies for onboarding facilitates different
7 forms of dynamic capabilities that are suitable for difficult situations (Bharadwaj et al., 2013),
8 such as the COVID-19 pandemic. For instance, digital onboarding during the COVID-19
9 pandemic has affected organisational dynamics – a continuous process of enhancing resources
10 and employee performance through organisational learning, particularly with regard to how
11 employees and employers react and behave towards each other (Turner, 2019; Lund et al.,
12 2021). Moreover, the rapidly increasing adoption of digital onboarding due to social-distancing
13 measures (e.g. remote working) means that digital onboarding appears to be the most viable
14 option for organisations to continue operating and for employees to continue working.

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31 The terms ‘organisational socialisation’ and ‘onboarding’ have been used interchangeably in
32 many studies (Chao, 2012; Klein and Polin, 2012). However, Chao (2012) argues that the
33 unclear distinction between the two terms diminishes the uniqueness of the conceptualisations
34 of each term: socialisation is more individualised and requires individual effort, whereas
35 onboarding is an organisational effort to facilitate employee socialisation. Therefore, Chao
36 (2012) defines socialisation as the learning and adjustment process that enables an individual
37 to assume an organisational role that fits both organisational and individual needs’ Eberl et al.
38 (2012) emphasises that the learning process is ‘social’, enabling the acquisition of the social
39 knowledge and skills needed to understand an organisation’s cultural values and norms; to
40 undertake a particular job role; and to be accepted as a member of the organisation. In this vein,
41 the digital onboarding process can help the socialisation of new employees when there are
42 adequate amounts of organisational, social, and personal resources to help them learn the
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 attitudes, skills, and behaviours required to function effectively in their new work environment
4
5 with the aid of digital technologies (Kowtha, 2018).
6
7

8 Van Maanen and Schein's (1979) typology of socialisation tactics remains one of the most
9
10 widely used socialisation models, and it is divided into six socialisation tactics. Jones (1986)
11
12 further categorised these socialisation tactics into two clusters. First, institutionalised
13
14 socialisation, bordering on the formalised and structured socialisation process, involves
15
16 collective, formal, sequential, fixed, serial, and investiture tactics. Second, individualised
17
18 socialisation, which lacks structure, means that new hires experience neglect and are left to
19
20 determine their success or failure at adjusting to the organisation on their own. This approach
21
22 involves individual, informal, random, variable, disjunctive, and divestiture tactics.
23
24 Consequently, Ashforth et al. (2007) argue that the neglect arising from individualised
25
26 socialisation may motivate innovativeness among new employees but may also engender a
27
28 feeling of abandonment and role ambiguity, leading to adjustment difficulties. Moreover,
29
30 organisational socialisation often produces anxiety for new hires, who are likely to experience
31
32 a 'reality shock', especially those who find the socialisation process challenging (Kodama,
33
34 2017). Within the present context, we envisage significant implications for new employees
35
36 who have had experience with digital onboarding and may find it difficult to establish a
37
38 'normal' social interaction with organisational members since onboarding takes place virtually,
39
40 especially within a difficult situation like the COVID-19 pandemic.
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

48 Organisational socialisation has several implications for employee experience and outcomes,
49
50 particularly with regard to the automated onboarding process (Snell, 2006). In terms of the
51
52 transformation from a traditional to digital onboarding experience, new hires are expected to
53
54 encounter a timely and consistent socialisation experience. The extant research has revealed
55
56 that socialisation yields positive employee outcomes, such as job satisfaction, motivation,
57
58 reduced turnover intentions, organisational commitment, and improved job performance (Eberl
59
60

1
2
3 et al., 2012; Phillips et al., 2015). In contrast, employee characteristics (e.g. personality and
4 self-identity) may generate negative experiences for new hires. For instance, an introverted
5 individual may find it overwhelming and uncomfortable communicating with a group during
6 the socialisation process. Likewise, new hires may feel that some organisational members do
7 not approve of their self-identity or values, thereby undermining adjustment (Phillips et al.,
8 2015). Therefore, Gruman and Saks (2018) proposed a hybrid approach to onboarding for
9 effective organisational socialisation, requiring a proportionate mixture of in-person and virtual
10 onboarding activities. Moreover, within the present context, we envisage that digital
11 onboarding may trigger several outcomes for new employees. Against this backdrop, it is
12 important to explore the employee outcomes of the digital onboarding experience of new
13 employees.

29 **Methodology**

32 ***Research approach and data collection***

34 This study adopts a qualitative method in order to explore the participants' lived experiences
35 and provide rich insights into the researched phenomenon (Saunders et al., 2019). Using the
36 qualitative method allows us to explore the subjective knowledge and choices related to the
37 participants' lived experiences in depth by asking the participants to give detailed accounts of
38 their digital onboarding experiences and the impacts thereof. Moreover, the qualitative method
39 complements prior research on digital onboarding, most of which has adopted quantitative
40 methods, which lack the ability to investigate the participants' responses in depth. Furthermore,
41 the study is guided by interpretivism, allowing us to discover rich narratives from individuals'
42 lived experiences (Creswell and Creswell, 2018). Although some studies have used the
43 qualitative method and interpretive approach to explore onboarding (e.g. Chillakuri et al.,
44 2020), there are not many studies that have explored digital onboarding using the interpretive
45 research approach. Although qualitative and interpretive research lacks generalisability
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 (Saunders et al., 2019), this study's goal is not to clarify reality or a particular truth; instead,
4
5 the methods help us gather in-depth descriptions and subjective views of the participants with
6
7 the intention of understanding the impact of digital onboarding on employee outcomes.
8
9

10 Data collection from employees in the UK services industry occurred between April and July
11
12 2021 through cloud-based video conferencing applications (e.g. Zoom and Microsoft Teams).
13
14 This communication medium was deemed appropriate due to the social-distancing measures in
15
16 place across the country due to the COVID-19 pandemic. The study used semi-structured
17
18 interviews to obtain information from the participants. This method allowed us to ask some
19
20 pre-set open-ended questions, allowing the participants to express their views on the subject in
21
22 a detailed manner (Given, 2008). We applied the snowballing sampling technique to recruit
23
24 even more participants based on the referrals of the initial participants whom we contacted
25
26 (Creswell and Creswell, 2018). The snowballing method was deemed fit for recruiting the
27
28 participants due to the difficulty of reaching them (specifically, due to the inclusion and
29
30 exclusion selection criteria). Supported by Saunders et al. (2019), we depended on the initial
31
32 research participants to nominate some of their acquaintances that would fit this study's line of
33
34 inquiry.
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 The inclusion and exclusion criteria were based on two characteristics. First, the participants
42
43 were required to be new hires, including those who have recently moved jobs within the last
44
45 year. Second, the participants were required to have recently gone through or be going through
46
47 a digital onboarding process. This screening process helped us improve the validity and
48
49 reliability of the research by recruiting participants with first-hand knowledge about the studied
50
51 phenomenon (Gioia et al., 2013). On average, the participants started their new jobs seven
52
53 months prior to being interviewed for this research, and on average, their onboarding
54
55 programmes took 12 weeks to complete. It should be noted that the scope of this study does
56
57 not include an assessment of the technology used, such as the specific ICT artefact/system that
58
59
60

