# So you want to be an academic researcher in business and management studies!

Where do you start and what are the key philosophical issues to think about?

by

### Dan Remenyi

Visiting Professor at Henley Management College and

Visiting Professor at the Department of Information Systems University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg 035DANS@MENTOR.EDCM.WITS.AC.ZA

# So you want to be an academic researcher in business and management studies!

### Where do you start and what are the key philosophical issues to think about?

by

#### Dan Remenyi

#### 1. Introduction

The aim of this paper is to discuss the philosophical issues which are necessary to consider when undertaking academic research into business or management. The paper also considers the research options or paradigms available and suggests how a researcher can make an informed and sensible decision as to how to proceed.

The starting point in all research undertakings is to focus clearly on the fact that the objective of this activity is to add something of value to the body of accumulated knowledge and in this case accumulated business and management knowledge. This means that an unanswered question or unsolved problem will be identified and studied and that the researcher will attempt to produce a suitable answer or a solution or illustrate a specific area. Of course the focus here is on difficult problems to which the solution is not obvious and which when solved will add material value to the subject area being studied.

There are at least three major philosophical<sup>1</sup> questions which should be addressed at the outset of the research. These are *why research?* what to research? and how to research? It could also be argued that where to research? and when to research? although of lesser philosophical importance, also deserve attention. In addition there is the question of research ethics which is discussed in a later paper in this series (Remenyi & Williams 1995).

It is important to understand why it is necessary to be concerned with these philosophical questions. A researcher has to be able to convince an audience that by

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Philosophical questions are regarded for the purposes of this paper as those which help set the framework in which the research will be conducted. This framework includes the type of problem researched, where it will be researched and how it will be researched.

his/her research efforts something of value<sup>2</sup> has been added to the body of knowledge. This audience is usually very critical, being composed of examiners, funders or colleagues. In addition the academic researcher needs to explain why the research should be considered important; needs to point out precisely what was found and what use the findings are to the community, as well as be able to state clearly the basis of the claim of adding something new of value to the store of knowledge. Sound answers to these questions rely on the philosophical underpinning of the research process. Academic research into business and management issues need to be contrasted with commercial research or intelligence. Unlike the former, the latter is about accessing already established knowledge and presenting it in a more accessible manner for the purposes of decision making.

#### 2. Why research?

There are two levels at which the question of *Why research?* should be considered. At the first level, which is rather obvious, the need to research is related to the fact that there are many issues and subjects about which we have very incomplete knowledge. Although there are examples of this in every discipline, in business studies there are perhaps even more unanswered questions than in many other areas of study due to the fast changing nature of the subject. The relationships between markets and products; the relationships between financial structures and corporate performance; the relationships between individual performance and corporate structure and the relationships between information technology and effectiveness are but a few areas which need exploring in order to enhance general comprehension. In fact, business studies is sometimes said to lack a rigorously formulated body of knowledge and this view is clearly supported by Pascale (1990) who suggests that:-

"Even today's most careful students of organisations will readily admit that they lack adequate models to predict<sup>3</sup> corporate success. Recall how widely we celebrated such New Age cultures as People Express, Atari, and Rolm. Ardent supporters include academics, consultants, business journalists, and seasoned executives. Our former enthusiasm becomes a source of embarrassment<sup>4</sup> when we hold ourselves accountable for predictive accuracy.

It is no longer permissible to dismiss these reversals lightly, acknowledging once again that "management is an art," and excusing ignorance by giving it another name. The sobering truth is that our theories, models, and conventional wisdom combined appear no better at predicting an organisation's ability to sustain itself than if we were to rely on random chance".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The subject matter of the research determines what is likely to be of value. With regards business and management research economists distinguish between two main types of value which are described as *value in use* and *value in exchange*. In terms of research in the business and management arena value in use is the primary objective with value in exchange being a serendipitous event if it occurs. Thus if a PhD dissertation is published and this brings fame and fortune to the researcher this is an additional bonus.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Prediction may be less appropriate in this context than understanding. Nonetheless Pascale's point is quite clear.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Remember how celebrated IBM was as one of, if not the, best managed business organisation in the world and how in recent years this view has been largely reversed.

The second aspect of the need to research is related to *homo sapiens*' compulsion for growth. There appears to be an endless requirement for increased performance in all aspects of life. Bigger and faster aeroplanes are required. Greater athletic performance is demanded of sports people. Better health care is actively sought. More profits are demanded of business houses etc. In a similar way society understands that knowledge is power and therefore there is the need to continually break the frontiers of knowledge through the research process. In fact it is hoped that the acquisition of more knowledge will directly or indirectly lead to the greater good of the society at large. Thus billions of dollars are spent annually on research into a wide range of subjects affecting the daily lives of the population.

Of course academic research may be conducted to obtain a degree which may be an end in its own right or it may be to acquire a qualification for a particular employment opportunity. Research is conducted by university teachers in order that they might be confirmed in their posts as well as to satisfy a compulsion to be at the leading edge of their subject.

