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Abstract

Purpose

The older prisoner population is growing faster than the older general population and placing a strain on prisons. Much of the existing literature focusses on the healthcare needs of, or in-prison initiatives for, older prisoners. Typically, these are responsive and lacking an evidence-based understanding of the characteristics and needs of this group. There is a need to review and understand what the existing evidence base concludes about the needs of this population.

Design/methodology/approach

This paper presents a systematic review of the existing literature on the needs and characteristics of older people in contact with the criminal justice system. After a thorough search and selection process, 21 papers, from 2002 onwards, were included in the final analysis. The review process was structured through PICOs and reported using PRISMA.

Findings

The contradictions within the existing evidence base make it difficult to reach firm conclusions about the needs and characteristics of older prisoners. What is clear from the existing research are the relatively high levels of need. There is also some consensus that where older people commit homicide the victim is likely to be an intimate partner. Overall, there a need for consistent recording and reporting of characteristics and demographics and more systematic study design.

Originality/ value

This paper has highlighted the key findings and limitations in the existing literature. Future research should make use of secondary official data sources to provide a clearer understanding of the characteristics of this group, their routes to prison, their needs, and challenges they present.
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1.0 Introduction
1.1 The ageing prison population: an international issue

Across the world, the prison population is ageing. In the United States, for example, the number of prisoners over age 55 increased by 181% between 2000 and 2010 (Bureau of Justice Statistics 1990-2010). This is compared to an increase in the overall US prison population of 17% during the same time period (Williams, Goodwin, Baillargeon, Ahalt & Walter, 2012). The most recent data show that 19% of the current US prison population are 50 years and over (Federal Bureau of Prisons, 2019). The same pattern appears to be emerging in the Asia Pacific region: for example, the Philippines saw the older prisoner group increase from 28% to 43% of the prison population between 2002 and 2014 (Bureau of Corrections & Philippine Statistics Authority, 2015). Baidawi et al. (2011) highlight that in Australia the older prisoner population growth has been much greater than the general older population growth, a pattern mirrored in many other countries. In 2009, Japan had one of the highest proportions of older people in prison (Naikakufu, 2009; Ishihara & Kempf-Leonard, 2009) and in 2016 people over 50 years contributed 35% of new male prisoners and 39% of new female prisoners (Ministry of Justice, Japan, 2016). In England and Wales, the number of prisoners aged fifty and over increased by 200% in the decade to 2018 and those age 40-49 increased by around 75% (Ministry of Justice, UK, 2018). In comparison, over the same time period, the number of prisoners in England and Wales age 21-29 decreased, while those age 30-39 remained roughly the same (Ministry of Justice, UK, 2018).

The definition of ‘older’, when referring to individuals in the criminal justice system, is inconsistent in the research literature. However, increasingly researchers are considering individuals as young as fifty as ‘older’ in criminal justice settings (e.g. Gal, 2003; Aday & Krabill, 2013; Wilkinson & Caulfield, 2017). Research examining the prison population notes the accelerated ageing process and high levels of cognitive decline, compared to the general population (Stevens et al., 2018; Davis, Maclagan & Shenk, 2016) and the rise in older prisoners is problematic for a number of reasons. An increase in this group raises questions about the appropriateness and effectiveness of current prison regimes (Baidawi et al., 2011; Trotter & Baidawi, 2015), which are typically designed to be suitable for younger adults. Wilkinson and Caulfield (2017) note that, in prisons in England and Wales, there is often a lack of meaningful activity suitable for older prisoners. This could contribute to the onset of cognitive decline, with which can come increased levels of violence (Davis, Maclagan & Shenk, 2016). Concerns have also been raised about the prevalence of mental and physical health problems in older prisoners (Lemieux et al., 2002), and the resource implications of supporting these needs (Canada et al., 2019; O’Hara et al., 2016).
1.2 The characteristics and needs of older prisoners

Some research has sought to explore the characteristics of older prisoners. Lemieux et al (2002) presented trends from US data, noting that older prisoners tended to be unmarried, white, male, employed prior to incarceration, but never graduated from high school. Older prisoners were either career criminals (prison recidivists), old offenders (first incarcerated age 55 or older), first offenders (first incarcerated before the age of 55), or ‘old-timers’ (growing old in prison) – these categories were first highlighted by Goetting (1984). While it has been argued that the older population in prison can be vulnerable and subject to victimisation (Baidawi, Trotter & Flynn, 2016, Wilkinson & Caulfield, 2017), Lemieux et al (2002) found evidence to support that older people were likely to be arrested for aggravated assault, larceny theft, sex offences, and homicide, challenging assumptions about vulnerability. Recent research supports this, with violent crimes in the older population increasing in prevalence in the UK (The Prison Reform Trust, 2018). There is evidence that older people are more likely than younger people to be arrested for alcohol and drug-related matters (Putkonen et al., 2010; Peterson, 1998; Wong, Lumsden, Fenton and Fenwick, 1995) and crimes against the person (Feldmeyer & Steffensmeier, 2007). In England and Wales, older males in prison are more likely to be serving a sentence for offences that are sexual in nature (45% of all older men in prison) and/or involve violence against the person (23% of all older men in prison) than any other offence type (Prison Reform Trust, 2019).

As noted above, with the increase in older prisoners comes an increase in the prevalence of mental and physical health issues. Commonly reported illnesses issues are psychiatric, cardiovascular, musculoskeletal and respiratory (Prison Reform Trust, 2008; Howse, 2011). Older offenders typically have a high prevalence of chronic health conditions (Merten, Bishop & Williams, 2012; Fazel, et al., 2002) including 9% with mobility issues reported by Fazel, et al. (2002). In the United Kingdom, a Department of Health survey (1999-2000) highlighted that 85% of prisoners aged 60 and over had one or more major illnesses reported in their medical records, while 83% reported at least one chronic illness or disability. Mental health issues are thought to affect half of this population with many individuals experiencing depression arising as a result of imprisonment (Merten, Bishop & Williams, 2012). Despite these figures, mental health issues are often overlooked by those in contact with the older population (Kingston, Mesurier, Yorston, Wardle & Heath, 2011).

Much of the literature has focused on in-prison initiatives for older prisoners, which are typically responsive rather than systematically planned and thoroughly grounded in an evidence-based understanding of the characteristics and needs of this group (Caulfield & Wilkinson, 2017). The
international rise in the older prison population and the challenges posed by this suggests a need to thoroughly review what the research literature to date has said about the needs and characteristics of this group. A better and more coordinated understanding of older prisoners could: a) guide the development of regimes that are less reactive and support the specific needs of older offenders, including the need for meaningful activities while in prison (Hayes, Burns, Turnbull, and Shaw, 2013; NACRO, 2009; Wilkinson & Caulfield, 2017); b) allow for fuller consideration of the risk profile of this group; and c) provide evidence to support the development of appropriate assessment and treatment.

The aim of this paper is to systematically search the literature to select and review all existing and relevant studies that explore the needs and characteristics of older prisoners (using 50 years and over to be inclusive of the variety of definitions found in the literature), excluding their in-prison programme experiences.

Research Question

What are the characteristics and needs of older prisoners?

2.0 Method

2.1 Search Strategy

Databases (see appendix A) were searched using the search terms and strings outlined in appendix B. A total of 3888 papers were imported into Mendeley from the database searches. The research team manually screened the titles and abstracts of the imported papers against the basic search criteria, reducing the total relevant papers to 630. Duplicates were automatically removed by Mendeley using paper title, author(s), and year order, leaving a total of 608 papers. The research team manually searched and removed further duplicates by author, leaving 594 papers. Twelve of these were book reviews and two were tender documents, therefore they were also removed. The research team removed 146 papers due to publication date being before 2001 as - based on Public Health England statistics (Munday, 2017), US data (Gross, 2007), and reporting on global prison trends (Allen, 2015) - 2002 is when the significant rise in older offenders began. This left 433 papers.

2.2 Selection Criteria

The inclusion and exclusion criteria (see below) were discussed in relation to the research aim, and the research team looked through a sample of studies together as an early moderation exercise to
check the application of inclusion and exclusion criteria before performing the exercise. Using Mendeley, two researchers applied the inclusion and exclusion criteria by marking the remaining 433, indicating the papers that focused on the general needs and characteristics of the population and removal of papers that focused on health and social care/ in prison treatment or intervention programmes. Papers that focussed solely on treatment or interventions were excluded as the current systematic review focuses on needs and characteristics rather than treatment programmes and their effectiveness. However, papers containing substantial information about prison or forensic assessments did remain (e.g. Fazel & Grann, 2002) as these papers could contain insight information regarding the characteristics of older prisoners. The researchers assessed half of the remaining papers each and moderated each other’s reviews. A sample of 20 papers, ten from each researcher, were reviewed in the early moderation process. The paper by Loeb et al. (2011) is an example of a paper that had some mention of characteristics but focussed primarily on in-prison health care management factors and therefore was excluded.

After the sifting exercise 54 papers remained for full text review. After full text review, and the application of the inclusion and exclusion criteria, 30 papers remained, but a further nine were removed upon discussion due to the focus and nature of the papers. One of these two papers was a short commentary review and the other described a new model of working in Japan. See PRISMA diagram (appendix C) for more information about the removed and remaining papers.

2.3 Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Include</th>
<th>Exclude</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Literature reviews</td>
<td>Non-English language</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papers in English language</td>
<td>Book reviews</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demographic information on the older offending population¹</td>
<td>Introductory topic overviews</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Characteristics and needs of the older offending population²</td>
<td>Health and social care responses/treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Studies with assessment data</td>
<td>Papers published before 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Papers capturing solely in-prison experiences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Papers presenting solely reasons for the rise</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Older offending generally, as opposed to only older prisoners. The research team identified that research on needs and characteristics has not only looked at prison groups, and so excluding studies focused on arrest data, for example, may exclude important learning.

² In this systematic review paper we use the terms needs and characteristics in a broad sense to include information on health (including mental health), historical information presented about older prisoner’s lives, criminogenic needs, current offence type, offending history background. The inclusion criteria referring to needs and characteristics were purposely kept broad to reduce the risk of useful information being omitted from the search results.
in this population

2.4 Data extraction and analysis

The remaining 21 papers were analysed and synthesised drawing on an approach similar to that proposed by Whittemore and Knafl (2005) of data reduction, data display, data comparison, and verification of conclusions. This approach was appropriate given the ethos of a review method that is inclusive of diverse study methodologies (e.g. interviews, focus group, survey data with quantitative data). This procedure allowed for the process of identifying patterns, which were then grouped together to form the overarching themes.