1
2
3 sustains the virtual onboarding process. Instead, the study investigates the employee outcomes
4 based on the managerial practice of onboarding new hires virtually without the requirement to
5 be physically present at the company's premises. Furthermore, we have obtained, through the
6 data, a 'snapshot' of the impacts of digital onboarding on employees' wellbeing, engagement
7 levels, performance, and overall outcomes. It is therefore important to note that generalisability
8 is not a relevant criterion for qualitative research. According to Carminati (2018), the purpose
9 of qualitative research is to provide in-depth explanations and meaning rather than to generalise
10 findings. Future research may consider using a quantitative research method that has the
11 capacity to cover the wider services industry.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

Insert Table 1 about here

25
26
27 The semi-structured interviews lasted an average of 45 minutes, and pseudonyms have been
28 used to conceal the participants' real identities (Saunders et al., 2019), as we assured the
29 participants in the consent/participation form. The interviewing continued until data saturation
30 was achieved – the point at which no new information, themes, or experiences were being
31 discovered (Creswell and Creswell, 2018). A total of twenty-eight semi-structured interviews
32 (17 males, 11 females) aged between 20 and 45 years old were conducted (see Table 1 for
33 detailed demographic information). The interviews began with open-ended questions. For
34 instance, the participants were asked: How did you feel going through a digital onboarding
35 process? Considering your digital onboarding experience, how quickly were you able to adjust
36 to and understand the new work environment and organisational culture? Then, the interviews
37 progressed into more specific questions relating to the participants' experiences of digital
38 onboarding. Some of the specific questions included: What were the outcomes of your digital
39 onboarding experience on your learning and development? How do you think your virtual
40 interaction experiences helped in developing a social understanding of your organisation? What
41 are the effects (positive and negative) of socialising online compared to in-person interaction?
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 These questions enabled us to investigate, interpret, and understand the participants' digital
4 onboarding experiences. Ultimately, this approach provided insights regarding the employee
5
6 outcomes associated with digital onboarding experiences.
7
8
9

10 ***Data analysis***

11
12 Following the data collection, the interviews were transcribed and analysed using the thematic
13 analysis procedure (TAP), a qualitative analysis tool used for identifying, analysing, and
14 reporting patterns or themes within datasets (Braun et al., 2014). We adopted the three stages
15 of TAP used by Pratt et al. (2006). In the first stage, all the information that was transcribed
16 was compiled into one set. Next, the initial concepts were highlighted, using the language that
17 was initially used by the participants. Then, in deriving first-order codes, we used an open-
18 coding system by separating and fragmenting the data into meaningful expressions by creating
19 two-letter words or simple descriptive phrases that captured the interview extracts, all of which
20 related to the key research objective. For instance, there are provisional descriptions from the
21 data excerpts (such as individual personality; isolation; first impression; comfort and wellness;
22 workplace hostility; and intimidation and bullying). The process continued to the second stage
23 of the analysis (see Table 2).
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 After consolidating the first-order codes that emerged from the datasets, we began the process
42 of creating theoretical categories (see Table 2), which is the second stage of the TAP (Pratt et
43 al., 2006). Then, we combined the related patterns and concepts to ensure they were truly
44 representative of the participants' viewpoints by repeatedly reviewing the data. In the final
45 stage, all the conceptual categories were combined to generate theoretical explanations of the
46 studied phenomenon. Then, all the authors' results were compared for the purpose of
47 investigator triangulation (Saunders et al., 2019). We then developed the themes by jointly
48 reviewing and merging the overlapping themes. The themes were re-confirmed, and existing
49 themes were modified; thus, the final themes were established (see Table 2).
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 We followed the validity procedure suggested by Hayashi et al. (2019) during the data analysis
4 process. First, descriptive validity was ascertained by avoiding any distortion to the data that
5 was collected and by ensuring that data was transcribed verbatim. Second, interpretive validity
6 took place by conducting member checks. For instance, we contacted the participants to clarify
7 any discrepancies in the interpretations of their responses and to enable the participants to
8 determine the extent to which they felt that the interpretations reflected their lived experiences.
9
10 The feedback revealed that all the participants were satisfied with the interpretations. Lastly,
11 in order to ensure theoretical validity, the authors iteratively moved back and forth across data
12 and theory. This allowed us to provide a theoretical explanation that would be consistent with
13 the data that was obtained (Hayashi et al., 2019).
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

Insert Table 2 about here

Findings and discussion

30
31
32 As shown in Table 2, the findings of the study are based on three key themes: dwindling social
33 connectedness and personal wellbeing; meaningful and meaningless work; and poor employee
34 relations, as demonstrated in the following sections.
35
36
37
38
39

Dwindling social connections and personal wellbeing

40
41
42 When discussing the digital onboarding experiences of the participants concerning their
43 adjustment to and understanding of the new work environment, a dominant theme was the level
44 of social connectedness within the organisation. Many new hires often have an understandably
45 nerve-racking experience in their first few weeks of starting a new job. Since the COVID-19
46 pandemic has resulted in an increase in the adoption of digital onboarding in most
47 organisations, new hires are likely to experience increased anxiety and stress while trying to
48 acclimatise to their new working environment, especially those who attempt to 'shoehorn' their
49 existing experience into the virtual work setting (Faragher, 2020). Since in-person interaction
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 during the onboarding process has been replaced by digital onboarding, social connectedness
4 remains a profound concern for most participants in this study. Social connectedness refers to
5
6
7
8 ‘the ways in which one can connect to others physically, behaviourally, cognitively, and
9
10 emotionally’ (Holt-Lunstad, 2018, p. 1308). Our data shows that the participants demonstrated
11
12 mixed emotions regarding their digital onboarding experiences and the associated level of
13
14 social connectedness. For example, as one participant mentioned:

15
16
17 I found it quite easy to adjust to the new work environment and new culture despite
18 the pandemic, because I felt the organisation suited my personality. We had an
19 online social activity in my second week on the job, and it was pretty good, because
20 I found it interactive, and it was just one way of showing people’s characters and
21 personalities. For instance, we had a painting activity, and from there, I could see
22 people’s competitive sides coming out...so, I learned a bit about my colleagues’
23 personalities (Participant 1, project manager).
24
25
26
27
28
29

30 In contrast, most participants commented on the negative impacts of digital onboarding on
31 achieving social connectedness. The quote below is typical of their shared experiences:
32
33

34
35
36 I had mixed feelings about my digital onboarding experience during COVID-19. I
37 didn’t feel like it allowed me to have a social understanding of my new workplace,
38 because the whole experience felt like an interaction with a computer – with
39 minimal human connection. In fact, I felt isolated in the first few weeks, and it was
40 mainly my line manager who really kept checking in with me, so I wouldn’t say it
41 was a pleasant experience for the first few weeks, but having spent six months there
42 now, I think there’s been much improvement in social interaction (Participant 4,
43 administrative officer).
44
45
46
47
48
49