There is however a dark side to research. As knowledge is power it can be misused. Although genetics can help cure many diseases it can also be used to identify the sex of the unborn in order to allow the termination of unwanted foeti. Genetics can be used to help resolve paternity or maternity suits, but in the hands of regimes such as the old South African apartheid system it could be used for unacceptable racial classification. Knowledge of information systems can be used to help organisations become more efficient and effective, but it can also be used to impose punitive work regimes on the staff. Information technology can enrich certain parts of the society while putting many thousands of white and blue collar workers out of a job. Science, which is often regarded as nothing more than another word for knowledge, has been described by Collins & Pinch (1994) as a *Golem*. A Golem is a very powerful but very clumsy slave which must be used with considerable caution.

But on balance research is a most exciting, stimulating and rewarding activity which many masters and doctoral students report as being the *best part* of their educational experience.

#### 3. What (and where) to research?

The questions What to research? and Where to research? are very closely related. Clearly it is not sensible to expect an institution which has little or no expertise in a discipline to be able to support a research initiative in that area or field of study and thus an aspirant researcher should look for an appropriate location at which to pursue his/her scholarly works.

Assuming an institution with adequate competence in the business and management environment, the answer to the question of what to research is at first glance obvious. For business and management researchers, issues related to improving the efficiency and effectiveness of the business and management process are the main focus of what should be researched. However this is too general to be of much value to any aspirant researcher.

Every would be researcher will most probably have undertaken a previous course of study in which a subject or discipline will have been studied to some considerable depth. This may have been achieved by an undergraduate degree in economics, sociology, psychology or accounting, to mention only a few possible options. It may also have been achieved without a degree, through many years of working experience, especially where the individual has made a definite effort to keep up with the latest thinking in the field by reading the appropriate literature. These studies and/or experiences will have provided a strong base on which to build a research programme. However, as well as in-depth knowledge of the subject, the aspirant researcher should also be widely read in order to put the discipline into context as well as to look for interdisciplinary linkages and connections.

Nonetheless it is generally considered advisable, although not essential, for an aspirant researcher to work closely to the original discipline studied. Although it is not impossible to change disciplines for a masters or doctorate degree, such a change implies a very substantial amount of work for the candidate to become up-to-date with the subject matter and acquire sufficient familiarity with the relevant body of academic thinking.

In addition to the researchers' own competence is the issue of the expertise of the chosen institute and the possible supervisors therein. Few aspirant researchers have a high degree of freedom as to where they will research. Usually personal finance, convenience of location or previous academic pedigree dictate the institute or institutes which will accept the researcher. With these constraints in mind it is vital for the aspirant researcher to get to know the faculty in order to establish their research interests as well as their strengths and weaknesses. In addition to these relatively objective issues there is the question of personal chemistry between researcher and supervisor. It is usually sensible for there to be some degree of empathy between these parties. In fact there is a school of thought which suggests that the aspirant researcher should primarily concentrate on finding a suitable mentor and be prepared to accept a research topic recommended by him/her.

Whether or not such a personality orientated approach is adopted it is most important for the researcher to find a research field in which the faculty has expertise and interest. This process can take time and it certainly should not be rushed. Far too many researchers begin with the notion that they would like to study a subject which is of no interest to any member of the faculty and for which there is little expertise in the institute. When this happens and the new researcher insists on continuing with the chosen subject, the risk profile of the research and subsequent likelihood of obtaining a degree is substantially elevated. In simple terms such circumstances frequently lead to failure.

Another important issue concerning what should be researched relates to constraints imposed by money and time. Clearly some research topics will take much longer than others and they can also consume a very significant amount of funds. For example, a study of airline reservation systems as strategic devices would probably require a research candidate to collect evidence from a number of international air carriers around the world. It could be argued that such a study would require visits to

American Airlines, Delta, United, British Airways, Swissair, Singapore Airlines etc., and collecting data for such a research project would require sizeable funds and a long period of lapsed time. Masters and doctoral students most frequently do not have an abundance of either time or money and therefore relatively modest projects should be undertaken. This does not detract from the notion that the such research must deliver material value resulting in a new way of looking at a problem. It is important for the newcomer to research to understand that a relatively small project can lead to very rich insights and thus make a substantial contribution to the body of knowledge. Even doctoral research which clearly must be original, relevant and make a material contribution need not be in the Nobel prize winning category!

In general terms business research is frequently aimed at helping to develop management understanding of how business organisations work. It is frequently suggested that the best business research should deliver guidelines by which individuals in positions of responsibility can manage their business responsibilities more efficiently and effectively.

#### 4. How to research

At the outset it is important to appreciate that the nature of the research process is often relatively unstructured and is frequently unpredictable. It is sometimes described as a voyage of discovery during which the researcher learns much about the subject being researched as well as research methodologies and perhaps even about himself or herself.

One of the major concerns of the researcher is to deliver a convincing or at least a credible answer or solution which will be accepted by his or her peers and thus it is important for the researcher to be able to convince that audience that the approach to the research has been sound<sup>5</sup>. This requires an understanding of the nature of the processes required to create knowledge.

To claim that a valuable or significant addition has been made to the collection of knowledge, the researcher should comply with the *scientific method*<sup>6</sup>, i.e. an informal but *strict* set of rules that have evolved to ensure the integrity, reliability and reproducibility of the research work. This is not easy because there are almost as many definitions of science<sup>7</sup> as there are scientists. In fact

"Scientists have not yet settled among themselves on a single model of what science is." (Lee, 1989).