3.0 Results

Table 1.0 shows that of the 21 papers included in this review, seven were review papers, four were primary empirical studies, and 10 papers presented analysis of existing data sets. Table 1.0 presents information about types of papers and samples included (e.g. country of origin). Table 1.1 presents a summary of the key information and findings from each paper relevant to the research question: What are the characteristics and needs of older prisoners?
Table 1.1 Summary of key findings from the studies included in this review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Participants/population size</th>
<th>Key findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baidawi, Turner, Trotter, Browning, Collier, Connor &amp; Sheehan (2011)</td>
<td>Review paper / position paper, Older people (50+)</td>
<td>Makes a case that defining the ‘older prisoner’ is essential in order to do comparative research and a lack of clarity can impede a firm evidence base being formed around related issues, for example, offence types, recidivism rates, health concerns and prison management programs. It makes a case for further complexity of minority groups within the older population (e.g. females and indigenous in the AUS population).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beaufrère, Belmenouar &amp; Chariot (2014)</td>
<td>Sample of 180 (1% of total arrest records 15,481), Older people in police custody(60+) 92% male and 8% female, Arrest at age 60+ but no distinction between career criminals/old offenders</td>
<td>The proportion of detainees arrested twice or more during the studied year was smaller in detainees over 60 than in those under 60. The suspected crimes included physical assaults (51 of 157, 32%), drunk driving (38, 24%), threats (15, 10%), driving without a license (12,8%), thefts or robberies (8, 5%), sexual assaults (7, 4%), fraud (6, 4%), damage to private property (6, 4%), carrying weapons (6, 4%), traffic accidents (5, 3%), and breach of legislation on foreigners (4, 3%). Among cases of physical assaults, 12 of 51 (24%) were related to domestic violence. In 23 of 180 cases (13%), the suspected crime was unknown. A total of 78 of 170 (46%) expressed some complaints during medical examination, which included pain (54 of 170, 32%), psychological symptoms (10 of 170, 6%), fatigue (9 of 170, 5%), breathing difficulties (4 of 170, 2%), and hunger (3 of 170, 2%).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Block (2013)</td>
<td>Sample of 476 (1.7% of 27,561 cases).</td>
<td>Reviews data from Chicago Homicide Dataset, highlighting the following trends in the data: Older offenders were more likely than younger adult offenders to have killed an intimate partner.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Older homicide perpetrators (60+)
  54 females and 422 males
- Arrest at age 60+ but no distinction in analysis between career criminals/old offenders
- Homicide offending by older people is rare (1.7%) of 27,561 sample
- Top 10 victim relationships after intimate partner: acquaintance (21.9%); friend (16.6%); stranger (12.1%); son (6.5%); neighbour (4.4%); sexual rival (3.6%); roommate (3%); son-in-law (2.7%); customer (2.7%); landlord (2.4%). Compared to male offenders age 25 to 59, victims of older men were more likely to be a son (6.5% and 0.9%), customer (2.7% and 0.5%), or roommate (3.0% and 0.8%) and less likely to be a stranger (12.1% and 17.4%) or a drug dealer (0.3% and 3.4%).
- Aside from intimate partner, the top ten victim relationships of the 26 remaining older female offenders were friend (19.2%), acquaintance (11.5%), neighbour (11.5%), neighbour in an apartment building (7.7%), stranger (7.7%), and cousin, son-in-law, daughter-in-law, child being watched, roommate, patient, tenant, landlady, and business partner (each 3.8%). Compared to women offenders age 25 to 59, victims of older women were more likely to be a neighbour (11.5% and 4.4%) or a neighbour in an apartment building (7.7% and 1.7%), and less likely to be an acquaintance (11.5% and 24.8%), or son (0% and 6.6%).
- Although no distinction made between career criminals/old offenders in the analysis, prior criminal record is cited as a characteristic of the overall group. Older offenders were less likely to have prior violence offence record (31.9%) than men ages 25 – 59 (58.6%).
- Older people were significantly more likely than adults (25-59) to commit suicide at the scene
- Motives: most frequent for intimate partner was ‘general domestic altercation’
- Older people are more likely than younger adults to commit homicide alone

Booth (2016)
- Review paper
- Older people who have sexually offended
- This paper highlights older prisoners needs, health and psychiatric health and issues with sex offender risk assessment. This paper argues that the widely used RNR model focusses on criminogenic needs but does not include mental health needs relevant to an older population (e.g. cognitive decline and hearing impairments).
- This paper makes a case for the over measurement of risk in older offenders, due to the lack of
| Centre for Policy on Ageing (2016) | Older prisoners – general review / position paper Highlights trends based on 2016 prison data of 12,700 older people in prison (England and Wales) | Notes that sexual offences and violence against the person are higher in 50+ male offenders than younger offenders. Suggests that special needs of older prisoners include: social exclusion / segregation; medication; release planning and end of life care provision. |
| Chua, Cheung, Friedman & Taylor (2018) | Systematic review Older people (65+) First time older individuals who have committed sex offences (recent & historic) The review includes 7 papers (5 case report papers & 2 retrospective research studies) with a total sample of 26 individuals | The studies included in this review paper were summarised to highlight the characteristics of first-time older sex offenders, noting that: First time older sex offender’s victims are often vulnerable (either minors or with intellectual disability). Lack of either screening or reporting with regards to psychological and cognitive assessments of older offenders. In the few studies where cognitive assessments had taken place they were not elaborated on. Physical disorder such as physical disability, chronic respiratory failure, difficulties in verbal communication, ambulation (used a wheelchair), and urinary incontinence were, similarly, reported in few studies. Psychiatric diagnosis was recorded in case reports: diagnosis included dementia, depression, vascular... |
dementia, Alzheimer’s disease, frontal lobe dysfunction and chronic schizophrenia.

- The review discussed two types of older offenders (1) repeat offenders but not detected until later life (2) late offenders with a higher proportion of neurocognitive disorder.
- The review highlights a lack of consistent recording and reporting of characteristics and demographics and a need for better designed studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Curtice, Parker, Wismayer &amp; Tomison (2003)</th>
<th>Based on 11-year survey of referrals to regional forensic psychiatric services</th>
<th>Sexual offending was the most common index offence (56%); violent offences (25%); murder/manslaughter (9%) and attempted arson (3%) of cases.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample of 32 case reviews</td>
<td>Older people (65+)</td>
<td>Although no distinction made between career criminals/old offenders in the analysis, prior criminal record is cited as a characteristic of the overall group. The majority (59%) were first time offenders with no previous history.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 males and 1 female</td>
<td>19 were first time offenders</td>
<td>There was no diagnosis of mental disorder in 56% of cases, however, where there was a diagnosis, dementia was the most common (19%); depression (6%); schizophrenia (6%) mild learning disability (3%). This paper found poor use of diagnostic tools and investigations in the assessment process. Alcohol history was noted in 79% of cases, 41% of patients being regular users.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fazel &amp; Grann (2002)</th>
<th>Sample of 210 Older people (60+)</th>
<th>There appear to be important differences in psychiatric morbidity between older offenders and younger offenders who come into contact with forensic psychiatric services.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16 females and 194 males</td>
<td>Forensic psychiatric evaluations</td>
<td>Established that 7% had a diagnosis of dementia; 32% psychotic illness; 8% depressive or anxiety disorder; 15% substance abuse or dependence; 20% personality disorder. Older offenders were significantly less likely than younger offenders to be diagnosed with schizophrenia or personality disorder and more likely dementia or affective psychosis.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| Fazel, Hope, O’Donnell &amp; | Sample of 203 (101 individuals who committed sex offences and | Found 6% of older individuals who committed sex offenses had a psychotic illness; 7% major depressive episode; 33% personality disorder; 1% dementia. These figures did not significantly differ |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Sample Description</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Jacoby (2002)            | 102 individuals who committed non-sex offences                                         | - Differences occurred when considering personality traits – sex offenders had more schizoid, obsessive-compulsive and avoidant traits, and fewer antisocial traits compared with non-sex offenders.  
- Although no distinction was made between career criminals/old offenders/‘old-timers’ in the analysis, median time spent in prison was reviewed and not significantly different for those who had committed sex offences compared with those who had not committed sex offences. |
| Fazel, O’Donnell, Hope, Gulati & Jacoby (2007) | Sample of 100 prisoners  
- Older people (59+)  
- Male sample  
- Sex and non-sex offence comparison  
- No distinction in analysis between career criminals/old offenders/‘old-timers’ | This paper found no evidence to support that older sex offender’s frontal lobes differ from older non-sex offender’s frontal lobes. There were no significant differences in test scores for frontal lobe tasks between the two prisoner samples.  
- There were no significant differences in socio-economic class, although there was a trend for sex offenders to be from lower socio-economic classes.  
- Although no distinction made between career criminals/old offenders/‘old-timers’ in the analysis, median time spent in prison was reviewed and not significantly different for those who had committed sex offences compared with those who did not commit sex offences. |
| Feldmeyer & Steffensmeier (2007) | Older people (55+)  
- Federal Bureau of Investigation data – reports from uniformed police officers  
- Data was taken across different years for comparison (e.g. 1980 = 833 arrests; 1990 = 657 arrests and 2004 = 590 arrests in 55+) | - Looked at trends in older offender crime rates over 25 years noting that there has been very little change in the profile of the older offender, with arrests continuing to be overwhelmingly for minor offences and alcohol-related violations.  
- Shifts in crime committed by older people have been paralleled by similar trends among the nonelderly, indicating that recent social, economic, and legal changes have had similar impacts on arrest patterns across age groups. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>No distinction in analysis between career criminals/old offenders</th>
<th>Reports that older prisoners are far less likely to recidivate compared to the younger population.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Greene &amp; Gibson (2013)</td>
<td>Book chapter - review</td>
<td>Some key features for older individuals in prison are the accelerating aging process; low levels of self-care; high psychiatric conditions, social and emotional affects; victimisation; cut off contact to reduce their suffering and negative self-reflection of their lives.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Older people in the legal system</td>
<td>Notes that older offenders present issues as diverse as: health care needs; end-of-life care and decisions; social security, medication, and Medicare entitlements; estate planning, wills, trusts, and probate, cognitive impairment and guardianship, and elder abuse.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Older people (55+) in the legal system</td>
<td>The 55-64 age group showed an increase in the number of violent, property, and drug crime arrests during 2000-2004.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Violent crime rate decreased slightly for those aged 65+, while property and drug crime rates remained relatively stable for this age group.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunt et al. (2010)</td>
<td>Sample of 365</td>
<td>Highlights some key factors and characteristics of older perpetrators of homicide, such as:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Older homicide perpetrators (311 were 45-64 and 54 were 65+)</td>
<td>Perpetrators aged 65 and over were most likely to use strangulation/suffocation and the victim was more often a female and a family member or spouse.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90% were male and 10% were female</td>
<td>Older perpetrators had high rates of affective disorder and were more likely to be mentally ill at the time of the offence.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8-year period (1997-2004)</td>
<td>Of the 54 older perpetrators who killed a family member or spouse, seven (13%) were considered ‘mercy killings’.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Data from the National Confidential Inquiry into Homicide by people with Mental Illness</td>
<td>Although no distinction made between career criminals/old offenders in the overall analysis, 6/54 of the 65+ group and 92/311 of the 45-64 group had a previous conviction for a violent offence.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(Appleby et al., 1999)
- No distinction in analysis between career criminals/old offenders

**Overshott et al. (2012)**
- Sample of older prisoners (60+)
- 91% male (43) and 9% female (4)
- Data from the National Confidential Inquiry into Homicide by people with Mental Illness (Appleby et al., 1999)
- No distinction between career criminals/old offenders
- 2662 perpetrators convicted of homicide were reported to the Inquiry. 47 (2%) of the perpetrators were aged 60 years or older; 22 of the 47 were 65 years or older.
- Homicide incidents perpetrated by older people typically involved a man killing his partner in an impulsive manner. The most common method was by using a sharp instrument (34%), followed by the use of a blunt instrument (26%). The use of firearms was rare (11%).
- Perpetrators aged 65 years and older were significantly more likely to kill a current or former spouse/partner and less likely to kill an acquaintance.
- Forty-four per cent of perpetrators over 65 years old suffered from depression at the time of the offence. Rates of schizophrenia and alcohol dependence were low.