50 Most participants believe that digital onboarding engenders social disconnection compared to
51 traditional in-person onboarding. Employees often want to feel supported by their employers,
52 managers, and colleagues, and their perceived level of support often determines their
53 performance and other employee outcomes (Philips et al., 2015). This is especially true for new
54 hires, particularly in their first few weeks on the job. For example, an understanding of ‘how
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 things are done around here' and 'what to do and what not to do' are common concerns about
4
5 the effects of social disconnections due to the digitalised process of onboarding, as expressed
6
7 by most participants.
8
9

10 However, due to the restrictions on close contact (social distancing) with people outside one's
11
12 household, many organisations were left with no choice but to facilitate digital onboarding
13
14 while working remotely. Most participants felt that given the restrictions they faced due to the
15
16 pandemic, face-to-face social interactions would have been more helpful to facilitate
17
18 organisational integration and enable them to clearly understand the organisation's culture.
19
20 Their shared experience reveals that digital onboarding engenders weak relationships and
21
22 results in language loss due to the absence of body language, facial expressions, and hand
23
24 gestures over emails and text messages or the misinterpretation of these elements over video
25
26 calls. For instance, Participant 16, a data analyst, remarked that 'The first impression matters...
27
28 People like me, whose love language is physical touch, prefer in-person interaction, with
29
30 handshaking and hugs being part of the welcoming process'.
31
32
33
34
35

36 Moreover, this finding aligns with those studies that suggest that employees' personality traits
37
38 (especially proactive personalities) influence how quickly they adjust to a new organisation
39
40 (Gruman et al., 2006; Philips et al., 2015), facilitated by effective socialisation. Like these
41
42 studies, the present study finds that personality types (such as introversion and extroversion)
43
44 are determinants of the effectiveness of socialisation. For example, some participants who
45
46 appear to be introverts (e.g. Participants 6, 10, 14, and 18) reported a preference for digital
47
48 onboarding, as they were able to avoid pressures of group social interactions, while the
49
50 extraverted participants (e.g. Participants 2, 8, 15, 19, 22, and 28) preferred social interactions.
51
52
53 Consequently, for most participants, digital onboarding felt more transactional than social, and
54
55 efficiency, standardisation, and continuity seemed more important to their organisations than
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 social connectedness. Faragher (2020) suggests that technology is helpful for HR to automate
4 and facilitate the transactional parts of employees' onboarding experiences (e.g. processing
5 final documentation), but organisations owe it to their employees to be creative in replicating
6 the human aspects of the experience. Therefore, organisational socialisation remains crucial
7 for considering how employees feel socially connected to each other and how these interactions
8 affect their engagement, commitment, and performance even when the process is digitalised.
9
10 Prior studies have advocated the importance of encouraging social connections in the
11 workplace, such that employees can interact freely with each other (Holt-Lunstad, 2018;
12 Moldavanova and Goerdel, 2018), and as a result, the digitalised process of onboarding new
13 employees should be made to foster stronger social relationships rather than weaken them.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 In addition, when discussing the impact of digital onboarding on their socialisation
28 experiences, the participants had mixed perspectives about the influence of digital onboarding
29 on their personal wellbeing. Overall, the consensus strongly upheld by the participants is that
30 social connectedness is also linked to personal wellbeing, thus corroborating previous studies
31 that find that social connectedness in the workplace is critical to employees' psychological
32 wellbeing, physical health (including reducing premature mortality), and cognitive functioning
33 (Holt-Lunstad, 2018). The following quotes exemplify the participants' experiences:
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43

44 I feel that digital onboarding has its positives and its negatives... In my experience
45 of both in-person and digital onboarding, digital onboarding reduces workplace
46 intimidation and bullying. For example, when I started my current job, being
47 behind the camera, you don't really know what people are like, especially in terms
48 of their height. I remember thinking that my line manager would be taller than me
49 [laughs]... The currently discussed return to the office is a no for me, and I'm not
50 bothered about the in-person interaction because it [virtual interaction] preserves
51 my personal wellness (Participant 1, project manager).
52
53
54
55
56
57

58 I am not really convinced by the need for in-person onboarding, because when
59 everyone is in their own space or house, they feel a lot more comfortable and
60

1
2
3 relaxed than when they are all 'suited and booted' in the office... I feel a lot freer
4 at home and can speak my mind because I don't need to be too mindful of who's
5 listening or of being judged by someone who has not had the time to meet me and
6 understand my personality, because that can affect my emotional stability.
7 Moreover, observing the onboarding remotely means that I can be free of the stress
8 of commuting (Participant 12, social worker).
9

10
11
12
13
14 Like Participant 1, three other participants (Participants 15, 19, and 27) raised concerns
15 regarding the negative impacts of in-person onboarding due to the risk of workplace
16 intimidation and bullying. This finding aligns with that of Holt-Lunstad (2018): workplace
17 relationships can be rife with hostility, such as interpersonal mistreatment; a disregard for other
18 people's feelings, or rude or condescending comments, all of which are indicators of a toxic
19 workplace or hostile working environment. These participants raised issues concerning how
20 colleagues' physical appearance (e.g. their manner of dress, body/facial features, and stature)
21 affects their personal wellbeing and expectations, especially when meeting them for the first
22 time. Interestingly, the aforementioned participants were all females. This finding corroborates
23 existing research findings that women (more so than men) are targets of workplace bullying
24 and are particularly vulnerable to workplace intimidation (McCormack et al., 2018). However,
25 their affirmation that with digital onboarding, these challenging experiences have been lowered
26 (but not necessarily eliminated) aligns with the findings of previous studies that suggest that
27 bullying, harassment, and intimidation have extended into the digital work environment (see
28 Sobieraj, 2018).
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 On the other hand, for those who are against or who had an unpleasant digital onboarding
50 experience, the socialisation aspect of onboarding is a grave concern. These participants felt
51 that a simple check-up on new employees by their colleagues (not only line managers) was
52 critical for their social connectedness. Some participants opined, 'It is easier to check up on
53 people in the physical office and ask after their wellbeing than to call them on the phone or
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 connect virtually' (Participant 2, social worker). These concerns are perceived to be triggered
4
5 by the perception that while modern digital communication technology (e.g. video
6
7 conferencing) saves time and costs, it also leads to a phenomenon known as 'video call fatigue'
8
9 (Ames, 2020), especially for workers in the services industry, who require such technologies
10
11 to connect to geographically dispersed clients.
12
13
14

15 In sum, our findings suggest that organisational support through direct physical interactions
16
17 during the onboarding process is important for effective socialisation. It enhances positive
18
19 employee outcomes, such as increased self-efficacy; job motivation and satisfaction; reduced
20
21 turnover intentions; and improved personal wellbeing.
22
23
24