But perhaps the most succinct and useful definition of science is the following offered by Einstein (1950):

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> As well as convincing his/her audience it is off course essential that the researcher also convinces him/herself in the first place of the soundness of the research finding and the research methodology.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Many business and management researchers associate the term *scientific method* with an empirical and positivistic approach to research. This does not have to be the case as will be explained later in this paper.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> In business and management studies, undertaking a doctorate and perhaps even a masters degree is essentially embarking upon an apprenticeship as a scientist, albeit a social scientist.

"Science is the attempt to make the chaotic diversity of our sense-experience correspond to a logically uniform system of thought. In this system single experiences must be correlated with the theoretical structure in such a way that the resulting co-ordination is unique and convincing."

However Einstein does not tell us how this order in our understanding of the world is to be brought about, and it is as well to begin with a caution from Born (1950):

"There is no philosophical high-road in science with epistemological sign-posts. No, we are in a jungle and find our way by trial and error, building our road behind us as we proceed. We do not find sign-posts at cross-roads, but our own scouts erect them to help the rest."

To complicate matters further the process of carrying out research is itself highly subjective, depending on the intuition and the inspiration of the researcher. In the words of Gould (1980):

"Science is not an objective, truth-directed machine, but a quintessentially human activity, affected by passion, hopes, and cultural biases. Cultural traditions of thought strongly influence scientific theories."

Bearing in mind these cautions and warnings, it is nevertheless possible to develop some guidelines which those engaged in research may find useful and may wish to follow.

#### 5. Research methodologies in perspective

Before discussing the guidelines available to those wishing to engage in business and management research it is useful to put the issue of research and its methodologies into perspective.

#### 5.1 Research into the physical and natural world

Research into the physical and natural world is an ancient human activity. Its roots go back before recorded time. It is reasonable to speculate that the first researchers were active perhaps 10,000 years ago. By somewhere about 7,000 BC our pre-historic researchers had learnt something about agriculture and maybe animal husbandry. Some time after this date, about 2,000 years later, our ancestors had begun to work out for themselves the rudiments of architecture, and by about 3,000 BC some early forms of cities begin to appear. Although a substantial body of knowledge had been accumulated by this stage in human development it is probably correct to say that the more formal scientific process really should be considered to have begun in earnest at the time of the *Golden Age* of ancient Greece around about 600 BC (McKeon 1994; Trundle 1994).

Unfortunately the scientific tradition in the western world cannot be regarded as having been continuous from this date. For a number of hundreds of years, a period which is sometimes referred to as the Dark Ages, little if any serious research or

science was undertaken. The roots of modern research can be regarded as dating from the experiments undertaken by Kepler (1571-1630), Galileo (1564-1642) and Newton (1642-1727) (Bynum (ed) 1982). Thus, the modern physical sciences have had a solid tradition of experimental research, and largely experimental laboratory research, for some 300 to 400 years.

The result of this is that the methods of research into the physical and natural sciences are well understood and well agreed by such scientists. This is so much the case that the rules of scientific experiments are seldom explicitly taught to aspirant natural scientists. Those beginning a career as a research scientist in physics, chemistry, botany or even medicine learn the scientific method by practising well established experiments. In fact its methods have become internalised for the physical or natural scientist and thus novices are not taught explicitly but rather only by example. Research scientists and research engineers are so close to their research methodology that they seldom discuss it as part of their post graduate degrees. They argue that if methodology has to be taught, it is then, by definition, suspect. These physical and natural scientists focus on the fact that their work should show how the issues being studied play a part in the greater scheme of the universe and how their findings are generalisable.

This approach reflects their conviction in the correctness of their research methodologies which have been developed over a period of hundreds of years. This is not quite the case in the social sciences.

#### 5.2 Research in the social world

Although it is clear that the ten commandments of the Judeo-Christian faiths, dating back some 3000 years, are a social charter needed to keep a society together, and thus most probably the result of some research. Also the forms of government adopted in ancient China, Egypt and Greece to mention only three civilisations are undoubtedly the product of political and social enquiry. In addition outstanding contributions to social science have been made by Plato, Aristotle, Saint Augustine and Thomas Aquinas and many others through the ages. Nontheless social science in any rigorous sense is perhaps only in the order of 200 years old. In fact it might be argued that modern social science is a phenomenon of the twentieth century and thus has less than 100 years experience behind it. Of course research into business and management is even more recent with the Hawthorne (Parsons 1992) experiments in the late 1920s and early 1930s probably being one of the first structured business research studies.

Because research into business and management is so relatively recent there is much concern about the validity of the methods used by its practitioners and thus much attention is given to the methods employed to justify the claim that something material and valuable has been added to the body of accumulated knowledge. As a result research methodology is explicitly taught to those undertaking business and management studies.

Furthermore any material research in business or management, such as that undertaken for a masters or a doctoral degree, requires that the methodology used be clearly spelt out, perhaps in a chapter of its own (Remenyi 1990), so that the results of the research are convincing or at least credible. This care and attention to methodology reflects the social scientists lack of conviction, at least in a relative

sense to the natural scientists, of the correctness of their methodologies<sup>8</sup>. This attention to methods is especially true when more sophisticated techniques which go beyond traditional experiments are being employed by business and management researchers. After all, little was added to the body of knowledge through simple experiments like those carried out at Hawthorne where the intensity of the factory lighting available to the shop floor staff was altered and changes in the staff's productivity was recorded. More sophisticated methods are required to add to the understanding of the complex issues in business and management.