- Sample of 25
- Older homicide perpetrators (60+)
- Three females and 22 males
- Analysis of large national dataset
- Explores differences between career criminals/old offenders
- Presents data on older homicide perpetrators who were in a forensic psychiatric examination in Finland 1995–2004 and their gender-matched comparison group of younger homicide offenders. The study found that:
  - Offenders 60 years or older were diagnosed less often than the younger ones with drug dependence and personality disorders and more often with dementia and physical illnesses.
  - The mean Psychopathy Checklist—Revised total scores as well as factor and facet scores were lower in the 60 or older age group. The 60 or older age group had significantly lower scores than younger perpetrators on eight individual items of social deviance. The interpersonal/affective factor 1 scores did not differ.
  - The older offenders with previous criminal offending differed from those without in two ways: they had higher rates of alcohol abuse/dependence (75% vs. 31%) and were diagnosed more often with a personality disorder (67% vs. 23%).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Sample details</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Reutens, Nielsens & Large (2015) | • Sample of 87 cases  
• Older homicide perpetrators (55+)  
• 57 males and 30 females  
• Secondary data analysis of database searches  
• No distinction in analysis between career criminals/old offenders | Presents a systematic search of legal, criminological and media databases for cases of homicide committed by people aged 55 and over, during the 18 years from 1993 to 2010. Eighty-seven cases were identified through databases. Legal documents were obtained for 70 offenders (about 5% of homicides committed in NSW in the period of the study).  
• The proportions of male offenders and rates of firearm use were similar to other age groups. Twelve of the 14 homicides using guns occurred outside the metropolitan area.  
• Older offenders were more likely than younger perpetrators to have cognitive impairment or psychotic illness.  
• Victims were more likely to be female and in a domestic relationship with the offender. |
| Rodriguez, Boyce & Hodges (2017) | • Sample of 100 (32 first time sex offenders; 36 historic sex offenders; 32 non sexual offenders)  
• Older people (50+)  
• Male sample  
• Empirical study | Presents the findings from a battery of neuropsychological measures administered to 100 participants (32 first time sex offenders; 36 historic sex offenders; 32 non sexual offenders).  
• Both FTSOs and HSOs showed significant impairment on tests of executive function (including verbal fluency, trail-making, and the Hayling test of response inhibition) as well as on tests of verbal and verbal memory compared to NSOs;  
• There was no difference between the two sex offender groups. |
| Stanback & King-Kallimanis (2011) | • Sample of 972  
• Older homicide perpetrators (50+)  
• Male sample  
• Secondary data analysis of Chicago Homicide Dataset  
• No distinction in analysis between career criminals/old offenders | Presents analysis of the Chicago Homicide Dataset (1965-1995) and investigates covariates associated with four categories of homicide committed by older offenders: intimate, family, acquaintance, and unrelated victims. The findings reveal that:  
• in intimate and family comparisons, women were at a significantly higher risk of victimisation.  
• Crimes that occurred in residences were significantly associated with all three homicides groups compared with unrelated homicides.  
• The use of alcohol was significant in crimes where an acquaintance was the victim. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Sample and Analysis</th>
<th>Explores policies and programs for older female prisoners. Interviews were conducted with the Federal Bureau of Prisons and state Department of Corrections (N = 40/51) about their policies and programs for older female prisoners.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Williams & Rikard (2005) | - Sample of 51 departments of corrections  
- Older women (45+)  
- No distinction in analysis between career criminals/old offenders/old-timers. | - While 23 States provided care for ageing male prisoners, only two reported providing provision for older female prisoners.  
- The discussion highlights that female prisoners tend to have greater mental health needs and it is important to highlight the gendered needs of older prisoners.  
- As this paper focuses on programs and policies it is now outdated. |
| Yorston (2010)   | - Older prisoners  
- Review paper | Primarily focuses on the characteristics of older prisoners. The paper highlights that:  
- the types of offences being committed has remained stable  
- there is an over-representation of sexual offences against children  
- there are higher levels of psychotic and mental disorders in older homicide offenders  
- there is a lack of evidence around some types of crime (e.g. arson and acquisitive offending)  
- Alcohol is becoming recognised as a problem.  
- The paper also mentions that there is a lack of research about delirium and personality disorders, some research about dementia.  
- The review suggests older people may make greater use of firearms (findings from US studies) and that there are lower arrest and conviction rates for older people. |
4.0 Summary of results and concluding discussion

The population focus of the papers was as follows: General older prisoners, seven papers; Female older prisoners, one paper; Psychiatric evaluated older prisoners, two papers; Older sex offenders, five papers; Older homicide offenders, six papers (see table 1.0 for the breakdown). Thematic summaries of these papers are presented below, under these headings.

4.1 General

Four of the seven papers considered within this category were review papers. The papers that presented new research findings included data outlining the types of offences committed by this group. Beaufrère et al. (2014) found physical assaults were the main suspected crime in their sample of 180 older suspects in France. The Centre for Policy on Ageing (2016) noted that violent crimes remained consistent across all age groups for male offenders in the UK (under 25; 25-49; 50-59; 60+) while the proportion of sexual offences were highest in older offenders (13% of 25-49 year olds; 34% of 50-59 year olds; & 59% of 60+). The types of offence committed by female offenders remained relatively stable across age groups (Centre for Policy on Ageing, 2016). Some studies note the relatively stable nature of offending by older people. Feldmeyer and Steffensmeier (2007) for example found very little change in the profile of the older offender, with arrests mainly for minor offences and alcohol-related violations. However, the most recent data in that study is now 15 years old. Similarly, Yorston’s (2010) review paper suggests that the types of offences being committed by older people have remained stable. However, contradictions appear in the literature. Gross’ (2007) review paper, for example, notes an increase in violent crime among older people. While contradictions appear, both Feldmeyer and Steffensmeier (2007) and Yorston (2010) highlighted the increased recognition of the role of alcohol in offences committed by older people and Yorston (2010) notes the overrepresentation of sexual offences committed by older offenders.

A number of papers included in this review discuss the health needs of this population, including physical health issues as well as psychiatric and psychological health concerns (Beaufrère et al., 2014; Centre for Policy on Ageing, 2016; Greene & Gibson, 2013; Yorston, 2010). The prison environment is designed for young and able-bodied people (Baidawi et al., 2011) and older adults are often not willing or able to participate in correctional, criminal, or probation programmes (Greene and Gibson, 2013). The rise in numbers of older prisoners makes it difficult to ignore the needs of this population. The potential vulnerability and victimisation of older prisoners is also noted in some studies (Centre for Policy on Ageing, 2016; Baidawi et al., 2011). Yorston (2010), however,
highlights that — when considering risk assessment — the ‘potential for causing harm should never be underestimated on the basis of age alone’ (p 695).

The research in this section presents agreement over the existence of physical and mental health needs in the older offender population, but there is a lack of consistency in terms of the reported offending patterns of this group. The various methodologies employed (e.g. some present a snapshot in time, other comparisons over time, others comparisons against other age groups) make comparison between studies difficult. Baidawi et al makes the case that defining the ‘older prisoner’ is essential in order to do comparative research and a lack of clarity can impede a firm evidence base being formed around related issues, for example, offence types, recidivism rates, health concerns and prison management programs. The data presented in these empirical and review studies is sometimes based on small sample sizes (e.g. Beaufrère et al., 2014), varied in methodology, and typically fails to make a clear distinction between career criminals/old offenders.

4.2 Female

Williams and Rikard (2005) conducted the only study found through this systematic review to focus solely on older female prisoners. While their research focused on policies and programs, Williams and Rickard (2005) highlight that the characteristics of older female prisoners may mean they are particularly low risk, but that there is a need to take account of gender-specific needs. For example, women in contact with the criminal justice system have particularly high levels of need around mental health (Caulfield, 2016). Baidawi et al. (2014), in their review paper, note the likely complexity of ‘minority’ groups, including women.

Eight papers (Beaufrère et al., 2014); Block, 2013; Curtice et al., 2003; Fazel & Grann, 2002; Hunt et al., 2010; Overshott et al., 2012; Putkonen et al., 2010; Reutens et al., 2015) include women and men in their samples, although only Block and Fazel and Grann include any breakdown of findings according to gender. Block, in her homicide study, found that older women and men were most likely to have killed an intimate partner, with friend second and acquaintance third for women (& reversed for men). Fazel and Grann report that older women were less likely than men to be ‘deemed insane’ (p.911), contrary to those younger than 60. They make no further reference to gender in their analysis. Unsurprisingly, given that men make up the majority of those in contact with the criminal justice system, the numbers of women in these studies is small (ranging from 1 woman, Curtice et al. to 54 women, Block).
4.3 Psychiatric

Curtice et al. (2003) found that of the 32 cases of older offenders referred to a regional psychology service in the UK, sexual offending was the most common offence. Dementia was a common diagnosis in the cases reviewed (19%). In a review of the psychiatric evaluations of 210 older offenders, Fazel and Grann (2002, also UK) found that this group were less likely to be diagnosed with a mental health condition (including schizophrenia and personality disorders) than younger offenders, but more likely than then general offending population to be diagnosed with dementia or affective psychosis. Yorston et al., (2010) notes homicide followed by suicide is more common in older adults than younger homicide perpetrators. These findings suggest important differences in the psychiatric and cognitive needs of older and younger prisoners, which should be investigated further - and if more widely applicable - taken into account when planning provision for older prisoners. However, the limited recent published literature available on the needs of this group means more systematic research is needed in this area.

4.4 Sex Offenders

In his review of research on older sex offenders, Booth (2016: 5) states that ‘when faced with an older sexual offender, the index of suspicion for cognitive issues should be high’, although he notes that the general risk level presented by this group may be lower than younger sex offenders. Fazel et al. (2002) report similarly high levels of mental health problems and neurocognitive disorders among older sex offenders and older non-sex offenders. However, they do note differences in personality traits, with older sex offenders having higher rates of schizoid, obsessive-compulsive, and avoidant traits, and fewer antisocial traits. As part of the same wider study, Fazel et al. (2007) administered frontal lobe tests to older sex offenders and older non-sex offenders, finding no significant frontal lobe differences between the two groups. The only notable difference between the groups was the average lower socio-economic status of the sex offender group. The latter sample is likely to be subset of the former (although this is not explicitly stated in the paper).

Chua et al.’s (2018) systematic review of older first time sex offenders reports a range of sexual offence types, some historic and some current/on-going, and a higher incidence of neurocognitive disorders than found in younger offenders. They report a high incidence of victims with mental illness and/or developmental disorders, with most victims being children or older people. However, despite being a systematic review paper, the overall sample considered in the paper is very small (26 individuals). Rodriguez et al.s (2017) sample of first time and historic older sex offenders demonstrated poorer neuropsychological performance than older non-sex offenders did, although
there was no difference between the older first-time and historical offenders. Cognitive deficits may increase the risk of sexual offending due to impaired capacity in self-regulation, planning, judgment, and inhibition. The authors suggest that proportion of elderly adult sex offenders may be harbouring acquired frontal lobe pathology. However, the sample size of 100 in this study limits generalisability. Fazel et al (2007) suggest that other possible risk factors for sexual offending, such as psychosocial and criminal history, might be areas for future research.

4.5 Homicide

Block (2013) looked at homicide cases, finding that older offenders were more likely than younger offenders to have killed an intimate partner. Reutens et al. (2015) also found that the victims of older homicide perpetrators were likely to be female and in a domestic relationship with the offender. Older offenders in Block’s sample were less likely than younger offenders to have a history of violence, more likely to commit the homicide alone, and the most frequent motive was noted as ‘general domestic altercation’. Stanback and King-Kallimanis (2011)’s work suggests a need to better understand spousal relationships, which might be one important way to identify households at risk of violence (for example, stresses of late life, caregiver responsibilities). Research by Hunt et al. (2010) and Overshot et al. (2012) add support to this, finding that homicide victims of older perpetrators are likely to be a female family member or spouse. Both studies also found high rates of perpetrator mental illness at the time of the offence, particularly depression. In Reutens et al.’s study older offenders were more likely than younger perpetrators to have cognitive impairment or psychotic illness.

Hunt et al. (2010) suggest there is a need for improved recognition and treatment of mental illness in older people, and posit that preventing homicide among older people might be best achieved through more specialised GP training to improve recognition and treatment of depression. The existing research, however, underlines the complexities with Overshot et al. (2012) finding lower rates of schizophrenia and alcohol dependence than seen in younger homicide perpetrators. Putkonen et al. (2010) reporting lower levels of drug dependence and personality disorders, and lower scores of the Psychopathy Checklist, than younger perpetrators (data on older homicide perpetrators in forensic psychiatric examination). However, Putkonen et al. found higher rates of dementia and physical illness.

4.6 Summary
The aim of this paper was to systematically search the literature to select and review all existing and relevant studies that have explored the needs and characteristics of older prisoners. After a thorough search and selection process, 21 papers, from 2002 onwards, were included in the final analysis. These papers came from the United Kingdom, Australia, France, the USA, Canada, New Zealand, Sweden, Japan, and Finland.

The contradictions within the existing evidence base make it difficult to reach firm conclusions about the needs and characteristics of older offenders. For example, some papers present older offending patterns as relatively stable over time while others suggest there have been increases in certain types of crime committed by older people (e.g. violent crime). There is, however, some consensus regarding older people who have committed certain types of offences. For example, where older people commit homicide the victim is likely to be an intimate partner.

What is clearer from the existing research are the relatively high levels of need in this group. However, inconsistencies in the existing research again underline the complexities of reaching firm conclusions, with some agreement about higher incidences of cognitive impairment - particularly in certain sub-groups of older offenders - but differences where mental health is concerned. Where research does exist on needs and characteristics, this primarily relates to older men and very little is known about older women in contact with the criminal justice system. Given the gendered nature of needs of younger adults in the criminal justice system (Caulfield, 2010) and that there are physical differences in the way men and women age (menopause, for example, is briefly discussed in Public Health England guidance on gender specific guidance for improving health and wellbeing in prisons in England: PHE, Peden et al., 2018), this is an important area for future consideration. How offending patterns and needs relate to risk and risk assessment is a point without any clear consensus.