25 *Perceptions of meaningful and meaningless work*

26
27 The discourse typically used by the participants regarding the impact of their digital onboarding
28
29 experiences on their learning and development was related to the extent to which they found
30
31 meaning and purpose in their new roles and new work environment. Employees want to know
32
33 if their work is meaningful and exciting from the beginning, and they often get a snapshot of
34
35 their work during the onboarding process. Despite the relevant roles, duties, and responsibilities
36
37 being detailed in the job description, the onboarding process is expected to provide a much
38
39 clearer understanding of the job. Therefore, when employees perceive their job as boring,
40
41 mundane, and repetitive, they often become demotivated and find it challenging to understand
42
43 how their work is significant in their organisation's success (Chillakuri et al., 2020). In
44
45 addition, organisational socialisation through effective onboarding is facilitated by meaningful
46
47 interactions with managers, co-workers, and customers, thereby enhancing innovativeness and
48
49 creativity among new hires (Cesário and Chambel, 2019). Our findings show how the
50
51 participants perceive meaningful work during their digital onboarding process:
52
53
54
55
56

57 When I started my new job – in the heat of the pandemic – I watched some videos
58
59 provided by my company of what they expect and how my role fills the gap and
60

1
2
3 would add value to the organisation... I liked the experience because I can play the
4 videos repeatedly to boost my morale and remind me of how important my job is
5 to the company (Participant 19, client service officer).
6
7

8
9 Adjusting to the new work environment during the COVID-19 pandemic was fine
10 for me, especially because I'm an advocate of working from home... For me, it
11 was the excitement of something new that helped me, as I was very keen and eager
12 to fully understand what my job role is and how I can help my team and the
13 organisation. For instance, during the first few weeks, I would happily jump up
14 when receiving new office emails to see what would be next and what else they
15 had in store for me (Participant 5, accountant).
16
17
18
19
20

21 Most participants, at the start of their new job, felt very excited and eager to know their
22 organisation and what their job entails, sometimes leading to positive tension; for example,
23 Participant 5 expressed his eagerness to check new official emails and new tasks. Although
24 others may perceive this as one of the dangers of digital onboarding for employees, as Bailey
25 and Madden (2016) suggest, meaningful work is often based on individuals' subjective
26 experiences and could mean different things to different people. In contrast, Michaelson's
27 (2021) normative perspective argues that meaningful work should be meaningful to the
28 individual and others (e.g. organisations) as well as be independent of them. It is generally
29 agreed that meaningful work leads to job satisfaction and increased employee wellbeing (Smids
30 et al., 2019). Therefore, it is important for managers to ensure that (new) employees, through
31 institutionalised socialisation (Jones, 1986), find meaning in their work, such that their work is
32 worthwhile for themselves and their organisation.
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

50 However, after several days and weeks into their digital onboarding experience, only a few
51 participants acknowledged sustained excitement – as they progressed through the onboarding
52 activities, the lack of adequate social interaction extinguished their excitement. Thus, for many,
53 the human-digital interaction reduced their responsiveness and connectivity to their job roles
54 and often resulted in negative tension. The following quotes exemplify their experiences:
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Despite the adverse effects of COVID-19, I started my new job in high spirits
4 because I felt this was something new. I was ‘on cloud nine’, and my friends saw
5 my excitement as a ‘fizzy soda’. But the whole digital process killed that spirit
6 because it took me a long time to figure out my role. I hated that feeling because I
7 lost that satisfaction, thirst, and enthusiasm for work... Imagine just interacting
8 with a computer with lots of information, yet it doesn’t answer all your questions
9 (Participant 28, content producer).

10
11
12
13
14
15
16 Three weeks into my new job was a ‘hell of tension’ because the pressure was
17 enormous, especially with the pandemic causing increased work demands...
18 Because I started teaching from week two after joining the university, I couldn’t
19 complete the online induction and onboarding activities, and HR kept sending me
20 emails to ensure their completion. I needed to convince myself to find meaning in
21 what I was doing, so I took some nights to do the tasks and watch videos that were
22 sometimes so boring that I skipped many [laughing]. I wouldn’t have had to do this
23 online thing [in usual circumstances], but in the ‘new normal’, everything is online
24 (Participant 8, lecturer).

25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32 A significant number of the participants indicated that digital onboarding increases negative
33 tension due to work-related factors (e.g. role overload and work pressure), especially with the
34 pandemic exacerbating this pressure. Although most participants acknowledged receiving
35 adequate remote work facilities and equipment (e.g. a computer, work chairs, and desks), there
36 were several reports of a lack of role clarity due to the limited social interaction with their
37 managers and team members. While certain organisation-related factors (e.g. firm size and the
38 nature of the business) could necessitate the use of digital onboarding to quicken the
39 onboarding process, creating a positive onboarding experience is crucial to enable new
40 employees to adapt to the existing dynamics in the new work environment. Moreover, the
41 majority of the participants seemed to have experienced individualised socialisation due to
42 perceived organisational neglect, such as being made to figure out how to solve problems on
43 their own and adjust to the organisation themselves. For example, as Participants 4, 11, and 22
44 reported, they were mainly able to view frequently asked questions but often failed to get quick

1
2
3 responses to specific questions that would facilitate an understanding of their roles. This
4
5 situation was particularly dominant among the inexperienced younger participants and those
6
7 without prior work experience who unfortunately found themselves working in the unusual
8
9 environment engendered by the pandemic.
10
11

12
13 This study's findings support the arguments of prior studies that workplace digitalisation (e.g.,
14
15 digital onboarding) could potentially lead to a decline in meaningful work (Smids et al., 2019;
16
17 Symon and Whiting, 2019). From a socialisation perspective, it may be assumed that digital
18
19 onboarding significantly changes the social dynamics at work and affects work meaningfulness
20
21 due to limited social interaction, fewer human consultations, less dependency on others, and a
22
23 diminished sense of purpose. Thus, as our findings suggest, employees who feel isolated may
24
25 find work meaningless, which in turn leads to underperformance, job dissatisfaction, poor
26
27 engagement, and demotivation. The tension (positive or negative) experienced during the
28
29 digital onboarding process also influence employee outcomes (positive or negative) and work
30
31 meaningfulness depending on the content of the work and the design of the digital technologies
32
33 used to facilitate the work (Symon and Whiting, 2019). However, Bailey and Madden (2016)
34
35 argue that the greatest meaningfulness may sometimes be experienced through negative
36
37 experiences and struggles. Conversely, if individuals can bear this tension, they may accept
38
39 negative work conditions (stress, over-working, long working hours) as temporary or inevitable
40
41 outcomes of undertaking meaningful work (Symon and Whiting, 2019) at the expense of their
42
43 wellbeing.
44
45
46
47
48

49 ***Poor employee relations***

50
51 When discussing the impact of the virtual interaction experiences (through digital onboarding)
52
53 in developing a social understanding of the organisation, poor employee relations was another
54
55 dominant theme that emerged from our discussions with the participants. The most pronounced
56
57 concern was the lack of transparency and trust in the workplace engendered by digital
58
59
60

1
2
3 onboarding. Transparency and trust in the workplace are part of the organisational culture and
4 identity that define the employee-employer relationship (Pirson and Malhotra, 2011).
5
6 Schnackenberg and Tomlinson (2016, p.1788) define transparency as ‘the perceived quality of
7
8 intentionally shared information from a sender’. This conception of transparency denotes the
9
10 significance of sharing ‘quality information’ or knowledge in a ‘timely’ manner to promote
11
12 trust, awareness, and coherence. Our findings reveal that digital onboarding, especially during
13
14 the pandemic, leads to lower transparency among some organisations, as some participants
15
16 raised significant concerns regarding the trustworthiness of their organisations:
17
18
19
20
21

