

1 Genetic variation in Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin and ER signalling pathways in female and male elite dancers and its  
2 associations with low bone mineral density: a cross-section and longitudinal study

3  
4 Tânia Amorim<sup>1,2</sup>, Cecília Durães<sup>3,4</sup>, José Carlos Machado<sup>3,4,5</sup>, George S. Metsios<sup>1,6</sup>, Matthew Wyon<sup>1</sup>, José  
5 Maia<sup>2</sup>, Andreas D. Flouris<sup>6</sup>, Franklim Marques<sup>7</sup>, Luísa Nogueira<sup>8</sup>, Nuno Adubeiro<sup>8</sup>, Yiannis Koutedakis<sup>1,6</sup>

6  
7 <sup>1</sup>The Faculty of Education, Health and Wellbeing, University of Wolverhampton, Walsall, United Kingdom

8 <sup>2</sup>Centre of Research, Education, Innovation and Intervention in Sport, Faculty of Sports, University of Porto,  
9 Porto, Portugal

10 <sup>3</sup>i3S - Instituto de Investigação e Inovação em Saúde, Universidade do Porto, Porto, Portugal

11 <sup>4</sup>IPATIMUP - Institute of Molecular Pathology and Immunology of the University of Porto, Porto, Portugal

12 <sup>5</sup>Faculty of Medicine, University of Porto, Porto, Portugal

13 <sup>6</sup>FAME Laboratory, School of Sports and Exercise Sciences, University of Thessaly, Trikala, Greece

14 <sup>7</sup>Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Porto, Porto, Portugal

15 <sup>8</sup>School of Health Technology of Porto, Polytechnic Institute of Porto, Porto, Portugal

16  
17 Tânia Amorim, Cecília Durães, José Carlos Machado, George s Metsios, Matthew Wyon, José Maia, Andreas D  
18 Flouris, Franklim Marques, Luísa Nogueira, Nuno Adubeiro, and Yiannis Koutedakis declare that they have no  
19 conflict of interest.

20  
21 Corresponding author:

22 Tânia Amorim

23 University of Wolverhampton, Faculty of Education, Health and Wellbeing

24 Walsall Campus, Gorway Rd, Walsall WS1 3BD

25 United Kingdom

26 [tania\\_amorim@hotmail.com](mailto:tania_amorim@hotmail.com)

27 **SUMMARY**

28 The association of genetic polymorphisms with low bone mineral density in elite athletes have not been  
29 considered previously. The present study found that bone mass phenotypes in elite and pre-elite dancers is  
30 related to genetic variants at the Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin and ER pathways.

31

32 **ABSTRACT**

33 *Purpose* Some athletes (e.g., gymnasts, dancers, swimmers) are at increased risk for low bone mineral density  
34 (BMD) which, if untreated, can lead to osteoporosis. To investigate the association of genetic polymorphisms in  
35 the oestrogen receptor (ER) and the Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin signalling pathways with low BMD in elite and pre-elite  
36 dancers (impact sport athletes).

37 *Methods* The study included three phases: 1) 151 elite and pre-elite dancers were screened for the presence of  
38 low BMD and traditional osteoporosis risk factors (low body weight, menstrual disturbances, low energy  
39 availability); 2) a genetic association study was conducted in 151 elite and pre-elite dancers and age- and sex-  
40 matched controls; 3) serum sclerostin was measured in 101 pre-elite dancers and age- and sex-matched controls  
41 within a 3-year period.

42 *Results* Eighty dancers revealed low BMD: 56.3% had at least one traditional osteoporosis risk factor, whereas  
43 28.6% did not display any risk factor (37.2% revealed traditional osteoporosis risk factors, but had normal  
44 BMD). Body weight, menstrual disturbances and energy availability did not fully predict bone mass acquisition.  
45 Instead, genetic polymorphisms in the ER and Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin pathways were found to be risk factors for low  
46 BMD in elite dancers. Sclerostin was significantly increased in dancers compared to controls during the 3-year  
47 follow-up ( $p < 0.05$ ).

48 *Conclusions* Elite and pre-elite dancers demonstrate high prevalence of low BMD, which is likely related to  
49 genetic variants at the Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin and ER pathways and not to factors usually associated with BMD in  
50 athletes (body weight, menstrual disturbances, energy deficiency).

51 *Keywords* BMD; athletes; sclerostin; weight-bearing; impact sports

52

53

## 54 INTRODUCTION

55 Ample research on animal models and human populations has shown that there is a high variability in the  
56 adaptation of bone to exercise [1,2], which is modulated to a large extent by genetic factors [2,3]. Therefore,  
57 identification of single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in genes of mechanotransduction signalling pathways  
58 can contribute to a further understanding of the factors involved in low bone mass phenotypes, particularly in  
59 individuals participating in impact sports (running, basketball, gymnastics, dancing, volleyball, etc.). The  
60 recently-described oestrogen receptor (ER) and the Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin signalling pathways play key roles in bone  
61 responsiveness to mechanical loading [4,5], since osteoblast lineage cells require their full activity [6,7]. To date,  
62 our knowledge on the adaptation of bone to exercise in relation to SNPs of these pathways remains limited  
63 despite that the prevalence of low bone mineral density (BMD) remains high even in individuals participating in  
64 impact sports [8–10].