Any conclusions drawn from the existing literature should be taken with caution. There are a relatively small number of recent papers focused on the needs and characteristics of older people in contact with the criminal justice system, and sample sizes are generally small (overall, and even smaller when broken down into sub-categories of older offenders). Furthermore, when reviewing existing studies if it not possible to compare like for like. There are various methodologies employed with some studies presenting a snapshot in time, others making comparisons over time, and others making comparisons against other age groups, making comparison between studies difficult. In this current systematic review we included older people in contact with the criminal justice system
broadly to avoid missing important learning, but this is a further example of an inability to compare like for like. The existing research also often fails to make clear distinctions between groups of older prisoners, such as whether individuals are career criminals (prison recidivists), old offenders (first incarcerated age 55 or older), first offenders (first incarcerated before the age of 55), or ‘old-timers’ (growing old in prison) (Goetting, 1984). For example, Block (2013) identifies prior criminal history as one potential characteristic of those perpetrating crime at age 60+, but does not break down her analysis to look at the separate characteristics of old offenders vs. recidivists.

Overall, there is a lack of consistent recording and reporting of characteristics and demographics and - as Chua et al. (2018) note in their review of the characteristics of first time older sexual offenders - a need for better designed, more systematic studies. Perhaps even more basic a start-point, Baidawi et al (2011) make the case that defining the ‘older prisoner’ consistently is essential in order to do comparative research.

4.7 Conclusion
The prison population is ageing, and this is an international issue, yet there remains a lack of consistency about how older prisoners are defined and understood. The papers reviewed here suggest that older prisoners have significant needs, but evidence about the prevalence of needs and their relationship to factors such as offence type is mixed. Much of this is because the approach to the existing research has not been consistent.

A better understanding of patterns and precipitators would enable an evidence-based conversation about prevention. If we acknowledge, for example, that the prison environment – or certainly most current, typical prison environments – is likely to increase the speed of cognitive decline, and this may be an issue with some sub-groups of older prisoners, there are important questions here about both need and risk. Many studies also consider prisoners who have grown old in prison alongside those who have entered prison at a late age (for current or historic offences). It would be useful to look at the different factors relevant to these groups. The literature to date has also been almost exclusively focused on the older male prison population, while older women have been almost entirely excluded from the literature.

What is clear from this systematic review is that there is a lack of clear understanding about the characteristics of older prisoners as a whole, despite this being an international issue. A wealth of information exists in our criminal justice, correctional, and government systems about prisoners,
their characteristics, their need and risk, their history, and the judicial decisions surrounding their
cases. Future research should make use of these data sources to provide a much clearer
understanding of this group, their routes to prison, their needs, and the challenges they present.
A better and coordinated understanding of the reasons behind the significant increase in the older
population in prison could: a) guide the development of regimes that are less reactive and support
the specific needs of older offenders, including the need for meaningful activities while in prison
(Hayes, Burns, Turnbull, and Shaw, 2013; NACRO, 2009; Wilkinson & Caulfield, 2017); b) allow for
fuller consideration of the risk profile of this group; and c) provide evidence to support the
development of appropriate assessment and treatment. Increased understanding of this group and
the precipitating factors surrounding their offence may not only provide lessons for better
supporting those convicted and reducing their needs and risk, but also may provide lessons for the
prevention of such crimes.
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### Appendix A List of databases searched and results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Database</th>
<th>Coverage</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CINAHL complete</td>
<td>1992-2018</td>
<td>196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cochran Library</td>
<td>2001-2016</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERIC</td>
<td>1967-2018</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe PubMed Central</td>
<td>2014-2018</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEDLINE</td>
<td>1948-2018</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PsycARTICLES</td>
<td>1934-2018</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PsycINFO</td>
<td>1923-2018</td>
<td>159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAGE Complete A-Z List</td>
<td>1924-2018</td>
<td>181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ScienceDirect Journals</td>
<td>1995-2018</td>
<td>561</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scopus</td>
<td>2010-2019</td>
<td>380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ProQuest Central</td>
<td>1996-2018</td>
<td>366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web of Science</td>
<td>1948-2018</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Care online</td>
<td>1996-2016</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CINAHL Plus with Full Text</td>
<td>Nursing and allied health journals 1992-2018</td>
<td>199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medline with full text</td>
<td>Medicine and Healthcare 1948-2018</td>
<td>306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychology and Behavioral Sciences Collection</td>
<td>Emotional and behavioural characteristics. 1971-2018</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SocINDEX with Full Text</td>
<td>Sociological research 1975-2017</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cambridge core</td>
<td>Digitised backfiles of Science, Technology and Medicine journals, and Humanities &amp; Social Sciences journals from Cambridge University Press</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JSTOR</td>
<td>JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary sources. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations.</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PubMed</td>
<td>biomedical literature from MEDLINE, life science journals, and online books</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ProQuest</td>
<td>A broad range of full-text and bibliographic databases hosted by Proquest Included: Dissertations and theses; Scholarly journals; Books; Working papers; Trade journals</td>
<td>463</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Zetoc

The British Library’s Electronic Table of Contents. Contains details of articles from 20,000 current journals and 16,000 conference proceedings. All subjects covered, from 1993
### Appendix B Search Strings Used in Literature Searches

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Search Topic</th>
<th>Search Terms</th>
<th>Search Field</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Older Offender</td>
<td>Older offender OR Elderly Offender OR Older prisoner OR Elderly Prisoner OR Aging Prisoners OR Aging Offenders OR Geriatric offender OR Geriatric prisoner OR Prisoner over AGE OR Offender over AGE OR &quot;Older offender&quot; OR &quot;Elderly Offender&quot; OR &quot;Older prisoner&quot; OR &quot;Elderly Prisoner&quot; OR &quot;Aging Prisoners&quot; OR &quot;Aging Offenders&quot; OR &quot;Geriatric offender&quot; OR &quot;Geriatric prisoner&quot; OR &quot;Prisoner over AGE&quot; OR &quot;Offender over AGE&quot;</td>
<td>All text</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Health</td>
<td>Health OR Well-being OR Psycholog* OR Psyc*</td>
<td>All text</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Violence</td>
<td>Violen* OR Aggressive OR Domestic OR intimate partner violence OR Deviance OR Antisocial</td>
<td>All text</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Needs</td>
<td>Criminogenic Needs OR supportive needs</td>
<td>All text</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Risk</td>
<td>Risk assessment OR Crime committed OR Offence type (sub-search terms needed??) OR Violence OR Violent offence OR Sexual offence OR First offence OR First timer</td>
<td>All text</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Offender typology</td>
<td>Life course persistent OR First-time older OR Repeat offender</td>
<td>All text</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Intervention or cause</td>
<td>Characteristics OR Dementia OR Mental health (under ‘causes’ rather than needs) OR Mental illness OR Ageing population OR Growing old OR Longer sentences OR Indeterminate sentence OR Historic</td>
<td>All text</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix C PRISMA

Amended PRISMA 2009 Flow Diagram

1. Records identified through database searching entered into Mendeley

2. Records after research team manually screened titles and abstracts (n=630)

3. Records after duplicates removed (n=594)

4. Full-text articles assessed for eligibility with inclusion and exclusion criteria (n=594)

5. Full-text articles excluded, with reasons (n=566)
   - Book reviews (n=12)
   - Tender document (n=2)
   - Publication date prior to 2001 (n=146)
   - Physical health focussed (n=406)
   - Focus solely on the reasons behind the rise in the older offender population with no reference to the characteristics (n=7)

6. Studies included in synthesis (n=21)
A systematic review of the offending characteristics and needs of older prisoners

Abstract

Purpose

The older prisoner population is growing faster than the older general population and displays high levels of physical and mental health needs, placing a strain on prisons. Much of the existing literature focusses on the healthcare needs of, or in-prison initiatives for, older prisoners, which are typically, these are responsive rather than evidence-based and systematically planned, and thoroughly grounded in an evidence-based understanding of the characteristics and needs of this group. There is a need to review and understand what the existing evidence base concludes about the needs of this population.

Design/methodology/approach

This paper presents a systematic review of the existing literature on the needs and characteristics of older prisoner characteristics. After a thorough search and selection process, 21 papers, from 2002 onwards, were included in the final analysis. The review process was structured through PICO and reported using PRISMA.

Findings

The existing evidence suggests captured some offending characteristics of certain groups of that older prisoners, are such as an increased likelihood of committing crimes against the person, that are physical or sexual in nature when compared to younger prisoners. The evidence also suggests that their crimes are more likely to be linked to alcohol misuse and abuse. However, our current findings are limited by sample demographics and methodologies and therefore cannot be assumed to apply to all older prisoners.

The contradictions within the existing evidence base make it difficult to reach firm conclusions about the needs and characteristics of older prisoners. What is clear from the existing research are the relatively high levels of need. There is also some consensus that where older people commit homicide the victim is likely to be an intimate partner. Overall, there a need for consistent recording and reporting of characteristics and demographics and more systematic study design.

Originality/value
This paper has highlighted some of the key findings and limitations in the existing literature factors and findings from the existing published evidence on older prisoners. Future research should make use of secondary official data sources to provide a clearer understanding of the characteristics of this group, their routes to prison, their needs, and challenges they present.

Keywords
Elderly Prisoner; Older Prisoner; Characteristics; Older Offender

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

1.0 Introduction
1.1 The ageing prison population: an international issue

Across the world, the prison population is ageing. In the United States, for example, the number of prisoners over age 55 increased by 181% between 2000 and 2010 (Bureau of Justice Statistics 1990-2010). This is compared to an increase in the overall US prison population of 17% during the same time period (Williams, Goodwin, Baillargeon, Ahalt & Walter, 2012). The most recent 2019 data show that 19.2% of the current US prison population are 50 years and over (Federal Bureau of Prisons, 2019). The same pattern appears to be emerging in the Asia Pacific region: for example, the Philippines saw the older prisoner group increase from 287.89% to 432.88% of the prison population between 2002 and 2014 (Bureau of Corrections & Philippine Statistics Authority, 2015). Baidawi et al. (2011) highlight that in Australia the older prisoner population growth has been much greater than the general older population growth, a pattern mirrored in many other countries. In 2009, Japan had one of the highest proportions of older people in prison (Naikakufu, 2009; Ishihara & Kempf-Leonard, 2009) and in 2016 people over 50 years contributed 35.3% of new male prisoners and 38.9% of new female prisoners (Ministry of Justice, Japan, 2016). In England and Wales, the number of prisoners aged fifty and over increased by 200% in the decade to 2018 and those age 40-49 increased by around 75% (Ministry of Justice, UK, 2018). In comparison, over the same time period, the number of prisoners in England and Wales age 21-29 decreased, while those age 30-39 remained roughly the same (Ministry of Justice, UK, 2018). The definition of ‘older’, when referring to individuals in the criminal justice system, is inconsistent in the research literature. However, increasingly researchers are considering individuals as young as fifty as ‘older’ in criminal justice settings (e.g. Gal, 2003; Aday & Krabill, 2013; Wilkinson &
Caulfield, 2017). Research examining the prison population notes the accelerated ageing process and high levels of cognitive decline, compared to the general population (Stevens et al., 2018; Davis, Maclagan & Shenk, 2016) and the rise in older prisoners is problematic for a number of reasons. An increase in this group raises questions about the appropriateness and effectiveness of current prison regimes (Baidawi et al., 2011; Trotter & Baidawi, 2015), which are typically designed to be suitable for younger adults. Wilkinson and Caulfield (2017) note that, in prisons in England and Wales, there is often a lack of meaningful activity suitable for older prisoners. This could contribute to the onset of cognitive decline, with which can come increased levels of violence (Davis, Maclagan & Shenk, 2016). Concerns have also been raised about the prevalence of mental and physical health problems in older prisoners (Lemieux et al., 2002), and the resource implications of supporting these needs (Canada et al., 2019; O’hara et al., 2016).