Some physical and natural scientists argue that social science is not real or proper science because the work of the social scientist seldom results in developing general laws which are robust under a wide variety of situations. This view is generally regarded, especially by social scientists as being mis-informed. Firstly, the laws developed by the physical and natural scientists are not as general as they sometimes claim and secondly a degree of generality is intrinsically built into the laws developed by the social scientist even when generalisation is not a key issue. This occurs because once a phenomenon has been identified, even only once, the probability of it being unique is so low as to make it almost impossible. In fact there is a growing confidence among social scientists that their work is fully scientific and that in some cases the traditional physical and natural scientists are actually being left behind because of their reluctance to consider new ways of thinking about scientific methods.

#### 6. Empirical versus theoretical research

There are many different taxonomies of research approaches. One of the most commonly used is that which differentiates research into empirical or theoretical studies. Empirical is defined by the Shorter Oxford English Dictionary as "Based on, or guided by, the results of observation or experiment only", while it defines theoretical as "Contemplative, of the mind or intellectual faculties".

Modern empiricism is regarded to have begun with John Locke's (1632-1704) clear attack on metaphysics in his essay "Concerning Human Understanding", published in 1690. Empiricism is also clearly discussed as a central philosophical issue by Immanuel Kant (1724–1804) in his work (Kenny 1994).

A large amount of academic research conducted today is based on empirical techniques<sup>9</sup>. This is true for both the physical and natural world as well as the social world. The rationale behind this bias for empiricism is a philosophical assumption that evidence, as opposed to thought or discourse, is required to be able to make a satisfactory claim to have added to the body of knowledge. Of course it is not always easy to collect usable evidence which can lead to believable results (Millar 1994). In addition the assumption that evidence is the only route to good research or science is by no means universally accepted.

To understand the philosophical argument of the need for evidence, it is necessary to appreciate that these two approaches to research are sometimes held up as being the

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Another interpretation of the physical and natural scientists lack of attention to spelling out their research methodologies is that they are simply arrogant.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> There are a number of varieties of empirical approaches to research which include inter alia realism, Instrumentalism and nomothicism to mention only three.

two poles which represent the opposite ends of the two major cultures of research. The empiricist goes out into the world and observes through experiment or perhaps just by relatively passive observation what is happening. As a result of studying these observations and collecting evidence related thereto, and then drawing conclusions therefrom, a claim is made that the researcher has added to the body of knowledge.

On the other hand, the research theorist studies the subject through the writings of others and through discourse with learned or informed individuals who can comment on the subject area, usually without any direct involvement in observation of behaviour and the collection of actual evidence. The theorist reflects on these ideas and using his/her intellectual capabilities constructs another or different view of the situation which sometimes may be regarded as a new theory. At the end of the theorist's work conclusions are also drawn and a claim is made that the researcher has added to the body of knowledge.

Although it is clear that these two approaches to research are quite different they are both regarded by a very large number of scientists as perfectly acceptable methods for adding value to the body of knowledge.

If there is any problem in focusing on these two categories of research it is in the fact that it is not particularly useful to think of them as being entirely distinct and being poles apart. In fact in a special sense they are totally intertwined with each other. It is not possible to be an empiricist without having a thorough understanding of the theoretical issues surrounding the subject which will be studied, and about which evidence will be collected. It is well accepted that what is observed is often and largely a function of what is believed and a paradigm or theory may be defined as what is believed. Thus empirical research must be fundamentally rooted in theory and it is in fact impossible to conduct such research without the researcher taking a specific theoretical standpoint.

On the other hand theoretical research, although not directly based on evidence collected from observation also relies on ideas which have at some previous point been based on specific observations or original evidence collected by means of empirical work. In simple terms theoretical research does not occur in a vacuum. It is rather the result of thinking about the findings of previous empirical research as well as theoretical work.

Some scientists regard the relationship between theoretical and empirical work to be difficult and they are especially concerned about how theory and data relate to one another, which they describe as a dilemma. This dilemma is sometimes stated as the problem of which comes first, data<sup>10</sup> or theory. The proposition is that theory cannot be generated without data and data cannot be collected without a theoretical framework. This is a persistent dilemma which is probably not resolvable and is sometimes referred to as the paradox of data and theory. In practise there is a sort of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> The term data is regarded with suspicion by some researchers because it is derived for the Latin word Do. Do, Dare, Dedi, Datum is the Latin equivalent of the English verb to give. Thus the original meaning of data, which is the plural of datum, is given. Researchers need to ensure that they minimise their assumptions or givens and in so doing they sometimes try to avoid the word data. The word

symbiotic relationship between these two aspects of research which reinforce each other. There are always theoretical assumptions associated with the collection of evidence and there are always evidence dimensions underpinning theory.

Thus in general far too much is made of the distinction between empirical and theoretical research as both are central to any significant research activity and both are actually required to make any real scientific progress.

Empirical research is the dominant research paradigm in business and management research. Theoretical research plays a lesser role today and it would be difficult, although not impossible, to obtain a senior degree from a major university on the basis of a theoretical research paradigm alone.