65 Physical training, in general, and impact exercise, in particular, can protect against the risk of low  
66 BMD [11,12]. In accordance, the World Health Organisation issued exercise guidelines to promote bone health  
67 in both males and females [13]. Nevertheless, while impact exercise can prevent low BMD, the effects of  
68 organized impact sport training on bone health remain controversial [14,15]. For instance, some elite athletes in  
69 impact sports (particularly dancing) have lower BMD values than their non-exercising counterparts [9,10,16].  
70 The theory currently used to explain the low BMD in these elite athletes involves mechanisms related to the  
71 growth hormone (GH) – insulin-like growth factor-I (IGF-I) axis and to the hypothalamic–hypophyseal–gonadal  
72 (HHG) axis. It has been hypothesized that these pathways are modulated by intense impact training which, in  
73 turn, is associated with the presence of low body weight, menstrual disturbances, and/or negative energy balance  
74 [14,17–20], leading, eventually, to impairment of bone mineralization. This phenomenon is known as the  
75 ‘female athlete triad’ or ‘relative energy deficiency in sport’ (RED-S) [14,21] and has been recognised by  
76 several health organisations as a condition deserving appropriate monitoring and treatment [21–23]. However,  
77 several reports have suggested that low BMD in female elite athletes can occur without the presence of low body  
78 weight, menstrual disturbances or energy deficiency [24–28]. Moreover, far less is known in relation to male  
79 athletes.

80 Elite dancers represent an ideal population within impact sport athletes to investigate the association  
81 of SNPs in the ER and the Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin signalling pathways with low bone mass phenotypes. Given that elite  
82 dancers are exposed to long hours of impact exercise regimens and to an environment that emphasizes leanness  
83 [29,30], the study of such individuals may shed light on the variability of bone anabolic responses to both  
84 exercise and osteoporosis risk factors (e.g. low body weight and fat mass). Dance training also offers a great  
85 model of mechanical loading since it may differently affect the peripheral and axial skeleton [8]. Consequently,  
86 the aim of the present study was to investigate the association of SNPs in the ER and the Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin  
87 signalling pathways with low BMD phenotypes in a group of elite and pre-elite ballet dancers.

88

## 89 MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 90 Study population

91 We used female and male pre-elite ballet dancers from a pre-elite dance school (offering full-time training to  
92 enter professional level; students have to audition for a place; 4-8 hours of training per day), and female and  
93 male elite dancers from a professional ballet company (6-8 hours of training per day). Pilot studies were

94 administrated in a group of pre-elite dancers in order to calculate the sample size needed for prevalence  
95 estimates. In a sample of 36 dancers and 36 matched-controls, low BMD (Z-score of <-2.0) at the lumbar spine  
96 (LS) was found in 36% and 6%, respectively. Based on this finding, we estimated that 41 participants were  
97 needed in each group to obtain 95% power, with  $\alpha=0.05$ .

98 An introductory letter briefly describing the study was sent to the executive boards of the dance school  
99 and ballet company. Following the boards' permission, pre-elite dancers (and respective guardians) and elite  
100 dancers were presented with the purposes of the study; 126 dance students (70.0%) and 41 elite dancers (68.3%)  
101 volunteered. All volunteers completed a questionnaire concerning their ethnicity, medical history, and  
102 past/current calcium/vitamin D supplementation. Eligible criteria included participants of white European origin,  
103 with no illnesses or treatments that might affect bone metabolism, not taking medication known to affect bone  
104 metabolism and no calcium/vitamin D supplementation (two dance students and one elite dancers were  
105 excluded). Women taking oral contraceptives and hormonal therapy were also excluded (one elite dancer). Based  
106 on these criteria, the studied population consisted of 151 elite ballet dancers and pre-elite dance students.

107 The non-exercising participants (controls) were recruited from two local state schools and local  
108 Universities. Eligibility criteria were set according to the dancers' characteristics, i.e. controls were only  
109 considered eligible if they were of the same sex, age (defined as decimal age; 12-months difference of a dancer)  
110 and race (white European-Caucasian) as dancers. Exclusion criteria included participation in organised physical  
111 activities/sports (i.e., beyond school curriculum for students; 2 sessions of physical education lessons at school,  
112 1/1.5 hours per session). Control participation was also restricted to those who had received/were receiving  
113 medications known to affect bone metabolism and to who reported illnesses/treatments that might affect bone  
114 metabolism. Out of the 282 responses (105 pupils, 177 university students), 151 that fulfilled the aforementioned  
115 criteria and were further included in the study.

116 All participants provided signed informed consent according to the Declaration of Helsinki. The study  
117 was approved by the ethics committee of the Regional Administration of Health of Lisbon, Portugal  
118 (Proc.063/CES/INV/2012).

119

## 120 **Study design**

121 Study design and data collection are summarised in Figure 1. The study consisted in three phases. The first phase  
122 included a cross-sectional study in all elite dancers and pre-elite dance students (151 participants); these dancers  
123 were screened for the presence of low BMD and traditional osteoporosis risk factors (i.e. low body weight,  
124 menstrual disturbances, and low energy availability) considering current guidelines [21–23]. The second phase  
125 included a genetic association study in all recruited elite dancers, pre-elite dance students and aged- and sex-  
126 matched controls (151 dancers and 151 controls). Finally, the third phase included a longitudinal assessment of a  
127 subgroup of 101 pre-elite dance students (and aged- and sex-matched controls) to evaluate the associations of  
128 sclerostin with bone mass gains.

129

### 130 *First phase (cross-sectional observations)*

131 All 151 elite ballet dancers and pre-elite dance students were screened for the presence of low BMD. The ISCD  
132 criterion for children was used to assess pre-elite dance students (the ISCD has adopted the term “low BMD” for  
133 a Z-score less than -2.0), and the ACSM guidelines [14] were adopted for our elite ballet dancers. The ACSM

134 uses the term “low BMD” for a Z-score between -1.0 and -2.0 (along with secondary risk factors for stress  
135 fractures) and the term “osteoporotic” for a Z-score equal or less than -2.0 (along with secondary risk factors for  
136 stress fractures). Specifically, dancers were screened for the presence of low body weight (defined as a body  
137 mass index of <18.5 for adult participants; for children and adolescents, it was considered the body mass index  
138 expected for their age), low energy availability (<30.0 kcal/kgFFM/day), and, in case of female participants,  
139 menstrual disturbances (primary/secondary amenorrhea, oligoamenorrhea).