1.2 The characteristics and needs of older prisoners

Some research has sought to explore the characteristics of older prisoners. Lemieux et al (2002) presented trends from US data, noting that older prisoners tended to be unmarried, white, male, employed prior to incarceration, but never graduated from high school. Older prisoners were either career criminals (prison recidivists), old offenders (first incarceration occurred at age 55 or older), first offenders (first incarceration before the age of 55), or ‘old-timers’ (growing old in prison) – these categories were first highlighted by Goetting (1984). While it has been argued that the older population in prison can be vulnerable and subject to victimisation (Baidawi, Trotter & Flynn, 2016, Wilkinson & Caulfield, 2017), Lemieux et al (2002) found evidence to support that older people were likely to be arrested for aggravated assault, larceny theft, sex offences, and homicide, challenging assumptions about vulnerability. Recent research supports this, with violent crimes in the older population increasing in prevalence in the UK (The Prison Reform Trust, 2018). There is evidence that older people are more likely than younger people to be arrested for alcohol and drug-related matters (Carabellese et al., 2012; Putkonen et al., 2010; Peterson, 1998; Wong, Lumsden, Fenton and Fenwick, 1995) and crimes against the person (Feldmeyer & Steffensmeier, 2007). In England and Wales, the majority of older males in prison have committed are more likely to be serving a sentence for offences that are sexual in nature (45% of all older men in prison) and/or involve violence against the person (23% of all older men in prison) than any other offence type (Prison Reform Trust, 2019). Leading to 80% of individuals serving a sentence of four or more years (Wilkinson & Caulfield, 2017Bromley Briefing, 2017).

As noted above, with the increase in older prisoners comes an increase in the prevalence of mental and physical health issues. Commonly reported illnesses issues are psychiatric, cardiovascular,
musculoskeletal and respiratory (Prison Reform Trust, 2008; Howse, 2011). Older offenders typically have a high prevalence of chronic health conditions (Merten, Bishop & Williams, 2012; Fazel, et al., 2002) including 9% with mobility issues reported by Fazel, et al. (2002). In the United Kingdom, a Department of Health survey (1999-2000) highlighted that 85% of prisoners aged 60 and over had one or more major illnesses reported in their medical records, while 83% reported at least one chronic illness or disability. Mental health issues are thought to affect half of this population with many individuals experiencing depression arising as a result of imprisonment (Merten, Bishop & Williams, 2012). Despite these figures, mental health issues are often overlooked by those in contact with the older population (Kingston, Mesurier, Yorston, Wardle & Heath, 2011).

Much of the literature has focused on in-prison initiatives for older prisoners, which are typically responsive rather than systematically planned and thoroughly grounded in an evidence-based understanding of the characteristics and needs of this group (Caulfield & Wilkinson, 2017). The international rise in the older prison population and the challenges posed by this suggests a need to thoroughly review what the research literature to date has said about the needs and characteristics of this group. A better and more coordinated understanding of older prisoners could: a) guide the development of regimes that are less reactive and support the specific needs of older offenders, including the need for meaningful activities while in prison (Hayes, Burns, Turnbull, and Shaw, 2013; NACRO, 2009; Wilkinson & Caulfield, 2017); b) allow for fuller consideration of the risk profile of this group; and c) provide evidence to support the development of appropriate assessment and treatment.

The aim of this paper is to systematically search the literature to select and review all existing and relevant studies that explore the offending needs and characteristics of older prisoners (using 50 years and over to be inclusive of the variety of definitions found in the literature), excluding their in-prison programme experiences.

**Research Question**

What are the offending characteristics and needs of older prisoners?

**2.0 Method**

**2.1 Search Strategy**

Databases (see appendix A) were searched using the search terms and strings outlined in appendix B. A total of 3888 papers were imported into Mendeley from the database searches. The research team manually screened the titles and abstracts of the imported papers against the basic search criteria, reducing the total relevant papers to 630. Duplicates were automatically removed by Mendeley using paper title, author(s), and year order, leaving a total of 608 papers. The research
team manually searched and removed further duplicates by author, leaving 594 papers. Twelve of these were book reviews and two were tender documents, therefore they were also removed. The research team removed 146 papers due to publication date being before 2001 as - based on Public Health England statistics (Munday, 2017), US data (Gross, 2007), and reporting on global prison trends (Allen, 2015) - 2002 is when the significant rise in older offenders began. This left 433 papers.

2.2 Selection Criteria

The inclusion and exclusion criteria (see below) were discussed in relation to the research aim, and the research team looked through a sample of studies together as an early moderation exercise to check the application of inclusion and exclusion criteria before performing the exercise. Using Mendeley, two researchers applied the inclusion and exclusion criteria by marking the remaining 433, indicating the papers that focused on the general needs and characteristics of the population and removal of papers that focused on health and social care/ in prison treatment or intervention programmes. The researchers assessed half of the remaining papers each and moderated each other's reviews. A sample of twenty papers, ten from each researcher, were reviewed in the early moderation process. The paper by Loeb et al. (2011) is an example of a paper that had some mention of characteristics but focussed primarily on in-prison health care management factors and therefore was excluded. Papers that focussed solely on prisoner care or treatment or interventions were excluded as this current systematic review focuses on needs and characteristics rather than treatment programmes and their effectiveness. However, papers containing substantial information about prison or forensic assessments did remain (e.g. Fazel & Grann, 2002) as these papers could contain insight information regarding the characteristics of older prisoners. The researchers assessed half of the remaining papers each and moderated each other’s reviews. A sample of twenty papers, ten from each researcher, were reviewed in the early moderation process. The paper by Loeb et al. (2011) is an example of a paper that had some mention of characteristics but focussed primarily on in-prison health care management factors and therefore was excluded.

After the sifting exercise 54 papers remained for full text review. After full text review, and the application of the inclusion and exclusion criteria, 30 papers remained, but a further nine were removed upon discussion due to the focus and nature of the papers. One of these two papers was a short commentary review and the other described a new model of working in Japan. See PRISMA diagram (appendix C) for more information about the removed and remaining papers.

2.3 Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria


Include

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Literature reviews</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Papers in English language</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demographic information on the older offending population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Characteristics and needs of the older offending population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Studies with assessment data</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Exclude

| Papers in any other language (non-English language) |
| Book reviews |
| Introductory topic overviews |
| Health and social care responses/treatment |
| Papers published before 2002 |
| Papers capturing solely in-prison experiences |
| Papers presenting solely reasons for the rise in this population |

2.4 Data extraction and analysis

The remaining 21 papers were analysed and synthesised drawing on an approach similar to that proposed by Whittemore and Knafl (2005) of data reduction, data display, data comparison, and verification of conclusions. This approach was most suited appropriate given the ethos of a review method that is inclusive of combining diverse study methodologies (e.g. interviews, focus group, survey data with quantitative data). This procedure allowed for the process of identifying patterns, which were then grouped together to form the overarching themes.

3.0 Results

Table 1.0 shows that of the 21 papers included in this review, seven were review papers, four were primary empirical studies, and 10 papers presented analysis of existing data sets. Table 1.0 presents more information about types of papers and samples included (e.g. country of origin). Table 1.1 presents a summary of the key information and findings from each paper relevant to the research question: What are the characteristics and needs of older prisoners? Six papers were from the United Kingdom (two review papers; one primary data; three secondary data); three papers...

---

1 Older offending generally, as opposed to only older prisoners. The research team identified that research on needs and characteristics has not only looked at prison groups, and so excluding studies focused on arrest data, for example, may exclude important learning.

2 In this systematic review paper we use the terms needs and characteristics in a broad sense to include information on health (including mental health), historical information presented about older prisoner's lives, criminogenic needs, current offence type, offending history background. The inclusion criteria referring to needs and characteristics were purposely kept broad to reduce the risk of useful information being omitted from the search results.
were from Australia (one review paper; one primary data; one secondary data); one paper was from France (secondary data); six papers were from the USA (two review papers; one primary data; three secondary data); one paper was from Canada (one review paper); one paper was from New Zealand (review paper); two papers were from Sweden (one primary data; one secondary data); and one paper was from Finland (secondary data).
Table 1.1 Summary of key findings from the studies included in this review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Participant population size</th>
<th>Key findings / argument</th>
<th>Key discussion points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baidawi, Turner, Trotter, Browning, Collier, Connor &amp; Sheehan (2011)</td>
<td>Older people (50+)</td>
<td>• Makes a case that defining the ‘older prisoner’ is essential in order to do comparative research and a lack of clarity can impeded a firm evidence based being formed around related issues, for example, offence types, recidivism rates, health concerns and prison management programs. Claims for the rise in the older prison population due to policy and practice; release and resettlement issues; vulnerability and victimisation; suitability of prison regime, nursing home prisons, hospices and special needs units; staffing, services and programs; heightened caution with regards to parole and reduced cases of early release.</td>
<td>Highlights the issues of defining older offenders and the rise in older prison populations (AUS based). It makes a case for further complexity of minority groups within the older population (e.g. females and indigenous in the AUS population). The paper considered the costs of responding to the health care needs, accommodation and correctional programs involved, suggesting implications to policy makers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beaufrère, Belmenouar &amp; Chariot (2014)</td>
<td>Assessment of Sample of 180 (1% of total arrest records 15,481) Older people in police custody (60+) arrested in police custody cells. 92% male and 8% female</td>
<td>• The proportion of detainees arrested twice or more during the studied year was smaller in detainees over 60 than in those under 60. • The suspected crimes included physical assaults (51 of 157, 32%), drunk driving (38, 24%), threats (15, 10%), driving without a license (12, 8%), thefts or robberies (8, 5%), sexual assaults (7, 4%), fraud</td>
<td>Notes the prevalence of arrests in the over 60+, utilising medical records. The paper discusses the implications of health declining with age and therefore the considerations to be made with regards to being ‘less fit’ for detention.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• Arrest at age 60+ but no distinction between career criminals/old offenders

(6, 4%), damage to private property (6, 4%), carrying weapons (6, 4%), traffic accidents (5, 3%), and breach of legislation on foreigners (4, 3%).

• Among cases of physical assaults, 12 of 51 (24%) were related to domestic violence. In 23 of 180 cases (13%), the suspected crime was unknown.

• A total of 78 of 170 (46%) expressed some complaints during medical examination, which included pain (54 of 170, 32%), psychological symptoms (10 of 170, 6%), fatigue (9 of 170, 5%), breathing difficulties (4 of 170, 2%), and hunger (3 of 170, 2%).

Block (2013)

Sample of 476 (Analysis of Chicago Homicide Dataset

1.7% of 27,561 cases).

Older homicide perpetrators (60+)

Focused on trends in the Older population People (60+)

54 females and 422 males Homicide against or by older people

Older individuals who have committed Homicide

Arrest at age 60+ but no distinction in analysis between

Reviews data from Chicago Homicide Dataset, highlighting the following trends in the data:

• Male older offenders were more likely than younger adult offenders to have killed an intimate partner.

• Homicide offending by older people is rare (1.7%) of 27,561 sample—Chicago

• Top 10 victim relationships after intimate partner: acquaintance (21.9%); friend (16.6%); stranger (12.1%); son (6.5%); neighbor (4.4%); sexual rival (3.6%); roommate (3%); son-in-law (2.7%); customer (2.7%); landlord (2.4%). Compared to male offenders age 25 to 59, victims of older men were more likely to be son (6.5% and 0.9%), customer (2.7% and 0.5%), or roommate (3.0% and 0.8%) and less likely to be a stranger (12.1% and 17.4%) or a drug dealer (0.3% and 3.4%).