Empirical research is frequently associated with a positivist view which has sometimes been described as a tough minded approach to facts and figures, derived from the physical and natural sciences. This view is not actually correct as empiricism can be either positivist or phenomenologist in nature.

#### **6.1** Characteristics of a positivist

Being a positivist or more correctly a logical positivist implies that the researcher is working with an observable social reality and that the end product of such research can be the derivation of laws or law-like generalisations similar to those produced by the physical and natural scientists.

Positivism came into its own with the work of Auguste Comte (1798-1857) who outlined an approach to positivism in his "Course in Positive Philosophy", published in six volumes between 1830 and 1842.

This philosophical stance or paradigm sees the researcher as an objective analyst and interpreter of a tangible social reality. Some of the assumptions underlying positivism are independence of the researcher from the researched, determinism i.e. there are causes and effects, the criticality of evidence, parsimony and the ability to generalise or model, especially in the mathematical sense of modelling. The emphasis of positivism is on quantifiable observations which lend themselves to statistical analysis.

#### 6.2 Phenomenology

The positivist approach to research needs to be contrasted with the phenomenological approach. According to Cohen & Manion (1987)

"Phenomenology is a theoretical point of view that advocates the study of direct experience taken at face value; and one which sees behaviour as determined by the phenomena of experience rather than by external, objective and physically described reality."

The phenomenological school of thought is regarded to have been launched by Franz Brentano (1838-1917) and developed by Edmund Husserl (1859-1938) who set out the basic methods of phenomenology in his work "Logical Investigations". Unlike the

positivist, the phenomenologist<sup>11</sup> does not consider the world to be objective but instead focuses on the primacy of subjective consciousness. Each situation is seen as totally unique and its meaning is a function of the circumstances and the individuals involved. To the phenomenologist<sup>12</sup> the researcher is not independent of what is being researched but is an intrinsic part of it. The world is not essentially deterministic, but rather stochastic, and parsimony is not a central issue. The phenomenologist believes that the world can be modelled, but not necessarily in a mathematical sense. A verbal or diagrammatic or descriptive model could be acceptable.

To use a phenomenological approach the researcher has to look beyond the details of the situation to understand the essences working behind them. The researcher constructs a meaning in terms of the situation being studied. Furthermore the phenomenologist understands that the world is not composed of a single objective reality, but rather is composed of a series of multiple realities, all of which should be understood and taken into account. Each reality is an artefact in its own right. It is generally of little interest to the phenomenologist that his or her work will not lead to law-like generalisations in the same sense as that of the positivist. Thus for the phenomenologist the world is socially constructed.

This research paradigm is sometimes described as the descriptive/interpretative approach which believes that every event studied is a unique incident in its own right. In this school of thought there is nothing else other than phenomena and the essence of a phenomenon is understood intuitively. It is not usually possible or desirable to spell out a priori the steps in a phenomenological study in the same way as one can for a positivist research programme. The approach to phenomenology unfolds as the research proceeds. Early evidence collection suggests how to proceed to the subsequent phase of evidence collection, as does the interpretation of the evidence itself. Rich or thick descriptions are sought which are the building blocks of the argument that the researcher then develops.

Although this point is not universally accepted, it is sometimes believed that this type of research is not readily conducive to generalisations other than the type which states that as the phenomenon has been shown to exist or occur at least once it is most probable that it will exist or occur again. This is a fairly popular research paradigm in social science which is of growing importance in the business and management arena and is sometimes referred to as Hermeneutics.

Once a research has made a choice between empiricism and phenomenology it is not uncommon for there to be a fervent adherence to the approach chosen, often leading acrimonious debate.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> There are a number of varieties of phenomenology including but not limited to hermeneutics, interpretist and naturalist methods.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Phenomenology is often thought of as being only relevant to the social sciences and not to the physical or natural sciences. However in physics itself the state of sub-atomic particles is determined in part by the act of measurement so that atomic 'reality' is dependent on the measuring process. In addition quantum mechanics has drawn physics away from its traditional empiricist position to one which comes quite close to phenomenology. Some quantum physicists go so far as to argue that the mental state of the researcher directly influences the experiment.

#### 6.3 Differences and similarities between positivism and phenomenology

It may be argued that positivism and phenomenology are not totally different in their impact on research and in the generalisability of their findings. This argument is as follows.

One of the key tenants of positivism is that it takes a reductionist approach to exploring the relationships between the variables being studied. This is necessary in order to be able to control an experiment or an investigation and thus be able to understand how the variables concerned are behaving. This reductionist approach must by its very nature lead to simplifications of the real world environment in which the variables naturally or usually exist. This simplification means that the results of positive research report on a situation or setting in which some of the complicating factors have been stripped out.

When the research has been concluded and the findings proclaimed they are at best an indication of how the real world will actually behave because they are based on a reduced set of variables. Thus these findings would not be per se generalisable to the real world until the research has been replicated a number of times. It is important that the replications are made by different groups of researchers, under different conditions and at different times (Wessley 1994). Now each replication may be seen as the researcher taking another still photograph of the situation and this process is repeated until enough evidence has been collected to make some sort of generalisation. In addition, because in reality the world is essentially not deterministic in any absolute sense, the results of repeated research will generally not produce identical results. Some sort of accommodation must be made for the presence of unexplained fluctuations in the results which are usually referred to as errors. Before the positivist's work will be accepted as a valuable addition to the body of knowledge he/she must argue convincingly that the findings are valid and that the errors are random.