140

#### 141 Second phase (genetic associations)

142 Genes related to low bone mass phenotypes and involved in mechanotransduction were identified according to  
143 literature reports [4,5]. This resulted in the identification of four genes: *ESR1* and *ESR2* (ER signalling pathway),  
144 as well as *SOST* and *LRP5* (Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin pathway). SNPs in or near these four genes reported to have a  
145 significant association with BMD variation and risk of osteoporosis in European populations were identified  
146 according to literature search [31]. The following SNPs were identified in *SOST*: rs851054, rs851056,  
147 rs10534024, rs4792909, rs9902563; *LRP5*: rs3736228, rs2306862, rs682429, rs491347, rs3781590, rs2508836,  
148 rs643892, rs312786; *ESR1*: rs2234693, rs9340799; *ESR2*: rs1256030, rs960070.

149 Characteristics of each SNP were further examined using the Ensembl database, Hapmap and NCBI.  
150 Linkage disequilibrium (LD) analyses were performed using Haploview 4.1 with data retrieved from HapMap  
151 (CEU population). SNPs were then selected according to the following parameters: a) LD ( $R^2$ ) within each gene  
152 <0.8; b) distance from the promoter and 3'UTR <30Kb; and c) minor allele frequency (MAF) <0.2. The  
153 following eleven SNPs were selected for genotyping: *SOST*: rs851054, rs10534024; *LRP5*: rs682429, rs491347,  
154 rs2508836, rs587808, rs312786; *ESR1*: rs2234693, rs9340799; *ESR2*: rs1256030, rs960070.

155

#### 156 Third phase (longitudinal observations)

157 All pre-elite dance students (n=115) and aged- and sex-matched controls included in the cross-sectional analysis  
158 were asked to participate on a follow-up study in order to analyse sclerostin serum concentration and bone mass  
159 throughout growth. Sixty-three female and 38 male pre-elite dance students (vs. 50 and 47 age- and sex-matched  
160 controls, respectively) volunteered. Data were collected annually for three consecutive years, from January 2013  
161 to March 2015. Details on the participants' measurements and specific methodology appear in Figure 1.

162

#### 163 **Anthropometry, menstrual, nutritional intake and energy availability**

164 Chronological age (obtained as decimal age) and anthropometry measurements were collected. Height, sitting  
165 height and body weight were measured in t-shirt, shorts and bare feet using a stadiometer (Seca, Seca217  
166 portable stadiometer, Hamburg, Germany) with accuracy of 0.1 cm and an electric scale (TANITA BC-418 MA  
167 Segmental Body Composition Analyser; Tanita Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) with an accuracy of 0.1 kg.

168 All female participants were presented with a questionnaire to determine age at menarche, regularity of  
169 menstrual cycles and consumption of contraceptives. Amenorrhea was defined as the absence of menses for  
170 three consecutive months, whereas oligomenorrhea was considered when menstrual cycles occurred at intervals  
171 of greater than 35 days.

172 Nutrient intakes were recorded via a 3-day food diary, previously validated [32]. Participants were  
173 asked to record all food and beverages consumed during two week days and one weekend day following

174 appropriate instructions. The software Food Processor SQL Edition, version 9.8.1 was used to estimate average  
175 energy and nutrition intakes. During the week that nutrition information was collected, energy expenditure was  
176 also estimated using an accelerometer – SenseWear [33] for 7 consecutive days. Energy availability was further  
177 estimated using standard protocols (<http://www.femaleathletriad.org/calculators/>); information on dietary  
178 energy intake (provided by the food diary), exercise energy expenditure (information retrieved from the  
179 accelerometer), and body fat percentage (measured by DXA) was used for the estimation of energy availability.

180

### 181 **Hormonal analysis**

182 Blood samples were collected in early morning after an 8-hour fasting. Blood samples were submitted to  
183 centrifugation at 2500g for 10 min; serum samples were stored at -80°C until they were analysed. Serum  
184 sclerostin concentrations were measured by an ELISA assay kit (Human SOST/Sclerostin Quantikine ELISA  
185 Kit, Ref DSST00), from R&D Systems, Inc. (Minneapolis, MN 55413, USA). The intra-assay and inter-assay  
186 CV's ranged between 1.8-2.1% and 8.2-10.8%, respectively.

187

### 188 **Genotyping**

189 Genomic DNA was isolated from blood using the MagNA Pure LC DNA isolation kit (Roche, Switzerland)  
190 according to product specifications. Primers were generated from the genomic sequence using Primer-BLAST  
191 and its specificity determined using BLASTn. DNA was amplified with the QIAGEN Multiplex PCR Kit  
192 (Qiagen, Germany), either in single PCR reactions (SNP rs312786) or in two sets of multiplex reactions (set 1:  
193 SNPs rs2234693, rs960070, rs682429, rs587808 and rs851054; set 2: SNPs rs9340799, rs1256030, rs491347,  
194 rs2508836 and rs10534024). PCR products were purified using Sephadex G-50 fine (Sigma-Aldrich, USA)  
195 columns on a filtration plate and genotypes determined using the Genetic Analyzer 3130 and 3130xl (Applied  
196 Biosystems).

197

### 198 **Bone measurements**

199 BMD at the LS, FN and forearm (1/3 distal radius) were measured using DXA. Participants were assessed in two  
200 different centres using Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA): Lunar (GE Lunar Prodigy) and Hologic  
201 (Discovery Wi). For consistency, the same certified technician performed all scans and analyses at both centres  
202 in each year. The data obtained from the two machines were homogenised by following the procedure described  
203 elsewhere [34].

204

### 205 **Statistical analyses**

206 *First phase (cross-sectional observations):* Independent t-tests were used to compare descriptive characteristics  
207 and unadjusted values of bone measurements between dancers with low BMD and dancers with normal BMD.  
208 Bone parameters were further compared between elite dancers with low BMD with elites with normal BMD  
209 after adjustment for age, sex and primary amenorrhea using analysis of covariance (ANCOVA). ANCOVA was  
210 also used to estimate bone mass values in pre-elite dance students' bone mass values after the adjustment for sex,  
211 energy availability, fat, calcium and carbohydrates intakes.