Discusses the older offender and victim relationship (in intimate partner cases). This paper suggests that older people are less likely to have prior violent offence records, but do have higher suicide rates and are more likely to commit homicide alone.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Career criminals/old offenders</th>
<th>Aside from intimate partner, the top ten victim relationships of the 26 remaining older female offenders were friend (19.2%), acquaintance (11.5%), neighbour (11.5%), neighbour in an apartment building (7.7%), stranger (7.7%), and cousin, son-in-law, daughter-in-law, child being watched, roommate, patient, tenant, landlady, and business partner (each 3.8%). Compared to women offenders age 25 to 59, victims of older women were more likely to be a neighbour (11.5% and 4.4%) or a neighbour in an apartment building (7.7% and 1.7%), and less likely to be an acquaintance (11.5% and 24.8%), or son (0% and 6.6%).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Although no distinction made between career criminals/old offenders in the analysis, prior criminal record is cited as a characteristic of the overall group. Older offenders were less likely to have prior violence offence record (31.9%) than men ages 25 – 59 (58.6%).</td>
<td>Older people offenders were significantly more likely than adults (25-59) to commit suicide at the scene.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Older people offenders are significantly more likely than younger adults to commit homicide alone. Motives: most frequent for intimate partner was ‘general domestic altercation’.</td>
<td>This paper highlights older prisoners needs, health and psychiatric health and issues with sex offender risk assessment. This paper argues that the widely used RNR model focusses on criminogenic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Booth (2016) | Review paper | This paper highlights older prisoners needs, health and psychiatric health and issues with sex offender risk assessment. This paper argues that the widely used RNR model focusses on criminogenic |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Centre for Policy on Ageing (2016)</th>
<th>Older prisoners – general review / position paper</th>
<th>Presents demographic data; confirmation of a general growth in the older prison population and a Notes that sexual offences and violence against the person are higher in 50+ male offenders than younger offenders.</th>
<th>This paper discussed the issues with the prevalence of health care issues and the complexity of prisons meeting the health care needs of older prisoners. This paper suggests that special needs of older prisoners include: social exclusion/segregation; medication; release planning and end of life care provision.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chua, Cheung, Friedman &amp;</td>
<td>Systematic review</td>
<td>The studies included in this review paper were summarised to highlight the characteristics of first-time older sex offenders.</td>
<td>This review highlighted the lack of consistent reporting of characteristics, demographics and</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

needs but does not include mental health needs relevant to an older population (e.g. cognitive decline and hearing impairments). This paper makes a case for the over measurement of risk in older offenders, due to the lack of acknowledgement of the relationship between age and testosterone decline, in relation to the relationship between testosterone and sexual drive.

Notes that individuals experience issues and difficulties solving interpersonal situations; 20% referral rate for dementia in the older population; undetected and prevalence of psychiatric disorder and cognitive impairment; severe mental illness, of whom 57% had depression, 25% had schizophrenia, and 18% had bipolar illness.

argues that the widely used RNR (risk, needs, responsivity) model focuses on criminogenic needs but does not include mental health needs relevant to an older population (e.g. cognitive decline and hearing impairments). This paper makes a case for the over measurement of risk in older offenders, due to the lack of acknowledgement of the relationship between age and testosterone decline, in relation to the relationship between testosterone and sexual drive.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taylor (2018)</th>
<th>Sample of 26 individuals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Ool older people (65+)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- First time older individuals who have committed sex offenses (recent &amp; historic)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- First time offenders and repeat offenders</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- The review includes 7 papers (5 case report papers &amp; 2 retrospective research studies) with a total sample of 26 individuals</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

noting that:
- First time older sex offender’s victims are often vulnerable (either minors or with intellectual disability).
- Lack of either screening or reporting with regards to psychological and cognitive assessments of older offenders. In the few studies where cognitive assessments had taken place they were not elaborated on.
- Physical disorder such as physical disability, chronic respiratory failure, difficulties in verbal communication, ambulation (used a wheelchair), and urinary incontinence were, similarly, reported in few studies.
- Psychiatric diagnosis was recorded in case reports: diagnosis included dementia, depression, vascular dementia, Alzheimer’s disease, frontal lobe dysfunction and chronic schizophrenia.
- The review discussed two types of older offenders (1) repeat offenders but not detected until later life (2) late offenders with a higher proportion of neurocognitive disorder.
- The review highlights a lack of consistent recording and reporting of characteristics and demographics and a need for better designed studies.

The study notes the lack of either screening or reporting with regards to psychological and cognitive assessments of older sex offenders. In the published studies and case reports on older sex offenders, The review suggests a lack of routine cognitive and dementia assessments, either performed or reported, specific to this population. The review highlights a lack of consistent recording and reporting of characteristics and demographics and a need for better designed studies.
not elaborated on. Physical disorder such as physical disability, chronic respiratory failure, difficulties in verbal communication, ambulation (used a wheelchair), and urinary incontinence were, similarly, reported in few studies. Psychiatric diagnosis was recorded in case reports: diagnosis included dementia, depression, vascular dementia, Alzheimer’s disease, frontal lobe dysfunction and chronic schizophrenia.

Curtice, Parker, Wismayer & Tomison (2003)

- Based on 11-year survey of referrals to regional forensic psychiatric services
  - Sample of 32 case reviews were reviewed.
  - Older people offenders (65+)
  - 31 males and 1 female
  - 19 were first time offenders
  - 32 cases were reviewed.

- Found 66% of referrals came from solicitors. Sexual offending was the most common index offence (56%); violent offences (25%); murder/manslaughter (9%) and attempted arson (3%) of cases.
- Although no distinction made between career criminals/old offenders in the analysis, prior criminal record is cited as a characteristic of the overall group. The majority (59%) were first time offenders with no previous history.
- There was no diagnosis of mental disorder in 56% of cases, however, where there was a diagnosis, dementia was the most common (19%); depression (6%); schizophrenia (6%) mild learning disability (3%). This paper found poor use of diagnostic tools and investigations in the assessment process. Alcohol history was noted in 79% of cases, 41% of patients being regular users.
- This paper suggests that an integrated approach to assessment and management of older forensic populations is required.

Fazel & Grann (2002)

- Sample of 210
  - Older people offenders (60+)

- There appear to be important differences in psychiatric morbidity between older offenders and younger offenders who come into...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fazel, Hope, O’Donnell &amp; Jacoby (2002)</th>
<th>Found 6% of older individuals who committed sex offences had a psychotic illness; 7% major depressive episode; 33% personality disorder; 1% dementia. These figures did not significantly differ from older non-sex offenders. Differences occurred when considering personality traits – sex offenders had more schizoid, obsessive-compulsive and avoidant traits, and fewer antisocial traits compared with non-sex offenders. Although no distinction was made between career criminals/old offenders/’old-timers’ in the analysis, median time spent in prison was reviewed and not significantly different for those who had committed sex offences compared with those who had not committed sex offences. This paper focused on demographics and personality characteristics and discussed the different personality traits found across these groups, suggesting that personality traits were better related to offence type than mental health concerns.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fazel, O’Donnell, Hope, Gulati &amp; Jacoby (2007)</td>
<td>The mean age of the individuals who committed sex and non-sex offenders’ crimes was similar (66 years [sd 4.6] vs 64.9 years [sd 4.9] range 60–88 years). This paper found no evidence to support that older sex offender’s frontal lobes differ from older non-sex offender’s frontal lobes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparison</td>
<td>Frontal lobes differ from older non-sex offender’s frontal lobes. There were no significant differences in test scores for frontal lobe tasks between the two prisoner samples.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- No distinction in analysis between career criminals/old offenders/’old-timers’ prisoners were administered frontal lobe tests. There were no significant differences in socio-economic class, although there was a trend for sex offenders to be from lower socio-economic classes. 15% of the total sample did not consent to be interviewed, and the non-consenters were similar in age, type of offence, ethnicity but had been in prison longer, than those who did consent. There were no significant differences in test scores for frontal lobe tasks between the two prisoner samples. Although no distinction made between career criminals/old offenders/’old-timers’ in the analysis, median time spent in prison was reviewed and not significantly different for those who had committed sex offences compared with those who did not commit sex offences.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Feldmeyer & Steffensmeier (2007)

- Older people offenders (55+)
- Federal Bureau of Investigation data – reports from uniformed police officers
  - Data was taken across different years for comparison (e.g. 1980 = 833 arrests; 1990 = 657 arrests and 2004 = 590 arrests in 55+)
- No distinction in analysis between

### Notes

- Looked at trends in older offender crime rates over 25 years. Noting that there has been very little change in the profile of the older offender, with arrests continuing to be overwhelmingly for minor offences and alcohol-related violations.
- Shifts in crime committed by older people have been paralleled by similar trends among the nonelderly, indicating that recent social, economic, and legal changes have had similar impacts on arrest patterns across age groups.

### Paper

This paper discussed in the trends in older offender crime rates over past 25 years. Generally, these remained the same for uniform crime reports of arrests with primarily minor and alcohol-related offences.
<p>| Greene &amp; Gibson (2013) | Book chapter - review | Highlights that older people are the most increased offender population (up by 15.1%) in the US compared to 18-44 (up by 0.6%) and under 18 (up by 2.6%) in time period 2000-2010. Reports that older aging prisoners are far less likely to recidivate compared to the younger population. Some key features for older individuals in prison are the accelerating aging process; low levels of self-care; high psychiatric conditions, social and emotional affects; victimisation; cut off contact to reduce their suffering and negative self-reflection of their lives. Notes that older offenders present issues as diverse as: health care needs; end-of-life care and decisions; social security, medication, and Medicare entitlements; estate planning, wills, trusts, and probate, cognitive impairment and guardianship, and elder abuse. This paper discusses that older people are prevalent in the legal system and present issues as diverse as health care needs; end-of-life care and decisions; social security, medication, and Medicare entitlements; estate planning, wills, trusts, and probate, cognitive impairment and guardianship, and elder abuse. This paper highlights the need for a focus on older prisoner wellbeing; physical health and mental health care. |
| Gross (2007) | Book chapter - review | Provides a summary of information older offenders in the USA from 1971-2004, from 2000-2004. During the period 2000-2004 crime by older people rose. In time period, violent crime arrests increased in both number (from 7251 to 8,893) and proportion (1.8% to 2.1%) based on US data. The 55-64 age group showed an increase in the number of violent, property, and drug crime arrests during 2000-2004. Property crime arrests remained the same. Violent crime rate decreased slightly for those aged 65+, while |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hunt et al. (2010)</th>
<th>Highlights some key factors and characteristics of older perpetrators of homicide, such as:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sample of 365</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Older homicide perpetrators</strong> were offenders (311 were 45-64+ and 54 were aged 65+)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>90% were male and 10% were female</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>8-year period (1997-2004)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data from the National Confidential Inquiry into Homicide by people with Mental Illness (Appleby et al., 1999)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>No distinction in analysis between career criminals/old offenders</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>property and drug crime rates remained relatively stable for this age group.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The results are consistent with American Department of Justice and Australian Institute of Criminology data with regards to demographics and offence characteristics of perpetrators. Older perpetrators were less likely to have a history of violence and more likely to target a female and a family member. Also, more likely to have symptoms of mental illness at the time of the offence. Preventing homicide among older people might be best achieved through more specialised GP training to improve recognition and treatment of depression.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overshott et al. (2012)</th>
<th>The study was carried out as part of the England and Wales National Confidential Inquiry into Suicide and Homicide by People with Mental Illness based on a five-year sample. 2662 perpetrators convicted of homicide were reported to the Inquiry. 47 Forty-seven (2%) of the perpetrators were aged 60 years or older; 22 of the 47 (1%) were 65 years or older.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sample of 60 prisoners</strong> (60+)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>91% male (43) and 9% female (4)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data from the National Confidential Inquiry into Homicide by people with Mental Illness (Appleby et al., 1999)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>No distinction between career</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The paper aims to describe the circumstances in which older people commit homicide, concluding that homicide incidents perpetrated by older people typically involve a man killing his partner in an impulsive manner. The paper provides social, behavioural and offence characteristics and discusses perpetrators with psychiatric reports. The study highlights the prominence of depression in older perpetrators</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
of a blunt instrument (26%). The use of firearms was rare (11%).

- Perpetrators aged 65 years and older were significantly more likely to kill a current or former spouse/partner and less likely to kill an acquaintance.
- Forty-four per cent of perpetrators over 65 years old suffered from depression at the time of the offence. R, whereas rates of schizophrenia and alcohol dependence were low.


- **Sample of 25**
- Older homicide perpetrators (60+)
- Three females and 22 males
- Homicide cases
- A Quantitative analysis of large national dataset from Finland
- Explores differences between career criminals/old offenders

Presents data on older homicide perpetrators who were in a forensic psychiatric examination in Finland 1995–2004 and their gender-matched comparison group of younger homicide offenders. The study found that:

- Offenders 60 years or older were diagnosed less often than the younger ones with drug dependence and personality disorders and more often with dementia and physical illnesses.
- The mean Psychopathy Checklist—Revised total scores as well as factor and facet scores were lower in the 60 or older age group. The 60 or older age group had significantly lower scores than younger perpetrators on eight individual items of social deviance. The interpersonal/affective factor 1 scores did not differ.
- The older offenders with previous criminal offending differed from those without in two ways: they had higher rates or alcohol abuse/dependence (75% vs. 31%) and were diagnosed more often with a personality disorder (67% vs. 23%).