22
23 I have moved jobs twice during the pandemic, and with my first job, it looked like
24 digital onboarding helped the organisation sweep some relevant information under
25 the carpet, which to me showed a lack of transparency. For instance, my contract
26 states I would have a four-month probation period, but that was extended by
27 another three months... Due to the limited physical interaction in the first few
28 months, understanding my new role was like having a ‘mountain to climb’, leading
29 to some lapses in performance. It was demoralising because it felt like I had no job
30 security, and my trust in the organisation diminished, so I resigned (Participant 23,
31 customer representation officer).
32
33
34
35
36
37

38 Although three participants reported having to undergo an extended probation period due to
39 poor performance, which they blamed on lack of managerial and co-worker support as well as
40 social disconnection, only one (Participant 23) resigned from work. Nevertheless, all three
41 participants had something in common: They felt that their organisations were not transparent
42 with them. Even though the pandemic might have made a typically bad experience much worse,
43 the participants still expected a transparent relationship with their employers. Like Participant
44 3, a social worker, remarked, ‘We all understand the circumstances of COVID-19, but
45 managers cannot keep throwing us under the bus for every little mistake if we do not have the
46 means of connecting to them to clarify work issues and share our feelings’. Therefore,
47 providing employees (especially new hires) with quality information about organisational
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 conduct and culture helps facilitate the effective adjustment of new recruits to their new
4 environment (Bennett and McWhorter, 2021). Moreover, although some researchers feel
5 transparency is a perception of received information (Zhou et al., 2018), employees often prefer
6 that their employers endeavour to ‘intentionally’ divulge or share ‘relevant’ information to
7 boost their trust and organisational commitment (Schnackenberg and Tomlinson, 2016). Our
8 finding supports the assertion of Jiang and Luo (2018) that transparent communication from an
9 organisation impacts employee trust, engagement, performance, and intention to remain. It also
10 aligns with Schnackenberg et al.’s (2020) three-dimensional construct of transparency:
11 perceived information disclosure, clarity, and accuracy. Therefore, when employers fail to
12 disclose relevant, quality, clear, and accurate information to their employees, mistrust results,
13 leading to adverse employee outcomes. This is even more true when considering the limitations
14 of digital onboarding concerning social interactions (especially during the COVID-19
15 pandemic). Employees may find it difficult to raise issues that are both personal and relevant
16 to their work. For most participants, digital onboarding resulted in individualised socialisation
17 tactics, resulting from an ambiguous sequence in the order of the activities or experiences that
18 employees undergo during onboarding (Van Maanen and Schein, 1979; Jones, 1986), leading
19 to adjustment difficulties.

20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43 In addition, most participants raised significant concerns regarding how being micromanaged
44 and unable to bring their whole self to work affected them in developing a social understanding
45 of their organisation. The COVID-19 pandemic seems to have increased the level of managerial
46 control and monitoring, which is a problem relating to organisational trust (Hodder, 2020).
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

In addition, most participants raised significant concerns regarding how being micromanaged and unable to bring their whole self to work affected them in developing a social understanding of their organisation. The COVID-19 pandemic seems to have increased the level of managerial control and monitoring, which is a problem relating to organisational trust (Hodder, 2020). With managers’ jobs on the line, particularly during the pandemic, ensuring productivity became even more of a priority to them than it was before the outbreak of the pandemic, as they want to keep their jobs; hence, they increased their control and surveillance of employees

1
2
3 – even while they were all working from home (Hodder, 2020). The following quotes
4
5 exemplify the participants' experiences:
6
7

8
9 I think the virtual environment exposed me to the feeling that my manager bullies
10 me... I consider being micromanaged as a form of bullying and a lack of trust
11 because my manager is overly involved in my work even while I am working
12 remotely... I understand that as a new employee, I would need more supervision
13 than experienced employees, but when it becomes a case of controlling my whole
14 work structure and undermining my performance, I had to draw the line and express
15 how I felt at the time, because he [manager] was choking me as a result of his
16 insecurities (Participant 12, social worker).
17
18
19
20
21
22

23 In my experience, interacting online with colleagues during the pandemic has not
24 allowed me to bring out the best parts of myself, because, unlike the physical office,
25 I'm not driven to talk about myself. If I was face to face with people in the physical
26 office, I would have been able to bring my whole self to work, and you'd see a bit
27 more of my personality, because that's where people ask you about what kind of
28 music you listen to, or the food you like, or what you did at the weekend... That
29 kind of talk hardly happens online (Participant 25, marketing executive).
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 The participants extended their perceptions of micromanagement to mean workplace
37 intimidation and bullying, evidencing poor employee relations. Some participants (Participants
38 2, 7, 13, and 26) used descriptions such as, 'discouraging independent thinking and decision
39 making', 'requesting overly detailed reports', 'wanting to be cc'ed into every email', 'excessive
40 supervision', and 'wanting perfection' as evidence of micromanagement by their managers.
41
42
43
44
45
46
47 Consequently, the participants perceived that their managers have been undermining their
48 performance and controlling their work processes, resulting in workplace intimidation, anxiety,
49 bullying, distrust, demotivation, and a hesitation to make independent decisions due to a fear
50 of making mistakes. In addition, besides organisational transparency, the participants felt that
51 working remotely and socialising virtually restricts them from being transparent about
52 themselves (e.g. bringing the 'whole self' to work), making it difficult for others to understand
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 their personalities. This finding aligns with that of a Deloitte (2016) study: 61% of employees
4 hide their identities at work to a certain extent. They trivialise parts of themselves to avoid
5 being discriminated against or considered as not taking work seriously. Thus, employers need
6 to rethink how employees are valued (socially and financially) to ensure that they bring out
7 employees' best performance and enhance employee wellbeing (Hodder, 2020).
8
9

10
11 Overall, this study suggests that digital onboarding significantly impacts employee outcomes
12 (positively and negatively). This is shown in the above discussions of how digital onboarding
13 is perceived to lead to dwindling social connectedness and personal wellbeing, such that many
14 new hires find it challenging to build effective social connections and relations with their
15 employers, managers, and colleagues, and all this inadvertently affects their wellbeing.
16 Additionally, digital onboarding can lead to both meaningful and meaningless work, depending
17 on the subjective experiences of new hires and how they find meaning in their work while
18 undergoing digital onboarding. Finally, a lack of trust and transparency, excessive managerial
19 control, intimidation, and bullying all result in poor employee relations among employees and
20 employers in the workplace.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38

39 **Conclusion and implications**

40 This study set out to explore the impacts of the increased adoption of digital onboarding on
41 employee outcomes in the UK. There has been limited qualitative research on the influence of
42 digital onboarding on employee outcomes, with much of the research yet to substantially
43 consider the impact of digitalisation on the HR function of onboarding employees to become
44 full members of an organisation. In addition, most of these studies were conducted before the
45 COVID-19 pandemic. The current study presented empirical evidence of employees' digital
46 onboarding experiences during the pandemic, even though, as was previously stated, its aim
47 was not to focus on the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on digital onboarding in depth.
48 Rather, it aimed to observe the pandemic as a reagent leading to the increased adoption of
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 digital onboarding. Thus, our findings corroborate those of previous studies undertaken before
4 the outbreak of the pandemic and further contribute to the existing literature on digital
5 onboarding.
6
7
8
9