212 *Second phase (genetic associations):* Independent t-tests were used to compare general characteristics between  
213 dancers and controls (stratified by bone mass phenotypes). Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) of alleles at

214 individual loci (level of significance set at  $p < 0.01$ ) was measured at the level of the control population.  
215 Association of genotypes with study groups (defined according to bone mass status: dancers with normal BMD  
216 vs. dancers with low BMD, and controls with normal BMD vs. dancers with low BMD) and independence of  
217 SNPs were assessed by unconditional logistic regression with the “SNPassoc” package implemented in R. The  
218 minor allele of most SNPs is the ancestral allele and, thus, it has been selected as the reference allele in all  
219 analysis. Four hereditary models were considered in the analysis (codominant, dominant, recessive and log-  
220 additive) and included the variable weight. Other confounding variables such as sex and age were not included in  
221 the models because the BMD measurements were performed according to references that already included  
222 adjustment for those variables. The adjustment for multiple testing was performed by the false discovery rate  
223 (FDR) method. Haplotype frequencies were inferred using the “haplo.stats” package implemented in R.  
224 Haplotype association with the study groups (OR, 95% CI and  $p$  values) was assessed for those with a minimum  
225 haplotype frequency of 0.01 and using as reference the most frequent haplotype.  
226 *Third phase (longitudinal observations):* Independent t-tests were used to compare general characteristics  
227 between pre-elite dance students and aged- and sex-matched controls at each measured occasion. Bone mass  
228 values were adjusted for sex and serum sclerostin concentrations using ANCOVA. Analyses in all phases were  
229 performed with SPSS v.20.0 and statistical significance was set at  $p < 0.05$ .

230

## 231 **RESULTS**

### 232 **First phase (cross-sectional observations)**

233 Some dancers revealed low BMD, but did not display any traditional osteoporosis risk factors (and vice-versa).  
234 Specifically, out of the 151 elite ballet dancers and pre-elite dance students, 80 were identified with low BMD  
235 (Figure 2). Out of these 80 athletes, 56.3% had at least one traditional osteoporosis risk factor, whereas 28.6%  
236 were diagnosed with low BMD but did not display any risk factor. In contrast, 37.2% revealed one or more  
237 traditional osteoporosis risk factors, but had normal BMD (Figure 2).

238 General characteristics of the 151 elite ballet dancers and pre-elite dance students are displayed in Table  
239 S1 (Supplement); briefly, all bone mass parameters were significantly attenuated in dancers with low BMD  
240 compared to the ones with normal BMD. Table S2 (Supplement) shows that following adjustments for sex,  
241 energy availability, and nutrition intake (energy, fat, calcium, carbohydrates), elite dancers previously identified  
242 with low BMD continued to display a significant lower BMC and BMD values at the forearm compared with  
243 their counterparts with normal BMD (BMC:  $p < 0.05$ ; BMD:  $p < 0.01$ ). Similarly, pre-elite dancers with low BMD  
244 also displayed significantly lower bone mass parameters compared with pre-elite dancers with normal BMD at  
245 all anatomical sites (FN BMC/ BMD:  $p < 0.001$ ; LS BMC:  $p < 0.001$ ; forearm BMD:  $p < 0.001$ ).

246

### 247 **Second phase (genetic associations)**

248 Genetic variants at the ER and the Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin signalling pathways were found to be risk factors for low BMD  
249 in dancers at both impact and non-impact sites. Table S3 (Supplement) shows selected characteristics of the  
250 genotyped SNPs. Three SNPs [rs682429 (*LRP5*), rs851054 and rs10534024 (*SOST*)] were significantly deviated  
251 from the Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium ( $p < 0.01$ ) and were not further considered. Table 1 shows the association  
252 of SNPs in *LRP5*, *ESR1* and *ESR2* with bone mass phenotypes comparing elite and pre-elite dancers with normal  
253 BMD (reference) and dancers with low BMD. The T allele in rs2234693 (*ESR1*) was associated with low BMD

254 at the LS [OR (CI)=1.77 (1.06-2.97),  $p=0.026$ ]. However, this association was not retained after FDR correction.  
255 Considering the SNP rs9340799 (*ESR1*), the A allele (dominant model) was associated with low BMD in elite  
256 and pre-elite at the forearm [OR (CI)=10.74 (1.37-83.98),  $p=1.9 \times 10^{-3}$ ]. The genotypes AG and AA were  
257 significantly associated with low BMD at the forearm with an OR of 10.63 (1.32-85.87) and 10.85 (1.35-87.36),  
258 respectively ( $p=8.2 \times 10^{-3}$ ).

259 Table 2 shows the distribution of genotypes in controls with normal BMD (reference) and dancers with  
260 low BMD. Considering SNP rs2508836 (*LRP5*), the C allele was associated with low BMD in dancers  
261 (dominant model) at the LS [OR (CI)=6.90 (1.27-37.49),  $p=1.0 \times 10^{-3}$ ]. Considering SNP rs9340799 (*ESR1*), there  
262 was a significant difference in genotype frequencies between normal controls and dancers with low BMD at the  
263 forearm ( $p=0.019$ ), LS ( $p=0.021$ ) and FN ( $p=0.020$ ). The A allele (log-additive model) significantly increased  
264 the odds of low BMD in elite and pre-elite dancers at the forearm [OR (CI)=1.95 (1.09-3.51),  $p=0.020$ ], LS [OR  
265 (CI)=2.32 (1.24-4.32),  $p=5.8 \times 10^{-3}$ ] and FN [OR (CI)=2.45 (1.26-4.74),  $p=5.2 \times 10^{-3}$ ]. The association of the A  
266 allele with low BMD in dancers was also observed in the dominant model at the forearm [OR (CI)=8.37 (1.07-  
267 65.26),  $p=7.0 \times 10^{-3}$ ], and in the recessive model at the LS [OR (CI)=2.98 (1.30-6.87),  $p=9.3 \times 10^{-3}$ ] and FN [OR  
268 (CI)=3.08 (1.26-7.51),  $p=0.012$ ]. All aforementioned associations regarding rs9340799 SNP were retained after  
269 FDR correction. The only significant association retained after FDR correction was at LS for SNP rs9340799 in  
270 *ESR1*. The A allele was significantly associated with low BMD [OR (CI)=2.10 (1.22-3.62),  $p=5.4 \times 10^{-3}$ ], an  
271 association already observed in dancers with low BMD.