On the other hand a phenomenologist approach to research is not reductionist but holistic. This approach to research allows much more complicated situations to be examined. It involves itself not only in as many as possible of the variables being studied but also the context of the study. Thus part of the context of any research study is the nature of the researcher and the characteristics of the setting. These issues are included in a phenomenological study, while they would be removed from a positivist study. At the end of the research study the phenomenological researcher has also produced a still photograph of the variables being studied. Although this photograph is much more sophisticated than the one obtained by the positivist it achieves approximately the same result. It is one view of a set of variables. Like positivist research, such a study needs to be replicated before any law-like generalisations can be made.

By definition, it is more difficult to replicate such holistic studies. Generalisations are much more problematical. Nonetheless similar studies may be undertaken and if these studies produce consistent findings which support an emerging theory, it may be granted some degree of general validity. Under these circumstances there is likely to be much greater variation in the results of different studies and thus a higher degree of error. However in exactly the same way as with the positivist, before the

phenomenonlogist's work will be accepted as being a valuable addition to the body of knowledge, he/she must argue convincingly that the findings are valid and that the errors are random.

Sometimes a distinction is made that the positivist's findings can be modelled, whilst the phenomenologist's findings cannot. This view is a misunderstanding of the concept of a model. A map of the world is no less a model than is y=mx+c, which is the model of a straight line. Admittedly the positivist's model is more likely to be expressed mathematically than the phenomenologist's, which is usually expressed either in words or in diagrams. But both are models and are capable of being used to explore different assumptions.

However, ultimately perhaps the only really significant difference between positivist and phenomenological research is the degree of error which needs to be explained and thus accommodated.

Collins (1994) provides an interesting insight into this process when he says,

"It is important to note that there is always a judgement to be made. That scientific discoveries are not made at a single point in time and at single places and with single demonstrations. They are made through a process of argument and disagreement. They are made with the scientific community coming slowly toward a consensus."

Thus whether a positivist approach or a phenomenological approach is being employed the researcher can expect to have to strongly argue for his/her case as it is unlikely that it will be readily accepted without a convincing set of reasons.

Seeing positivism and phenomenology as related concepts instead of being two distinctly different poles on a continuum is useful. This view, together with the understanding that empirical and theoretical research are also not distinct poles on a continuum, helps to see research methods as a pool of tools or research directions from which the researcher may draw appropriate help as and when required. This approach to understanding these difficult issues of empiricism, theoretical research, positivism and phenomenology could allow these methodologies to be mixed and matched from a triangulation perspective in order to help validate findings, even within one research project.

#### 7. Different approaches to research

Besides the empirical-theoretical classification there are many other different ways of describing research methods. Galliers (1991) provides a list of such approaches in the context of information systems research, a subset of which has been reproduced in Figure 1. It is not suggested that this taxonomy is exhaustive nor that all of these approaches are particularly suitable to all types of business and management researchers who may actually have to operate under a number of restrictions. However it is a useful list for the purposes of extending this discussion within the limits of a paper.

This list may be considered as a set of research tools which are available to the aspirant researcher. It is therefore important for the researcher to be familiar with these tools as they will determine the route to evidence collection, evidence analysis and theory generation. A researcher may use one or more of these tools on different facets of the research work in order to get closer to producing a convincing argument.

Researchers/ approaches	Van Horn (1973)	Hamilton and Ives (1982)	Vogel and Wethbe (1984)	Galliers (1985) Galliers and Land (1987)	Farhoomand (1987)
Laboratory experiments	*13	*	*	*	*
Field	*	*	*	*	*
Experiments					
Surveys	*	*	*	*	*
Case studies	*	*	*	*	*
Action research				*	
Longitudinal				*	
Forecasting/				*	
futures research					
Simulation				*	

Figure 1: Some approaches to research as described by Galliers

#### 7.1 Laboratory experiments

In the first place although laboratory experiments are available to business and management researchers, they are not of much use in practice except in very limited or specific circumstances. In general the issues which are of interest to business and management researchers cannot be studied in laboratory settings. Organisations and even individual managers will not usually collaborate with such experiments.

Laboratory experiments are nonetheless sometimes employed to answer very specific questions such as how certain decisions are made concerning various aspects of managerial choice. This approach is sometimes also used to explore an idea before embarking on a major survey or case study project. In business and management research, laboratory experiments are used far more frequently in the United States of America than in other parts of the world (Tung & Heminger 1993).

#### 7.2 Field experiments

\_

Field Experiments are more common in business and management research. The famous Hawthorne studies were field experiments which provided insight into worker productivity issues. However there are definite limits to how important a question can be addressed through this research technique. It is not usually possible to persuade an organisation to deploy a computer system as a field experiment so that researchers may study its impact on efficiency or effectiveness. Similarly, it is seldom the case that an organisation will change its marketing policy in order to understand how this

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> The \* indicates which author/authors regard these methodologies as relevant to research in the field of information systems.

policy change will effect the market. However a type of field experiment may be conducted around a change of policy or a new investment. Thus field experiments do have an important role in business and management research and this will be fully explained in the second paper of this series.