10 In particular, as an empirical contribution, this study reveals three key themes: dwindling social
11 connectedness and personal wellbeing; perceptions of meaningful and meaningless work; and
12 poor employee relations. Therefore, this is a case study of how digital onboarding, even during
13 a turbulent time (in this case, the COVID-19 pandemic), changes the social dynamics at work
14 and affects employee outcomes. Most importantly, our findings suggest that employees are
15 exposed to both the positive and negative impacts of digital onboarding. On a positive note,
16 our findings also show that digital onboarding relatively reduces hostility in the workplace,
17 including bullying, harassment, and intimidation, particularly in the views of employees who
18 perceive that digital onboarding accommodates introverted personalities – the ‘lone-wolf’
19 employees – more than extraverted ones. In terms of the negative impacts of digital onboarding,
20 our study found that digital onboarding results in social disconnection at work, diminishes
21 meaningful work, decreases transparency, and increases mistrust issues at work.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38

39 Furthermore, this study contributes to the scarce literature that reveals the importance of
40 digitalisation within the theoretical concept of socialisation. Given that the future of work
41 requires digital transformation to ensure value creation and strengthen competitiveness (Bajer,
42 2017), our study emphasises the influence of digital onboarding on providing new hires with a
43 social understanding of the workplace. It confirms that for effective socialisation to take place,
44 organisations must depend primarily on institutionalised socialisation tactics, whereby
45 socialisation is driven by the organisation rather than individual employees (Jones, 1986; Eberl
46 et al., 2012). The study demonstrates that although successful socialisation is a function of
47 employees’ individual differences (Gruman et al., 2006), employees cannot be left isolated to
48 adjust to their organisations solely by means of individual tactics. Such a situation can result
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 in significant negative experiences that may lead to negative employee outcomes. As this study
4 shows, employees who feel abandoned in the socialisation process may face the challenges of
5 role ambiguity, trust issues, and meaningless work due to social disconnection from the
6 workplace. Therefore, redesigning the onboarding process to ensure that it is ‘fit for purpose’
7 can result in more positive employee outcomes, such as increased engagement and commitment
8 as well as reduced turnover intentions (Cable et al., 2013).
9

10
11 This study has two important practical implications. First, there are implications for HR
12 professionals regarding onboarding new hires and socialising them to align with the relevant
13 organisational culture, values, and processes. HR must focus on strengthening the onboarding
14 process, especially by recognising the importance of first (and lasting) impressions as crucial
15 factors in the long-term performance and retention of new employees. Therefore, HR must
16 ensure that it works together with line managers to promote a welcoming culture for new hires,
17 facilitate organisation-driven socialisation tactics, and provide ‘quality’ information necessary
18 for supporting new employees rather than leaving them to figure out things on their own.
19 Furthermore, with the increased adoption of digital onboarding, HR must foster considerable
20 human interactions with new hires, even if it means adopting a hybrid approach to onboarding
21 by mixing in-person and virtual onboarding activities (Gruman and Saks, 2018).
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43
44 Second, there are implications for new hires regarding their digital onboarding experiences and
45 socialisation. Despite the importance of individual differences in successful socialisation, new
46 employees need to recognise the growing trends in workplace digitalisation and prepare
47 themselves, by acquiring digital skills, for increased digital social interactions – now during
48 the pandemic more than ever before. Therefore, new employees are encouraged to brace
49 themselves for the changing digital landscape in order to foster effective communication and
50 align themselves with organisational goals and values.
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Limitations and suggestions for future research

One limitation of the study is that it used an interpretive and qualitative research approach, making statistical generalisation difficult or impossible. Instead, it provides in-depth meanings and interpretations of the studied phenomenon. Furthermore, given the subjective nature of the views of the participants and the researchers, this study may be subject to potential bias. The study is also limited to a single country and a single industry context, which may not necessarily be generalisable to other countries. Future research therefore may consider a cross-cultural study that extends the findings of this research to other contexts. Studies undertaken on this topic in the future could also consider using quantitative research instruments to perform a large-scale study that further probes the phenomenon under study. In addition, given the limited scope of this study, such that we did not assess the technology used, including the specific ICT artefact/system that sustains the virtual onboarding process, future research could explore this area, as the different digital onboarding technologies used may result in different outcomes for employees. For instance, the workplace is rapidly changing due to technological advancement and the role of artificial intelligence and augmented reality, as organisations are beginning to shift to using these advanced digital systems and platforms in the workplace to boost employee experiences and develop soft skills, even during onboarding (Fowler, 2019; Meister, 2021). Therefore, future research could investigate the similarities and differences among the ICT artefacts/systems used for digital onboarding and the common role these technologies play as well as the outcomes thereof.

References

- Ames, H. (2020). What is a video call fatigue. Retrieved from medicalnewstoday.com/articles/video-call-fatigue
- Ashforth, B.E., Sluss, D.M. and Saks, A.M. (2007), “Socialization tactics, proactive behavior, and newcomer learning: Integrating socialization models”, *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, Vol. 70, pp. 447–462.
- Bailey, C. and Madden, A. (2016), “What makes work meaningful—or meaningless”. *MIT Sloan Management Review*, Vol. 57, No. 4, pp. 53–61.
- Bajer, J. (2017), “Editorial: Digital transformation of HR”. *Strategic HR Review*, Vol. 16, No. 2, pp. 53–54.
- Bauer, T.N. (2010), “Onboarding new employees: Maximizing success”. The SHRM Foundation’s Effective Practice Guideline Series, Alexandria, VA. Retrieved from www.shrm.org/about/foundation/products/Pages/OnboardingEPG.aspx
- Bennett, E.E. and McWhorter, R.R. (2021), “Virtual HRD’s role in crisis and the post Covid-19 professional lifeworld: Accelerating skills for digital transformation”. *Advances in Developing Human Resources*, Vol. 23, No. 1, pp. 5–25.
- Bharadwaj, A., El Sawy, O., Pavlou, P., Ventrakaman, N., (2013). Digital business strategy: Toward a next generation of insights. *MIS Quarterly*, Vol. 37 No. 2, pp. 471-482.
- Braun, V., Clarke, V. and Terry, G. (2014), “Thematic analysis”. In Rohleder, P. and Lyons, A.C. (eds.). *Qualitative research in clinical and health psychology* (pp. 95–114). London: Macmillan International Higher Education.
- Cable, D.M, Gino, F. and Staats, B.R. (2013), “Reinventing employee onboarding”. *MIT Sloan Management Review*, Vol. 54, No. 3, pp. 23-28.
- Caldwell, C. and Peters, R. (2018), “New employee onboarding – Psychological contracts and ethical perspectives”. *Journal of Management Development*, Vol. 37, No. 1, pp. 27-39.
- Cesário, F. and Chambel, M.J. (2019), “On-boarding new employees: A three-component perspective of welcoming”. *International Journal of Organizational Analysis*, Vol. 27, No. 5, pp. 1465-1479.
- Chao, G.T. (2012), “Organizational socialization: Background, basics, and a blueprint for adjustment at work”, In: Kozlowski, S.W.J. (ed). *The Oxford handbook of organizational psychology*. New York: Oxford University Press, pp. 579-614.
- Chillakuri, B. (2020), “Understanding Generation Z expectations for effective onboarding”. *Journal of Organizational Change Management*, Vol. 33, No. 7, pp. 1277-1296.
- Creswell, J.W. and Creswell, J.D. (2018), “Research design: Qualitative, quantitative and mixed methods approaches”. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE.
- Deloitte (2016), “Uncovering talent: A new mode of inclusion”. Retrieved from <https://www2.deloitte.com/content/dam/Deloitte/us/Documents/about-deloitte/us-about-deloitte-uncovering-talent-a-new-model-of-inclusion.pdf>
- Donthu, N. and Gustafsson, A. (2020), “Effects of COVID-19 on business and research”. *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. 117, pp. 284-289.