272 Table S4 (Supplement) demonstrates an inverse association with low BMD at the FN in dancers with  
273 haplotype CG in *ESR1* [OR (CI)=0.53 (0.29-0.96),  $p=0.037$ ]. Haplotype analysis also revealed that, within the  
274 same anatomical site, the odds of low BMD were significantly increased in dancers with the haplotype GCGT in  
275 *LRP5* [OR (CI)=8.97 (1.14-70.31),  $p=0.037$ ]. Haplotype association tests considering normal controls  
276 (reference) and dancers with low BMD showed the CG haplotype in *ESR1* was inversely associated with low  
277 BMD at the LS [OR (CI)=0.43 (0.22-0.82),  $p=0.001$ ] and at the FN [OR (CI)=0.39 (0.19-0.80),  $p=0.010$ ]. In  
278 *LRP5*, haplotype GCAG was significantly associated with low BMD at the forearm in dancers [OR (CI)=6.43  
279 (1.33-31.14)  $p=0.021$ ] and haplotype GCGT was associated with low BMD at the LS [OR (CI)=12.7 (1.22-  
280 132.18)  $p=0.033$ ].

281

### 282 **Third phase (longitudinal observations)**

283 Serum sclerostin concentrations were increased throughout the 3-year study in pre-elite dance students compared  
284 to controls. Indeed, at baseline and at 1-yr follow-up, female pre-elite dancers revealed significantly higher  
285 sclerostin values than their controls ( $p<0.001$ ) (Figure 3). No significant difference was found in serum  
286 sclerostin concentrations between male pre-elite dance students and their controls. Bone mass values were  
287 further adjusted for sex and sclerostin serum concentrations; after the adjustment, no differences in BMC and  
288 BMD between groups were seen at the FN and LS ( $p>0.05$ ) (Table S5; Supplement).

289

### 290 **DISCUSSION**

291 The present study used elite and pre-elite dancers as a group representing individuals participating in impact  
292 sports and showed that they are exhibiting very high prevalence ( $52.98 \pm 7.96$  %) of low BMD. Moreover, we  
293 found that the low BMD in these individuals is not explained by factors usually associated with bone mass in

294 athletes (i.e. body weight, menstrual disturbances, energy deficiency). Indeed, after adjusting for these factors,  
295 individuals with low BMD continued to display lower bone mass values at both impact and non-impact sites.  
296 More importantly, the present study shows, for the first time, that selected gene polymorphisms of the ER and  
297 the Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin signalling pathways are significantly associated with low BMD in elite impact sport athletes.  
298 Specifically, the *ESR1* rs9340799 A allele, *LRP5* rs2508836 C allele and *LRP5* GCGT/GCAG haplotypes were  
299 associated with increased odds of low BMD at both impact (LS and FN) and non-impact sites (forearm).  
300 Furthermore, we found that serum sclerostin, a protein that inhibits bone formation by blocking the action of the  
301 Wnt in osteoblasts [35], was significantly increased in dancers compared to controls. Taken together, these  
302 results suggest that the ER and Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin signalling pathways may be critical in determining bone mass  
303 phenotypes in elite impact sport athletes.

304 Results from clinical research show that dancers are at higher risk for developing low BMD and  
305 osteoporosis compared to the general population [36–38], yet the mechanistic physiology to explain this  
306 phenomenon remains poorly understood [39]. Previous research highlighted that the exercise-induced response  
307 to mechanical stress by osteoblast lineage cells requires full *ESR1* and *LRP5* activity [6,40]. The present study  
308 showed that dancers C homozygotes for SNP rs2508836 in *LRP5*, dancers *ESR1* rs9340799 A-carriers, and  
309 dancers *LRP5* GCGT and GCAG haplotype-carriers have increased odds for developing low BMD at both  
310 impact and non-impact sites. Therefore, it can be hypothesized that the degree to which each individual responds  
311 to mechanical stress from dance training stimuli is associated with their genetic background. This is further  
312 supported by observations in mice lacking functional *ESR1*, which show a 70% attenuation of the osteogenic  
313 response to loading [41,42], as well as the fact that the Lrp5 co-receptor is regulating osteoblast activity  
314 following loading [6,7]. Based on these key roles of *ESR1* and *LRP5* in the regulation of mechanical loading, it  
315 can be speculated that the *ESR1* rs9340799 and *LRP5* rs2508836 modulated our athletes' skeletal response to  
316 exercise.

317 It should be highlighted that BMD phenotypes are not inherited in a Mendelian manner, since low  
318 BMD onset and progression are influenced by genetic factors modulated by environmental elements [43]; the  
319 results of the present study may express this view. Comparing controls with normal BMD (not receiving exercise  
320 stimuli) to dancers with low BMD (receiving exercise stimuli), it was observed that *ESR1* rs9340799 A allele  
321 and *LRP5* rs2508836 C allele were associated with increased odds of low BMD in dancers, not only at non-  
322 impact sites, but at impact sites too. In turn, comparing dancers with normal BMD with dancers with low BMD  
323 (both groups receiving exercise stimuli), only the *ESR1* rs9340799 A allele was associated with increased odds  
324 of low BMD at non-impact sites only. Since the associations between the risk alleles and phenotypes at impact  
325 sites are not manifested when comparing dancers with normal BMD and dancers with low BMD (both groups  
326 receiving exercise stimuli), it could be hypothesised that genetic and environmental factors were interacting  
327 closely in determining dancers' bone mass phenotypes. However, randomized control trials are needed to  
328 confirm this hypothesis.