Reutens, Sample of 87 cases

Presents a systematic search of legal, criminological and media

The paper highlights the high number of...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nielssen &amp; Large (2015)</th>
<th>Older homicide perpetrators (55+)</th>
<th>Older prison offenders (55+)</th>
<th>57 males and 30 females</th>
<th>Homicide</th>
<th>Secondary data analysis of database searches</th>
<th>No distinction in analysis between career criminals/old offenders</th>
<th>Databases for cases of homicide committed by people aged 55 and over, during the 18 years from 1993 to 2010. Eighty-seven cases were identified through databases. Legal documents were obtained for 70 offenders (about 5% of homicides committed in NSW in the period of the study). The proportions of male offenders and rates of firearm use were similar to other age groups. Twelve of the 14 homicides using guns occurred outside the metropolitan area. Elderly offenders were more likely than younger perpetrators to have cognitive impairment or psychotic illness. Victims were more likely to be female and in a domestic relationship with the offender.</th>
<th>Physical health problems and high proportion of individuals with cognitive impairments and psychosis, compared to general (younger) homicide perpetrators.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rodriguez, Boyce &amp; Hodges (2017)</td>
<td>Sample of 100 (32 first time sex offenders; 36 historic sex offenders; 32 non sexual offenders)</td>
<td>Older people offenders (50+)</td>
<td>Male sample</td>
<td>First time sex offenders</td>
<td>Empirical study</td>
<td>Presents the findings from a battery of neuropsychological measures administered to 100 participants (32 first time sex offenders; 36 historic sex offenders; 32 non sexual offenders). Both FTSOs and HSOs showed significant impairment on tests of executive function (including verbal fluency, trial-making, and the Hayling test of response inhibition) as well as on tests of verbal and verbal memory compared to NSOs; however, there was no difference between the two sex offender groups.</td>
<td>Elderly sex offenders demonstrated poorer neuropsychological performance than elderly adult non-sex offenders did, although there was no difference between elderly first-time and historical offenders. Cognitive deficits may increase the risk of sexual offending due to impaired capacity in self-regulation, planning, judgment, and inhibition. The authors suggest that proportion of elderly adult sex offenders may be harbouring acquired frontal lobe pathology.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanback</td>
<td>Sample of 972</td>
<td>Presents analysis of the Chicago Homicide Dataset (1965-1995) and</td>
<td>While the study focuses primarily on victim...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **King-Kallimanis (2011)** | • Older homicide perpetrators (50+)  
- Male prisoners sample  
- Secondary data analysis of Chicago Homicide Dataset  
- No distinction in analysis between career criminals/old offenders  
  | Investigates covariates associated with four categories of homicide committed by older offenders: intimate, family, acquaintance, and unrelated victims. A multinomial multivariate regression, where unrelated homicides were defined as the base outcome. The findings reveal:  
- In intimate and family comparisons, women were at a significantly higher risk of victimisation.  
- Crimes that occurred in residences were significantly associated with all three homicide groups compared with unrelated homicides.  
- The use of alcohol was significant in crimes where an acquaintance was the victim. | The paper does highlight interesting findings around interpersonal relationship issues in intimate or family homicide. This suggests a need to better understand spousal relationships further, which might be one important way to identify households at risk of violence (for example, stresses of late life, caregiver responsibilities). |
| **Williams & Rikard (2005)** | • Sample of 51 departments of corrections  
- Older women 'offenders (45+)  
- Female sample  
  | Explores policies and programs for older female prisoners. Interviews were conducted with the Federal Bureau of Prisons and state Department of Corrections (N = 40/51) about their policies and programs for older female prisoners.  
- While 23 States provided care for ageing male prisoners, only two reported providing provision for older female prisoners.  
- The discussion highlights that female prisoners tend to have greater mental health needs and it is important to highlight the gendered needs of older prisoners.  
- As this paper focuses on programs and policies it is now outdated. | The authors highlight that the characteristics of older female prisoners may mean they are particularly low risk. However, they also posit that the lack of provision amounts to neglect. The discussion highlights that female prisoners tend to have greater mental health needs and it is important to highlight the gendered needs of older prisoners. |
| **Yorston (2010)** | • Older prisoners  
- Literature review paper  
  | Primarily focuses on the characteristics of older offenders. The paper highlights that: | The paper outlines some of the key characteristics and areas where more research is needed. |
• the types of offences being committed has remained stable
• there is an over-representation of sexual offences against children;
• there are higher levels of psychotic and mental disorders in older homicide offenders
• there is a lack of evidence around some types of crime (e.g. arson and acquisitive offending)
• that alcohol is becoming recognised as a problem.
• The paper also mentions that there is a lack of research about delirium and personality disorders, some research about dementia.
• The review suggests older offenders may make greater use of firearms (findings from US studies) and that there are lower arrest and conviction rates for older people.

is needed. The author highlights that there are lower arrest and conviction rates for older people but that—when considering risk assessment—the ‘potential for causing harm should never be underestimated on the basis of age alone’.
4.0 Summary Discussion of results and concluding discussion

The population focus of the papers was as follows: General older prisoners, seven papers; Female older prisoners, one paper; Psychiatric evaluated older prisoners, two papers; Older sex offenders, five papers; Older homicide offenders, six papers (see table 1.0 for the breakdown). Thematic summaries of these papers are presented below, under these headings.

4.1 General

Four of the seven papers considered within this category were review papers. The papers that presented new research findings considered the general older prisoner population included data outlining the types of offences committed by this group. Beaufrère et al. (2014) found physical assaults were the main suspected crime in their sample of 180 older suspects in France. For example, the Centre for Policy on Ageing (2016) noted that violent crimes remained consistent across all age groups for male offenders in the UK (under 25; 25-49; 50-59; 60+). As a proportion of offences committed by each age group, however, the proportion of sexual offences were highest in older offenders (accounted for 13% of 25-49 year olds; 34% of 50-59 year olds; and 59% of 60+). The types of offence committed by female offenders remained relatively stable across age groups (Centre for Policy on Ageing, 2016).

While some studies note the relatively stable nature of offending by older people, Feldmeyer and Steffensmeier (2007) for example found very little change in the profile of the older offender, with arrests mainly for minor offences and alcohol-related violations. However, the most recent data in that study is now 15 years old (Yorston, 2010). Similarly, Yorston’s (2010) review paper suggests that the types of offences being committed by older people have remained stable. However, contradictions appear in the evidence base. For example, while Feldmeyer and Steffensmeier report most known offending by older people being minor, in the same year and in the same country (USA) Gross (2007) reported increased violent crime in this group. Gross’ (2007) review paper, for example, notes an increase in other research has documented an increase in some types of offending (e.g., violent crime among older people. While contradictions appear, both Gross 2002). Feldmeyer and Steffensmeier (2007) and Yorston (2010) highlighted the increased recognition of the role of alcohol in offences committed by older people. Contradictions appear in the evidence base. For example, while Feldmeyer and Steffensmeier report most known offending by older people being minor, in the same year and in the same country (USA) Gross (2007) reported increased violent crime in this group. And Yorston (2010) notes the overrepresentation of sexual offences committed by older offenders.
A number of papers included in this review discuss the stress the importance of the health care needs of this population, given the prevalence of current health problems compared to younger offenders and the increased support required for daily tasks such as bathing (Beaufrère et al., 2014; Centre for Policy on Ageing, 2016; Greene & Gibson, 2013). This includes physical health issues as well as psychiatric and psychological health concerns (Beaufrère et al., 2014; Centre for Policy on Ageing, 2016; Greene & Gibson, 2013; Yorston, 2010). The prison environment is designed for young and able-bodied people (Baidawi et al., 2011) and older adults are often not willing or able to participate in correctional, criminal, or probation programmes (Greene and Gibson, 2013). The rise in numbers of older prisoners makes it difficult to ignore the needs of this population. The potential vulnerability and victimisation of older prisoners is also noted in some studies (Centre for Policy on Ageing, 2016; Baidawi et al., 2011). However, Yorston (2010, p695) notes that ‘the potential for causing harm should never be underestimated on the basis of age alone’. Yorston (2010), however, highlights that – when considering risk assessment – the ‘potential for causing harm should never be underestimated on the basis of age alone’ (p 695).

The research in this section presents agreement over the existence of physical and mental health needs in the older offender population, but there is a lack of consistency in terms of the reported offending patterns of this group. The various methodologies employed (e.g. some presents a snapshot in time, other comparisons over time, others comparisons against other age groups) make comparison between studies difficult. Baidawi et al makes the case that defining the ‘older prisoner’ is essential in order to do comparative research and a lack of clarity can impede a firm evidence base being formed around related issues, for example, offence types, recidivism rates, health concerns and prison management programs. The data presented in these empirical and review studies is sometimes based on small sample sizes (e.g. Beaufrère et al., 2014), varied in methodology, and typically fails to make a clear distinction between career criminals/old offenders.

4.2 Female

Williams and Rikard (2005) conducted the only study found through this systematic review to focus solely on older female prisoners. While their research focused on policies and programs, Williams and Rickard (2005) highlight that the characteristics of older female prisoners may mean they are particularly low risk, but that there is a need to take account of gender-specific needs. For example, women in contact with the criminal justice system have particularly high levels of need around mental health (Caulfield, 2016). Baidawi et al (2014), in their review paper, note the likely complexity of ‘minority’ groups, including women. Furthermore, as noted above, the over-
representation of older sex offenders is male-specific, in the UK at least (Centre for Policy on Ageing, 2016).

Eight papers (Beaufrère et al., 2014; Block, 2013; Curtice et al., 2003; Fazel & Grann, 2002; Hunt et al., 2010; Overshott et al., 2012; Putkonen et al., 2010; Reutens et al., 2015) include women and men in their samples, although only Block and Fazel and Grann include any breakdown of findings according to gender. Block, in her homicide study, found that older women and men were most likely to have killed an intimate partner, with friend second and acquaintance third for women (& reversed for men). Fazel and Grann report that older women were less likely than men to be ‘deemed insane’ (p.911), contrary to those younger than 60. They make no further reference to gender in their analysis. Unsurprisingly, given that men make up the majority of those in contact with the criminal justice system, the numbers of women in these studies is small (ranging from 1 woman, Curtice et al. to 54 women, Block).

4.3 Psychiatric

Curtice et al. (2003) found that of the 32 cases of older offenders referred to a regional psychology service in the UK, sexual offending was the most common offence. Dementia was a common diagnosis in the cases reviewed (19%). In a review of the psychiatric evaluations of 210 older offenders, Fazel and Grann (2002, also UK) found that this group were less likely to be diagnosed with a mental health condition (including schizophrenia and personality disorders) than younger offenders, but more likely than the general offending population to be diagnosed with dementia or affective psychosis. Yorston et al., (2010) notes homicide followed by suicide is more common in older adults than younger homicide perpetrators. These findings suggest important differences in the psychiatric and cognitive needs of older and younger prisoners, which should be investigated further - and if more widely applicable - taken into account when planning provision for older prisoners. Yorston et al., (2010) notes homicide followed by suicide is more common in older adults. However, the limited recent published literature available on the needs of this group means more systematic research is needed in this area.

4.4 Sex Offenders

In his review of research on older sex offenders, Booth (2016: 5) states that ‘when faced with an older sexual offender, the index of suspicion for cognitive issues should be high’, although he notes that the general risk level presented by this group may be lower than younger sex offenders. Fazel et al. (2002) report similarly high levels of mental health problems and neurocognitive disorders among
older sex offenders and older non-sex offenders. However, they do note differences in personality traits, with older sex offenders having higher rates of schizoid, obsessive-compulsive, and avoidant traits, and fewer antisocial traits. As part of the same wider study, later work by Fazel et al. (2007) administered frontal lobe tests to older sex offenders and older non-sex offenders, finding no significant frontal lobe differences between the two groups. The only notable difference between the groups was the average lower socio-economic status of the sex offender group. The latter sample is likely to be subset of the former (although this is not explicitly stated in the paper).