- 1
2
3 Eberl, P., Clement, U. and Möller, H. (2012), "Socialising employees' trust in the
4 organisation". *Human Resource Management Journal*, Vol. 22, No. 4, pp. 343-359.
5
6 Eldor, L. and Vigoda-Gadot, E. (2017), "The nature of employee engagement: rethinking the
7 employee-organization relationship". *The International Journal of Human Resource*
8 *Management*, Vol. 28, No. 3, pp. 526-552.
9
10 Faragher, J. (2020), "Why virtual onboarding can still be a warm welcome". Retrieved from
11 [https://www.personneltoday.com/hr/why-virtual-onboarding-can-still-be-a-warm-](https://www.personneltoday.com/hr/why-virtual-onboarding-can-still-be-a-warm-welcome/)
12 [welcome/](https://www.personneltoday.com/hr/why-virtual-onboarding-can-still-be-a-warm-welcome/)
13
14 Fowler, G (2019), "The impact of VR, AI and AR in the workplace". Retrieved from
15 [https://www.forbes.com/sites/forbesbusinessdevelopmentcouncil/2019/11/27/the-](https://www.forbes.com/sites/forbesbusinessdevelopmentcouncil/2019/11/27/the-impact-of-vr-ai-and-ar-in-the-workplace/?sh=30bafb2c132e)
16 [impact-of-vr-ai-and-ar-in-the-workplace/?sh=30bafb2c132e](https://www.forbes.com/sites/forbesbusinessdevelopmentcouncil/2019/11/27/the-impact-of-vr-ai-and-ar-in-the-workplace/?sh=30bafb2c132e)
17
18 Gioia, D.A., Corley, K.G. and Hamilton, A.L. (2013), "Seeking qualitative rigor in inductive
19 research". *Organizational Research Methods*, Vol. 16, No. 1, pp. 15-31.
20
21 Given, L.M. (2008), "The SAGE encyclopedia of qualitative research methods", (Vols. 1-0).
22 Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE.
23
24 Goodermote, C. (2020), "Remote onboarding and training of new program coordinators into
25 the medical education office during Covid-19 social distance quarantine: Process and
26 recommendations", *Journal of Community Hospital Internal Medicine Perspectives*,
27 10(5), 399-401.
28
29 Gruman, J.A., Saks, A.M. and Zweig, D.I. (2006), "Organizational socialization tactics and
30 newcomer proactive behaviors: An integrative study". *Journal of Vocational Behavior*,
31 Vol. 69, pp. 90-104.
32
33 Gursoy, D. and Chi, C.G. (2020), "Effects of COVID-19 pandemic on hospitality industry:
34 review of the current situations and a research agenda". *Journal of Hospitality*
35 *Marketing & Management*, Vol. 29, No. 5, pp. 527-529.
36
37 Hodder, A. (2020), "New technology, work and employment in the era of COVID-19:
38 Reflecting on legacies of research". *New Technology, Work and Employment*, Vol. 35,
39 No. pp. 262-275.
40
41 Holt-Lunstad, J. (2018), "Fostering social connection in the workplace". *American Journal of*
42 *Health Promotion*, Vol. 32, No. 5, pp. 1307-1312.
43
44 International Labour Organization (2020), "Teleworking during the COVID-19 pandemic and
45 beyond: A Practical Guide". Available at: [https://www.ilo.org/wcmsp5/groups/public/-](https://www.ilo.org/wcmsp5/groups/public/-ed_protect/---protrav/---travail/documents/publication/wcms_751232.pdf)
46 [--ed_protect/---protrav/---travail/documents/publication/wcms_751232.pdf](https://www.ilo.org/wcmsp5/groups/public/-ed_protect/---protrav/---travail/documents/publication/wcms_751232.pdf)
47
48 Jiang, H. and Luo, Y. (2018), "Crafting employee trust: From authenticity, transparency to
49 engagement". *Journal of Communication Management*, Vol. 22, No. 2, pp. 138-160.
50
51 Jones, G.R. (1986), "Socialization tactics, self-efficacy, and newcomers' adjustments to
52 organizations". *Academy of Management Journal*, Vol. 29, No. 2, pp. 262-279.
53
54 Kodama, M. (2017), "Functions of career resilience against reality shock, focusing on full-time
55 employees during their first year of work", *Japanese Psychological Research*, Vol. 59,
56 pp. 255-265.
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Kowtha, N.R. (2018), “Organizational socialization of newcomers: The role of professional
4 socialization”, *International Journal of Training and Development*, 22, 87-106.
5
- 6 Lu, S.C. and Tjosvold, D. (2013), “Socialization tactics: Antecedents for goal interdependence
7 and newcomer adjustment and retention”. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, Vol. 83, pp.
8 245-254.
9
- 10 Lund, S., Madgavkar, A., Manyika, J., Smit, S., Ellingrud, K., Meaney, M. and Robinson, O.
11 (2021), “The future of work after COVID-19”, Available at:
12 [https://www.mckinsey.com/~media/mckinsey/featured%20insights/future%20of%20](https://www.mckinsey.com/~media/mckinsey/featured%20insights/future%20of%20organizations/the%20future%20of%20work%20after%20covid%2019/the-future-of-work-after-covid-19-report-vf.pdf?shouldIndex=false)
13 [organizations/the%20future%20of%20work%20after%20covid%2019/the-future-of-](https://www.mckinsey.com/~media/mckinsey/featured%20insights/future%20of%20organizations/the%20future%20of%20work%20after%20covid%2019/the-future-of-work-after-covid-19-report-vf.pdf?shouldIndex=false)
14 [work-after-covid-19-report-vf.pdf?shouldIndex=false](https://www.mckinsey.com/~media/mckinsey/featured%20insights/future%20of%20organizations/the%20future%20of%20work%20after%20covid%2019/the-future-of-work-after-covid-19-report-vf.pdf?shouldIndex=false)
15
- 16 Maurer, R. (2020), Virtual onboarding of remote workers more important than ever. Retrieved
17 from [https://www.shrm.org/resourcesandtools/hr-topics/talent-](https://www.shrm.org/resourcesandtools/hr-topics/talent-acquisition/pages/virtual-onboarding-remote-workers.aspx)
18 [acquisition/pages/virtual-onboarding-remote-workers.aspx](https://www.shrm.org/resourcesandtools/hr-topics/talent-acquisition/pages/virtual-onboarding-remote-workers.aspx)
19
- 20 Meister, J.C. (2021), “How companies are using VR to develop employees’ soft skills”.
21 Retrieved from [https://hbr.org/2021/01/how-companies-are-using-vr-to-develop-](https://hbr.org/2021/01/how-companies-are-using-vr-to-develop-employees-soft-skills)
22 [employees-soft-skills](https://hbr.org/2021/01/how-companies-are-using-vr-to-develop-employees-soft-skills)
23
- 24 Michaelson, C.A. (2021), “Normative meaning of meaningful work”. *Journal of Business*
25 *Ethics*, Vol. 170, pp. 413–428.
26
- 27 Moldavanova, A. and Goerdel, H.T. (2018), “Understanding the puzzle of organizational
28 sustainability: Toward a conceptual framework of organizational social connectedness
29 and sustainability”. *Public Management Review*, Vol. 20, No. 1, pp. 55-81.
30
- 31 Phillips, C., Esterman, A. and Kenny, A. (2015), “The theory of organisational socialisation
32 and its potential for improving transition experiences for new graduate nurses”. *Nurse*
33 *Education Today*, Vol. 35, No. 1, pp. 118–124.
34
- 35 Pirson, M. and Malhotra, D. (2011), “Foundations of organizational trust: What matters to
36 different stakeholders?” *Organization Science*, Vol. 22, No. 4, pp. 1087-1104.
37
- 38 Saunders, M., Lewis, P. and Thornhill, A. (2019), “Research methods for business students”.
39 Harlow: Pearson Education Limited.
40
- 41 Schnackenberg, A. K., Tomlinson, E. and Coen, C. (2020), “The dimensional structure of
42 transparency: A construct validation of transparency as disclosure, clarity, and accuracy
43 in organizations”. *Human Relations*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0018726720933317>
44
- 45 Schnackenberg, A.K. and Tomlinson, E.C. (2016), “Organizational transparency: A new
46 perspective on managing trust in organization-stakeholder relationships”. *Journal of*
47 *Management*, Vol. 42, No. 7, pp. 1784–1810.
48
- 49 Smids, J., Nyholm, S. and Berkers, H. (2019), “Robots in the workplace: A threat to—or
50 opportunity for—meaningful work?” *Philosophy & Technology*, Vol. 33, pp. 503-522.
51
- 52 Snell, A. (2006), “Researching onboarding best practice: using research to connect onboarding
53 processes with employee satisfaction”. *Strategic HR Review*, Vol. 5 No. 6, pp. 32-35.
54
- 55 Sobieraj, S. (2018), “Bitch, slut, skank, cunt: Patterned resistance to women’s visibility in
56 digital publics”. *Information, Communication & Society*, Vol. 21, No. 11, pp. 1700-
57 1714.
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Song, L. and Zhou, Y. (2020), "The COVID-19 pandemic and its impact on the global
4 economy: What does it take to turn crisis into opportunity?". *China & World Economy*,
5 Vol. 28, No. 4, pp. 1-25.
6
7 Symon, G. and Whiting, R. (2019), "The sociomaterial negotiation of social entrepreneurs'
8 meaningful work". *Journal of Management Studies*, Vol. 56, No. 3, pp. 655-684.
9
10 Turner P. (2019), "Understanding organisational dynamics", In: *Leadership in Healthcare* (pp.
11 203-231), Cham: Palgrave Macmillan.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