329 Both rs9340799 and rs2508836 are located in introns 1. First introns can affect gene transcription,  
330 polyadenylation, mRNA export, translational efficiency, rate of mRNA decay, and may also alter transcription  
331 factors binding sites [44–46]. Therefore, it seems logical to suggest that one of these processes influenced  
332 expression levels of *ESR1* and *LRP5* and, consequently, susceptibility for low BMD phenotypes in our study.  
333 Previous research in other population groups (e.g., post-menopausal women, elderly young adults, adolescents)

334 also reported associations between rs9340799 and rs2508836 and bone mass phenotypes [31]. However, the  
335 mechanisms by which these SNPs may affect gene function and, ultimately, bone phenotypes remain unknown.  
336 Also, the possibility that the present associations are due to linkage disequilibrium with others, causally  
337 associated, SNPs cannot be excluded.

338 Osteocytes mediate the osteogenic response from mechanical loading through sclerostin (encoded by  
339 the SOST gene) [35]; SOST downregulation is associated with bone mass gains, whereas overexpression of  
340 SOST has been linked with low bone mass phenotypes [35,47]. Intervention studies in humans showed reduced  
341 levels of serum sclerostin following exercise stimuli, resulting in bone mass gains [48,49]. Therefore, the  
342 athletes participating in the third phase of this study (longitudinal observations) would be expected to  
343 demonstrate significantly lower sclerostin concentrations and higher bone mass values compared to controls, due  
344 to the osteogenic activity of their daily exercise training. In contrast, the athletes showed significantly higher  
345 sclerostin levels and lower BMD levels than controls. Moreover, these differences were not apparent after  
346 adjustment for sclerostin. Although the role of circulating sclerostin is not entirely clear, the aforementioned  
347 findings may indicate that this protein is inhibiting the Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin pathway leading, eventually, to low BMD.  
348 However, since our population consisted of long-term elite athletes, their skeletons may have reached  
349 equilibrium and the loading impact of dancing may not be leading to a reduction in osteocyte sclerostin.  
350 Although no studies reporting the existence of an exercise stimuli threshold above which bone cells saturate  
351 were found, this hypothesis should not be excluded. As sclerostin is a key protein in Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin, this pathway  
352 might be fundamental in determining bone mass phenotypes in impact sport athletes.

353 Researchers and clinicians should be aware that low BMD in elite and pre-elite dancers might not be  
354 associated with the factors currently proposed by health organisations (i.e. body weight, menstrual disturbances  
355 and energy deficiency). The present study shows that the underlying pathophysiology of low BMD in these  
356 athletes relates to genetic factors influencing bone mass acquisition. Nevertheless, further exploration of the  
357 genes and signalling pathways involved in dancers' adaptive response to exercise stimuli may yield a better  
358 understanding on the pathogenesis of low BMD in dancers, and may be useful in defining new therapeutic  
359 targets for clinical interventions.

360 It is reasonable to assume that the present results may have been influenced by methodological  
361 limitations such as population stratification, a characteristic common in most genetic association studies.  
362 Considering the number of dancers (n=151) and age- and sex-matched controls genotyped (n=151 in each  
363 group), the present study has over 85% power to detect a modest genetic effect (OR of 2.0 and MAF=0.2).  
364 However, some power is lost after stratification, which may explain the lack of associations with other SNPs.  
365 Indeed, although our participants were matched for age, body weight, and sex, the matching for age was partly  
366 lost following stratification. Furthermore, stratification of the sample size might also justify the significant  
367 associations detected under different models for the same SNP (e.g. SNP rs9340799). Another limitation is that  
368 searching for the association of several SNPs with more than one phenotype can lead to increased risk for type I  
369 error (i.e., false-positives). To avoid this limitation, a multiple test correction (FDR) was applied. The present  
370 study included in the same group of dancers adults (who might be already experiencing bone mass losses) and  
371 children (who have not yet reached their peak bone mass). Although Z-scores, instead of BMD mean values,  
372 were used in order to overcome concerns due to the employment of a mixed group of dancers, it is recommend  
373 that future studies should consider to separate adult and children dancers for better clarification. Furthermore, it

374 would be interesting in future studies to collect data on the intensity of dance training and adjust data  
375 accordantly. Finally, it is important to note that our groups included both males and females because the  
376 distribution of Esr1 receptors in bone cells is similar among sexes [50]. Nevertheless, sex differences in the  
377 regulation of the Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin signalling pathway should not be excluded; future studies should consider sexes  
378 separately to determine if one sex is influencing the genetic association or phenotypic variation more than the  
379 other.

380

## 381 **CONCLUSION**

382 Elite and pre-elite dancers demonstrate very high prevalence of low bone mineral density, which is likely related  
383 to genetic variants at the Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin and ER signalling pathways and not to factors usually associated with  
384 bone mass in athletes (i.e. body weight, menstrual disturbances, energy deficiency).

385

## 386 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

387 The authors thank the Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology for supporting Tânia Amorim (PhD  
388 grant ref: SFRH/BD/88585/2012) and Cecília Durães (postdoctoral grant ref: SFRH/BPD/62974/2009). Thanks  
389 are also expressed to the Ipatimup Diagnostics Service.