In general, business and management studies research is no longer largely or even substantially experimental, either laboratory or field, but rather based on observation of actual business and management functions as they happen or as they have happened. Experiments are frequently regarded as too artificial in the business and management world to be of any real applicability.

#### 7.3 The survey

Surveys are a very common approach to research in business and management. Surveys which are defined for the purpose of this paper to be composed of questionnaires offer an opportunity to collect large quantities of data or evidence (Oppenheim 1966). Questionnaires allow evidence to be gathered concerning *how much* or *how long* or *when* but are not really of great value when the researcher is asking about *how* or *why*. Thus as a general rule the nature of the evidence which may be collected by means of a questionnaire is often regarded as relatively superficial, especially in comparison to the evidence which it is possible to collect from other techniques such as case studies or personal interviews.

#### 7.4 Case studies

The case study methodology is a way of establishing valid and reliable evidence for the research process. It is a research strategy for the social scientist in a similar sense as experiments are a research strategy for the natural scientist (Kasanen & Suomi 1987; Smith 1990; Jocher 1928/29).

Yin (1984) states that:-

"a case study from a research strategy point of view may be defined as an empirical inquiry that investigates a contemporary phenomenon within its real life context, when the boundaries between phenomenon and the context are not clearly evident, and in which multiple sources of evidence are used. It is particularly valuable in answering **who, why** and **how** questions in management research."

According to Bell (1987) the case study methodology has also been described as an umbrella term for a family of research methods having in common the decision to focus on an inquiry around a specific instance or event. The philosophy behind the case study is that sometimes only by looking carefully at a practical, real life instance can a full picture be obtained of the actual interaction of variables or events. The case study allows the investigator to concentrate on specific instances in an attempt to identify detailed interactive processes which may be crucial, but which are transparent to the large scale survey. Thus it is the aim of the case study to provide a three dimensional picture of the situation. It can illustrate relationships, corporate political issues and patterns of influence in a particular context.

Case studies are a very important approach for business and management researchers and much masters and doctoral research work is conducted using this method.

#### 7.5 Action research

Action research usually involves a small scale intervention on the part of the researcher in the phenomenon being studied. Thus in this research paradigm the researcher becomes actively involved with the situation or phenomenon being researched (Aguinis 1993; Ledford & Mohrman 1993).

Action research is participatory and very specific. An important feature of action research is that it is self-evaluative. It is most important for this type of research for the researcher to be aware of the impact which his/her presence has on the situation. Depending on the circumstances, quantitative or qualitative analytical techniques may be required to analyse the evidence being collected. This approach which is also sometimes referred to or closely related to participant observer research, is relatively new but its popularity is increasing.

#### 7.6 Longitudinal research

Longitudinal research studies are conducted over a considerable period of time, perhaps several years. Such studies will monitor the progress of a situation to see how it develops as a result of a series of interventions over time (Pettigrew 1985). This paradigm is not extensively used in business and management research as the time period required will frequently extend beyond what is reasonable for a doctoral degree or the cost of the study will be prohibitive.

#### 7.7 Forecasting/futures research

Forecasting research is often associated with regression and time series analysis (Collopy & Armstrong 1992; Sutrick 1993). This technique allows projections to be made on the basis of past evidence. This is usually a highly quantitative approach to research. Futures research also looks ahead using techniques such as scenario projections and Delphi studies (McCarthy 1992; Maital 1993; Goldfisher 1993). These approaches are generally not extensively used except in a number of specialised areas such as technology and finance.

#### 7.8 Simulation

Simulation is the method used to investigate situations which do not readily lend themselves to a deterministic analytical treatment. Sometimes simulation can be used as a substitute for a laboratory or field experiment. Simulation is particularly relevant where there is a requirement for the evaluation of formal mathematical relationships under a large variety of assumptions (Freedman 1992; Reiman, Simon & Willie, 1992). There is not a very high degree of utilisation of this research paradigm in business or management research except where mathematical modelling is a key part of the study.

#### 8. Getting started

It is not sensible to decide on a research methodology too early in the research process. The research methodology should emerge as a result of the literature review

and the debate between the aspirant researcher and his/her supervisor. Constraints of cost and time are also important in deciding on which specific research methodology is ultimately employed.

As mentioned above, probably most research in the business and management area will be empirical and much of this will have a positivist orientation, although it must be acknowledged that there is a growing interest in phenomenology. Although it is impossible to provide a general rule it is probable that studies focusing on the *hows* and *whys* and whose objectives are to describe and understand general management issues, policy development and strategic deployments may require a more phenomenological approach than those studies considering the more functional aspects of subjects looking to predict and explain how disciplines such as marketing, operations management or finance actually work.

Some researchers will occasionally try to combine some aspects of positivism with some of the techniques of phenomenology. Thus the research could begin with case studies which are interpreted using hermeneutics and proceed with a broader validation using a survey and sampling approach.

Although a researcher should utilise these tools where they are most appropriate, frequently the choice of methods is a function of the background and education of the researcher. It would appear that those individuals who have scientific, engineering or mathematical education will tend to positivist approaches, whilst those with sociological or educational backgrounds will tend to phenomenology.