Appendix

Interview Questionnaire

General Questions

1. How did you feel going through a digital onboarding process?
2. Considering your digital onboarding experience, how quickly were you able to adjust to and understand the new work environment and organisational culture?

Specific Questions

1. What were the outcomes of your digital onboarding experience on your learning and development?
2. Did you feel that you had the necessary support from your organisation (e.g. managers and colleagues) during your onboarding?
3. How do you think your virtual interaction experiences helped in developing a social understanding of your organisation?
4. What are the effects (positive and negative) of socialising online against in-person interaction?
5. Was there any impact of the digital onboarding experience on your wellbeing?

Table 1: Participants' Demographic Information

Characteristics	Range/Categories	Frequencies
Age	18 – 25	5
	26 – 35	14
	36 – 45	9
Gender	Male	17
	Female	11
Occupation	IT	4
	Transport	2
	Health and Social Services	7
	Education	4
	Banking and Finance	5
	Hospitality	4
	Communication, Media and Entertainment	2
Type of business	Sole Proprietorship	3
	Partnership	2
	Corporation/Limited Liability company	5
	Not-for-profit	6
Company revenue (Turnover)	Less than EUR 2 million	2
	Less than EUR 10 million	2
	Less than EUR 50 million	5
	More than EUR 50 million	3
New job duration	0 – 5 months	7
	6 – 10 months	18
	11 – 15 months	3
Duration of digital onboarding program	0 – 5 weeks	5
	6 – 10 weeks	8
	11 – 15 weeks	13
	16 – 20 weeks	2

Table 2: Emerging Themes with Indicative Quotations

Research inquiry	Illustrative quotes	First-order codes	Consolidation of codes and conceptual categories	Main themes
Digital onboarding and impact on its employee outcomes	<p>Working from home feels good and suits my personality because I don't really like being around many people...so, I enjoyed my online onboarding experience – despite the reduced social interaction (Participant 10, Cybersecurity analyst).</p> <p>The social connection is gone...I miss the physical office, because talking to people and sharing one's daily experience is good for mental wellbeing because it's therapeutic... (Participant 17, Sales executive).</p>	<p>Individual personality, isolation, first impression, comfort and wellness, social disconnection, workplace hostility, intimidation and bullying</p>	<p>Social interaction, physical, psychological and cognitive wellbeing</p>	<p>Dwindling social connections and personal wellbeing</p>
	<p>There was excitement and less pressure when I started my job. I feel that I have a purpose in the organisation, and I can contribute my quota (Participant 13, Social worker).</p> <p>There's too much to assimilate in one go, and it's difficult to understand what the job entails when all that you have is a computer in front of you at all time (Participant 15, Marketing executive).</p>	<p>New job excitement, positive and negative tensions, role clarity, information overload, work pressure</p>	<p>Workplace responsiveness and connectivity, work-induced stress, organisational learning and development</p>	<p>Perceptions of meaningful and meaningless work</p>
	<p>...because getting the right information is important for me so that I can trust my employer, and if that is missing from the start due to limited face-to-face interactions, then it will affect my commitment and performance (Participant 18, Project development officer).</p> <p>I want to be able to show my real self and not hide behind the camera. When people see you physically, they can assess you better than when you're online... I also don't like it when my work is undermined, as if it's my fault that I don't get the needed help on time (Participant 2, Social worker).</p>	<p>Information sharing, disclosure, awareness/ambiguity, trustworthiness, bringing one's whole self, micromanagement</p>	<p>Lack of transparency and trust, managerial control, self-identity</p>	<p>Poor employee relations</p>