390

## 391 **REFERENCES**

- 392 1. Jepsen KJ. Systems analysis of bone. *Syst Biol Med.* 2009;73–88.
- 393 2. Kesavan C, Mohan S, Srivastava AK, Kapoor S, Wergedal JE, Yu H, et al. Identification of genetic loci that  
394 regulate bone adaptive response to mechanical loading in C57BL/6J and C3H/HeJ mice intercross. *Bone.*  
395 2006;39:634–43.
- 396 3. Xing W, Baylink D, Kesavan C, Hu Y, Kapoor S, Chadwick RB, et al. Global gene expression analysis in the  
397 bones reveals involvement of several novel genes and pathways in mediating an anabolic response of mechanical  
398 loading in mice. *J. Cell. Biochem.* 2005;96:1049–60.
- 399 4. Suuriniemi M, Mahonen A, Kovanen V, Alén M, Lyytikäinen A, Wang Q, et al. Association between exercise  
400 and pubertal BMD is modulated by estrogen receptor alpha genotype. *J. Bone Miner. Res.* 2004;19:1758–65.
- 401 5. Bonewald LF. The amazing osteocyte. *J. Bone Miner. Res.* 2011;26:229–38.
- 402 6. Bonewald LF, Johnson ML. Osteocytes, mechanosensing and Wnt signaling. *Bone.* 2008;42:606–15.
- 403 7. Liedert A, Wagner L, Seefried L, Ebert R, Jakob F, Ignatius A. Estrogen receptor and Wnt signaling interact  
404 to regulate early gene expression in response to mechanical strain in osteoblastic cells. *Biochem. Biophys. Res.*  
405 *Commun. Elsevier Inc.;* 2010;394:755–9.
- 406 8. Amorim T, Koutedakis Y, Nevill A, Wyon M, Maia J, Machado JC, et al. Bone mineral density in vocational  
407 and professional ballet dancers. *Osteoporos Int.* 2017;28:2903–12.
- 408 9. Scofield KL, Hecht S. Bone Health in Endurance Athletes. *Curr. Sports Med. Rep.* 2012;11:328–34.
- 409 10. Pollock N, Grogan C, Perry M, Pedlar C, Cooke K, Morrissey D, et al. Bone-mineral density and other  
410 features of the female athlete triad in elite endurance runners: A longitudinal and cross-sectional observational  
411 study. *Int. J. Sport Nutr. Exerc. Metab.* 2010;20:418–26.
- 412 11. Xu J, Lombardi G, Jiao W, Banfi G. Effects of Exercise on Bone Status in Female Subjects, from Young  
413 Girls to Postmenopausal Women: An Overview of Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses. *Sports Med.* 2016;

- 414 12. Guadalupe-Grau A, Fuentes T, Guerra B, Calbet JAL. Exercise and bone mass in adults. *Sport. Med.*  
415 2009;39:439–68.
- 416 13. Global Recommendations on Physical Activity for Health WHO Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data  
417 Global recommendations on physical activity for health. [cited 2016 Oct 14]; Available from:  
418 [http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/44399/1/9789241599979\\_eng.pdf](http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/44399/1/9789241599979_eng.pdf)
- 419 14. Nattiv A, Loucks AB, Manore MM, Sanborn CF, Sundgot-Borgen J, Warren MP. The female athlete triad.  
420 *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 2007;39:1867–82.
- 421 15. Gibbs JC, Williams NI, De Souza MJ. Prevalence of individual and combined components of the female  
422 athlete triad. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 2013;45:985–96.
- 423 16. Torstveit MK, Sundgot-Borgen J. The female athlete triad exists in both elite athletes and controls. *Med. Sci.*  
424 *Sports Exerc.* 2005;37:1449–59.
- 425 17. Georgopoulos N a, Markou KB, Theodoropoulou A, Vagenakis G a, Mylonas P, Vagenakis AG. Growth,  
426 pubertal development, skeletal maturation and bone mass acquisition in athletes. *Hormones.* 2004;3:233–43.
- 427 18. Wheatley S, Khan S, Székely AD, Naughton DP, Petróczi A. Expanding the Female Athlete Triad concept to  
428 address a public health issue. *Perform. Enhanc. Heal.* [Internet]. Elsevier Ltd; 2012;1:10–27. Available from:  
429 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.peh.2012.03.001>
- 430 19. Bonjour JP, Chevalley T. Pubertal timing, bone acquisition, and risk of fracture throughout life. *Endocr. Rev.*  
431 2014;35:820–47.
- 432 20. Di Pietro L, Stachenfeld N. Refutation of the myth of the female athlete triad. *Br. J. Sports Med.*  
433 2006;41:57–8.
- 434 21. Mountjoy M, Sundgot-Borgen J, Burke L, Carter S, Constantini N, Lebrun C, et al. The IOC consensus  
435 statement: beyond the Female Athlete Triad--Relative Energy Deficiency in Sport (RED-S). *Br. J. Sports Med.*  
436 2014;48:491–7.
- 437 22. Kleposki RW. The female athlete triad: a terrible trio implications for primary care. *J Am Acad Nurse Pr.*  
438 2002;14:23–6.
- 439 23. George C, Leonard J, Hutchinson M. The female athlete triad: a current concepts review. *South African J.*  
440 *Sport. Med.* 2011;23:50–6.
- 441 24. Robinson TL, Snow-Harter C, Taaffe DR, Gillis D, Shaw J, Marcus R. Gymnasts exhibit higher bone mass  
442 than runners despite similar prevalence of amenorrhea and oligomenorrhea. *J. Bone Miner. Res.* 1995;10:26–35.
- 443 25. Maïmoun L, Coste O, Mariano-Goulart D, Galtier F, Mura T, Philibert P, et al. In peripubertal girls, artistic  
444 gymnastics improves areal bone mineral density and femoral bone geometry without affecting serum  
445 OPG/RANKL levels. *Osteoporos. Int.* 2011;22:3055–66.
- 446 26. Maïmoun L, Coste O, Philibert P, Briot K, Mura T, Galtier F, et al. Peripubertal female athletes in high-  
447 impact sports show improved bone mass acquisition and bone geometry. *Metabolism.* Elsevier Inc.;  
448 2013;62:1088–98.
- 449 27. Khan KM, Green RM, Saul a, Bennell KL, Crichton KJ, Hopper JL, et al. Retired elite female ballet dancers  
450 and nonathletic controls have similar bone mineral density at weightbearing sites. *J. Bone Miner. Res.*  
451 1996;11:1566–74.
- 452 28. Kirchner EM, Lewis RD, O'Connor PJ. Bone mineral density and dietary intake of female college gymnasts.  
453 *Med. Sci. Sport. Exerc.* 1994;27:543–9.