Chua et al.’s (2018) systematic review paper of older first time sex offenders reports a range of sexual offence types, some historic and some current/on-going, and a higher incidence of neurocognitive disorders than found in younger offenders. They report a high incidence of victims with mental illness and/or developmental disorders, with most victims being children or older people. However, despite being a systematic review paper, the overall sample considered in the paper is very small (26 individuals). Rodriguez et al.’s (2017) sample of first time and historic older sex offenders demonstrated poorer neuropsychological performance than older non-sex offenders did, although there was no difference between the older first-time and historical offenders. Cognitive deficits may increase the risk of sexual offending due to impaired capacity in self-regulation, planning, judgment, and inhibition. The authors suggest that proportion of elderly adult sex offenders may be harbouring acquired frontal lobe pathology. However, the sample size of 100 in this study limits generalisability. Fazel et al (2007) suggest that other possible risk factors for sexual offending, such as psychosocial and criminal history, might be areas for future research.

4.5 Homicide

Block (2013) looked at homicide cases committed by older offenders, finding that male older offenders were more likely than younger offenders to have killed an intimate partner. Reutens et al. (2015) also found that the victims of older homicide perpetrators were likely to be female and in a domestic relationship with the offender. Older offenders in Block’s sample were less likely than younger offenders to have a history of violence, more likely to commit the homicide alone, and the most frequent motive was noted as ‘general domestic altercation’. Stanback and King-Kallimanis (2011)’s work suggests a need to better understand spousal relationships, which might be one important way to identify households at risk of violence (for example, stresses of late life, caregiver responsibilities). Research by Hunt et al. (2010) and Overshot et al. (2012) add support to this, finding that homicide victims of older perpetrators are likely to be a female family members or spouse. Both studies also found high rates of perpetrator mental illness at the time of the offence,
particularly depression. In Reutens et al.’s study older offenders were more likely than younger perpetrators to have cognitive impairment or psychotic illness. Overshot et al. (2012) found lower rates of schizophrenia and alcohol dependence than seen in younger homicide perpetrators. Hunt et al. (2010) suggest there is a need for improved recognition and treatment of mental illness in older people, and posit that preventing homicide among older people might be best achieved through more specialised GP training to improve recognition and treatment of depression. The existing research, however, underlines the complexities with Overshot et al. (2012) finding lower rates of schizophrenia and alcohol dependence than seen in younger homicide perpetrators. Putkonen et al. (2010) present data on older homicide perpetrators in forensic psychiatric examination. They report lower levels of drug dependence and personality disorders, and lower scores of the Psychopathy Checklist, than younger perpetrators (data on older homicide perpetrators in forensic psychiatric examination). However, Putkonen et al. and perhaps unsurprisingly, they found higher rates of dementia and physical illness.

4.6 Summary
Conclusions

The aim of this paper was to systematically search the literature to select and review all existing and relevant studies that have explored the needs and characteristics of older prisoners. After a thorough search and selection process 21 papers, from 2002 onwards, were included in the final analysis. These papers came from the United Kingdom, Australia, France, the USA, Canada, New Zealand, Sweden, Japan, and Finland.

The contradictions within the existing evidence base make it difficult to reach firm conclusions about the needs and characteristics of older offenders. For example, some papers present older offending patterns as relatively stable over time while others suggest there have been increases in certain types of crime committed by older people (e.g. violent crime). There is, however, some consensus regarding older people who have committed certain types of offences. For example, where older people commit homicide the victim is likely to be an intimate partner.

What is clearer from the existing research are the relatively high levels of need in this group. However, inconsistencies in the existing research again underline the complexities of reaching firm conclusions, with some agreement about higher incidences of cognitive impairment - particularly in certain sub-groups of older offenders - but differences where mental health is concerned. Where research does exist on needs and characteristics, this primarily relates to older men and very little is known about older women in contact with the criminal justice system. Given the gendered nature of
needs of younger adults in the criminal justice system (Caulfield, 2010) and that there are physical
differences in the way men and women age (menopause, for example, is briefly discussed in Public
Health England guidance on gender specific guidance for improving health and wellbeing in prisons
in England: PHE, Peden et al., 2018), this is an important area for future consideration. How
 offending patterns and needs relate to risk and risk assessment is a point without any clear
consensus.

Any conclusions drawn from the existing literature should be taken with caution. There are a
relatively small number of recent papers focused on the needs and characteristics of older people in
contact with the criminal justice system, and sample sizes are generally small (overall, and even
smaller when broken down into sub-categories of older offenders). Furthermore, when reviewing
existing studies if it not possible to compare like for like. There are various methodologies employed
with some studies presenting a snapshot in time, others making comparisons over time, and others
making comparisons against other age groups, making comparison between studies difficult. In this
current systematic review we included older people in contact with the criminal justice system
broadly to avoid missing important learning, but this is a further example of an inability to compare
like for like. The existing research also often fails to make clear distinctions between groups of older
prisoners, such as whether individuals are career criminals (prison recidivists), old offenders (first
incarcerated age 55 or older), first offenders (first incarcerated before the age of 55), or ‘old-timers’
growing old in prison (Goetting, 1984). For example, Block (2013) identifies prior criminal history as
one potential characteristic of those perpetrating crime at age 60+, but does not break down her
analysis to look at the separate characteristics of old offenders vs. recidivists.

Overall, there is a lack of consistent recording and reporting of characteristics and demographics and
- as Chua et al. (2018) note in their review of the characteristics of first time older sexual offenders -
a need for better designed, more systematic studies. Perhaps even more basic a start-point, Baidawi
et al (2011) make the case that defining the ‘older prisoner’ consistently is essential in order to do
comparative research.

4.7 Conclusion

Overall, 21 papers presented reviews of or research on the characteristics of older prisoners. These
papers came from the United Kingdom, Australia, France, the USA, Canada, New Zealand, Sweden,
Japan, and Finland.
The prison population is ageing, and this is an international issue, yet there remains a lack of consistency about how older prisoners are defined and understood. The papers reviewed here suggest that older prisoners have significant needs, and therefore present challenges, around physical and mental health in a system primarily designed for young men. In particular, dementia and cognitive decline appear prevalent in some groups of older prisoners. Some of these needs are also characteristics related to the types of offences common in older prisoners. For example, there is evidence to suggest a link between mental health problems in older individuals and violent offending, and that the victims of these crimes are often spouses or other close family members of the offender, but evidence about the prevalence of needs and their relationship to factors such as offence type, is mixed. Much of this is because the approach to the existing research has not been consistent.

A better understanding of these patterns and precipitators would enable an evidence-based conversation about prevention. In addition, dementia and cognitive decline has been found at a relatively high rate in older sex offenders. If we acknowledge, for example, that the prison environment – or certainly most current, typical prison environments – is likely to increase the speed of cognitive decline, and this may be an issue with some sub-groups of older prisoners, there are important questions here about both need and risk. Indeed, there has been an assumption that the risk presented by older prisoners may be lower than younger sex offenders, despite their victims typically being vulnerable groups (children and elderly, Chua et al. 2018). Many studies also consider include prisoners who have grown old in prison alongside those who have entered prison at a late age (for current or historic offences). It would be useful to look at the different factors relevant to these groups. The literature to date has also been almost exclusively focused on the older male prison population, while older women have been almost entirely excluded from the literature.

What is clear from this systematic review is that there is a lack of clear understanding about the characteristics of older prisoners as a whole, despite this being an international issue. A wealth of information exists in our criminal justice, correctional, and government systems about prisoners, their characteristics, their need and risk, their history, and the judicial decisions surrounding their cases. Future research should make use of these data sources to provide a much clearer understanding of this group, their routes to prison, their needs, and the challenges they present.

A better and coordinated understanding of the reasons behind the significant increase in the older population in prison could: a) guide the development of regimes that are less reactive and support the specific needs of older offenders, including the need for meaningful activities while in prison (Hayes, Burns, Turnbull, and Shaw, 2013; NACRO, 2009; Wilkinson & Caulfield, 2017); b) allow for
fuller consideration of the risk profile of this group; and c) provide evidence to support the development of appropriate assessment and treatment. Increased understanding of this group and the precipitating factors surrounding their offence may not only provide lessons for better supporting those convicted and reducing their needs and risk, but also may provide lessons for the prevention of such crimes.
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### Appendix A List of databases searched and results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Database</th>
<th>Date Covered</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CINAHL complete</td>
<td>1992-2018</td>
<td>196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cochran Library</td>
<td>2001-2016</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERIC</td>
<td>1967-2018</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe PubMed Central</td>
<td>2014-2018</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEDLINE</td>
<td>1948-2018</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PsycARTICLES</td>
<td>1934-2018</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PsycINFO</td>
<td>1923-2018</td>
<td>159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAGE Complete A-Z List</td>
<td>1924-2018</td>
<td>181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ScienceDirect Journals</td>
<td>1995-2018</td>
<td>561</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scopus</td>
<td>2010-2019</td>
<td>380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ProQuest Central</td>
<td></td>
<td>366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web of Science</td>
<td>1948-2018</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Care online</td>
<td>1996-2016</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CINAHL Plus with Full Text</td>
<td>Nursing and allied health journals</td>
<td>199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medline with full text</td>
<td>Medicine and Healthcare</td>
<td>306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychology and Behavioral</td>
<td>Emotional and Behavioural characteristics.</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sciences Collection</td>
<td>1971-2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SocINDEX with Full Text</td>
<td>Sociological research</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cambridge core</td>
<td>Digitised backfiles of Science, Technology and Medicine journals, and Humanities &amp; Social Sciences journals from Cambridge University Press</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JSTOR</td>
<td>JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary sources. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations.</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PubMed</td>
<td>biomedical literature from MEDLINE, life science journals, and online books</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ProQuest</td>
<td>A broad range of full-text and bibliographic databases hosted by Proquest Included: Dissertations and theses; Scholarly journals; Books; Working papers; Trade journals</td>
<td>463</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zetoc</td>
<td>The British Library’s Electronic Table of Contents.</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Contains details of articles from 20,000 current journals and 16,000 conference proceedings. All subjects covered, from 1993
### Appendix B Search Strings Used in Literature Searches

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Search Topic</th>
<th>Search Terms</th>
<th>Search Field</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Older Offender</td>
<td>Older offender OR Elderly Offender OR Older prisoner OR Elderly Prisoner OR Aging Prisoners OR Aging Offenders OR Geriatric offender OR Geriatric prisoner OR Prisoner over AGE OR Offender over AGE</td>
<td>All text</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;Older offender&quot; OR &quot;Elderly Offender&quot; OR &quot;Older prisoner&quot; OR &quot;Elderly Prisoner&quot; OR &quot;Aging Prisoners&quot; OR &quot;Aging Offenders&quot; OR &quot;Geriatric offender&quot; OR &quot;Geriatric prisoner&quot; OR &quot;Prisoner over AGE&quot; OR &quot;Offender over AGE&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Health</td>
<td>Health OR Well-being OR Psycholog* OR Psyc*</td>
<td>All text</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Violence</td>
<td>Violent* OR Aggressive OR Domestic OR intimate partner violence OR Deviance OR Antisocial</td>
<td>All text</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Needs</td>
<td>Criminogenic Needs OR supportive needs</td>
<td>All text</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Risk?</td>
<td>Risk assessment OR Crime committed OR Offence type (sub-search terms needed??) OR Violence OR Violent offence OR Sexual offence OR First offence OR First timer</td>
<td>All text</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Offender typology</td>
<td>Life course persistent? OR First-time older OR Repeat offender OR</td>
<td>All text</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Intervention or cause</td>
<td>Characteristics OR Dementia OR Mental health (under ‘causes’ rather than needs) OR Mental illness OR Ageing population OR Growing old OR Longer sentences OR Indeterminate sentence OR Historic</td>
<td>All text</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix C – PRISMA

**Amended PRISMA 2009 Flow Diagram**

Records identified through database searching entered into Mendeley

Records after research team manually screened titles and abstracts
(n=630)

Records after duplicates removed
(n=594)

Full-text articles assessed for eligibility with inclusion and exclusion criteria
(n=594)

Full-text articles excluded, with reasons
(n=566)
Book reviews (n=12)
Tender document (n=2)
Publication date prior to 2001 (n=146)
Physical health focussed (n=406)
Focus solely on the reasons behind the rise in the older offender population with no reference to the characteristics (n=7)

Studies included in synthesis
(n=21)