- 454 29. Twitchett EA, Koutedakis Y, Wyon MA. Physiological Fitness and Professional Classical Ballet  
455 Performance: A Brief Review. *J. Strength Cond. Res.* 2009;23:2732–40.
- 456 30. Twitchett E, Ph D, Brodrick A, Sc M, Nevill AM, Koutedakis Y, et al. Does Physical Fitness Affect Injury  
457 Occurrence and Time Loss Due to Injury in Elite Vocational Ballet Students? *J. Danc. Med. Sci.* 2010;14:26–31.
- 458 31. Li WF, Hou SX, Yu B, Li MM, Férec C, Chen JM. Genetics of osteoporosis: Accelerating pace in gene  
459 identification and validation. *Hum. Genet.* 2010;127:249–85.
- 460 32. Crawford PB, Obarzanek E, Morrison J, Sabry ZI. Comparative advantage of 3-day food records over 24-  
461 hour recall and 5-day food frequency validated by observation of 9- and 10-year-old girls. *J. Am. Diet. Assoc.*  
462 1994;94:626–630.
- 463 33. Brazeau AS, Beaudoin N, Bélisle V, Messier V, Karelis AD, Rabasa-Lhoret R. Validation and reliability of  
464 two activity monitors for energy expenditure assessment. *J. Sci. Med. Sport. Sports Medicine Australia;*  
465 2016;19:46–50.
- 466 34. Amorim T. Bone mass of female dance students prior to professional dance training: a cross-sectional study.  
467 *PLoS One.* 2017;12:1–11.
- 468 35. Tu X, Rhee Y, Condon KW, Bivi N, Allen MR, Dwyer D, et al. Sost downregulation and local Wnt  
469 signaling are required for the osteogenic response to mechanical loading. *Bone. Elsevier B.V.;* 2012;50:209–17.
- 470 36. Doyle-Lucas AF, Akers JD, Davy BM. Energetic efficiency, menstrual irregularity, and bone mineral density  
471 in elite professional female ballet dancers. *J. Dance Med. Sci.* 2010;14:146–54.
- 472 37. Burckhardt P, Wynn E, Krieg M-A, Bagutti C, Faouzi M. The effects of nutrition, puberty and dancing on  
473 bone density in adolescent ballet dancers. *J. Dance Med. Sci.* 2011;15:51–60.
- 474 38. Valentino R, Savastano S, Tommaselli AP, D'Amore G, Dorato M, Lombardi G. The influence of intense  
475 ballet training on trabecular bone mass, hormone status, and gonadotropin structure in young women. *J. Clin.*  
476 *Endocrinol. Metab.* 2001;86:4674–8.
- 477 39. Amorim T, Wyon M, Machado JC, Metsios GS, Flouris D, Koutedakis Y. Prevalence of Low Bone Mineral  
478 Density in Female Dancers. *Sport. Med.* 2015;45:257–68.
- 479 40. Monroe DG, McGee-Lawrence ME, Oursler MJ, Westendorf JJ. Update on Wnt signaling in bone cell  
480 biology and bone disease. *Gene. Elsevier B.V.;* 2012;492:1–18.
- 481 41. Tobias JH. At the crossroads of skeletal responses to estrogen and exercise. *Trends Endocrinol. Metab.*  
482 2003;14:441–3.
- 483 42. Lee K, Lanyon L. Mechanical loading influences bone mass through estrogen receptor alpha. *Exerc. Sport*  
484 *Sci. Rev.* 2004;64–8.
- 485 43. Delgado-Calle J. Do Epigenetic Marks Govern Bone Mass and Homeostasis? *Curr. Genomics.* 2012;13:252–  
486 63.
- 487 44. Li H, Chen D, Zhang J. Analysis of Intron Sequence Features Associated with Transcriptional Regulation in  
488 Human Genes. *PLoS One.* 2012;7:1–9.
- 489 45. Majewski J, Majewski J, Ott J, Ott J. Distribution and characterization of regulatory elements in the human  
490 genome. *Genome Res.* 2002;12:1827–36.
- 491 46. Nott A, Meislin SH, Moore MJ. A quantitative analysis of intron effects on mammalian gene expression A  
492 quantitative analysis of intron effects on mammalian gene expression. *Rna.* 2003;607–17.
- 493 47. Winkler DG, Sutherland MK, Geoghegan JC, Yu C, Hayes T, Skonier JE, et al. Osteocyte control of bone

494 formation via sclerostin, a novel BMP antagonist. *EMBO J.* 2003;22:6267–76.  
495 48. Hinton PS, Nigh P, Thyfault J. Serum sclerostin decreases following 12 months of resistance- or jump-  
496 training in men with low bone mass. *Bone*. 10.1016/j.bone.2016.10.011; 2016;  
497 49. Ardawi M-SM, Rouzi AA, Qari MH. Physical activity in relation to serum sclerostin, insulin-like growth  
498 factor-1, and bone turnover markers in healthy premenopausal women: A cross-sectional and a longitudinal  
499 study. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* 2012;97:3691–9.  
500 50. Braidman IP, Hainey L, Batra G, Selby PL, Saunders PT, Hoyland J a. Localization of estrogen receptor beta  
501 protein expression in adult human bone. *J. Bone Miner. Res.* 2001;16:214–20.  
502