It may be said that there are five general phases to most research projects. These are the literature review, formalising a research question, evidence collection, analysis of evidence, and developing conclusions.

Sometime the most difficult part of any research project is finding the starting point. This is especially true when a phenomenological approach is being taken as a clear problem definition sometimes only emerges after extensive research has been completed. Once this has been achieved much of what follows may be regarded as relatively routine, although it is true to say that good research always benefits from a substantial degree of creativity during each of its five phases and that few researchers will finish a major project such as a doctorate dissertation without encountering the occasional surprise.

#### **8.1** The literature review

In the first instance the researcher should have some idea of the area in which the research is to be carried out. However it is quite important, at the outset of the research process, not to be too specific. Thus if the researcher wished to look at strategic information systems, for example, this would be specific enough at the very early stage of the research project. The next step is to review the literature in some detail. This means reading as much of the academically published material on the subject as possible. Thus the literature emphasis has to be placed on papers published in academically reviewed journals. The popular press and even textbooks should be given relatively low emphasis here. Of course it is sometimes the case that the topic is

so new or novel that the popular press or videos have be used, but in such cases support for views expressed in these media should be found from experts in the field.

The literature review should indicate a suitable problem to research as well as giving the researcher some idea of the research methods or approaches which have been used in this field (Creswell 1994). It is important to note that the literature should be critically evaluated and not just accepted on face value. It is this critical evaluation which may lead to a suitable research question.

By the end of the literature review the researcher should have a vision of what he/she wishes to achieve in their research. This vision should spell out the type of result which the researcher wants to achieve.

#### 8.1.1 Choosing the methodology

There are many factors to be considered when choosing an appropriate research methodology. In the first place the literature review should reveal not only a suitable problem to be researched but also a suitable methodology which has been applied to this type of research question before. This certainly implies that the researcher is familiar with the range of methodologies available, as well as knowing something about their strengths and weaknesses.

The topic to be researched is one of the primary drivers to the choice of methodology. As a general rule precedent should be followed, although this may be abandoned if a suitable case can be made for a new methodological approach. The research culture in the institute is also an important determining factor, as is the skill and interest of the researcher's supervisor. Other stakeholders may also be influential such as the funders of the research.

A certain amount of business and management researchers use multi-methodologies. Thus for example case studies may be used to establish a grounded theory (Glassier & Strauss 1967), a survey may be used to confirm a theoretical conjecture and a longitudinal study may be employed to see if the effect of some action research is sustained.

The choice of methodology may change during the research project. It may be seen as a journey in which the researcher may develop from one paradigm to another as his/her understanding of the research problem changes.

In choosing the research paradigm the researcher must be cognisant of the weakness of their preferred approach. They must be aware of the reaction of their stakeholders. But perhaps most of all they need to be able to satisfy their own ideological preferences.

Finally it is worth pointing out that the issue of time and money is most important, especially with regards masters and doctoral research. Compromises will regularly have to be made between what would be ideal and what is practical.

#### 8.2 Formalising a research question

The literature review should reveal problems or areas of incomplete knowledge in the field of interest. These problems will first manifest themselves as research questions which need to be reduced to a formal research problem in such a way that it is testable. This means developing a theoretical conjecture and deriving from this a set of either hypotheses or empirical generalisations. This is discussed in full in the second paper of this series.

#### **8.3** Evidence collection

A suitable evidence collection strategy is required and the researcher may choose from those listed above, such as case study or survey to mention only two. In general, business and management researchers ask questions using *how* and *why* evidence collection strategies which focus on these sorts of questions. These tend to be of more value than those which concern themselves with questions of *how much* or *when* and vice versa. Some research questions lend themselves to qualitative data, while others require more quantitative evidence. This is discussed in full in the third paper of this series.

#### 8.4 Analysis of evidence

Once the evidence has been collected it is necessary to analyse it. The approach to evidence analysis varies enormously. It depends upon whether quantitative or qualitative evidence has been acquired. It depends upon the mathematical sophistication of the researcher. In general much analysis may be performed with relatively little mathematical or statistical background.

#### 8.5 Conclusions of the research

Writing the conclusions is sometimes the most creative part of a research project. The conclusions must convince the reader that something of value has been added to the body of knowledge. As Collins (1994) points out, the conclusions deduced from the research need to be carefully argued in such a way that they will convince the research community, which in the case of masters or doctoral research, will be the supervisor and perhaps the funder.

The conclusions in business and management research should offer advice to practising managers as to how to conduct their business and management practices more efficiently and more effectively. It is usually considered that good research results are those which are put to use and which remain in use for some time. Bad or poor research results are either not used at all or are only used for a short period. The conclusions section of a dissertation will usually suggest some ideas for further research.

#### 9. Summary and conclusions

It is necessary for a researcher to be concerned with a number of philosophical questions concerning *why*, *what* and *how* to research. This is because a researcher has to be able to convince an audience that by his/her research efforts something of value has been added to the body of knowledge. The researcher's audience is often highly

critical, being composed of examiners, funders or colleagues. Sound answers to these questions rely on the philosophical underpinning of the research process.

Selecting and using a research methodology is not a simple matter for the business and management researcher. There is a considerable range of approaches to choose from. In the first place the key issues of empiricism and theory must be understood and addressed. Then there are the issues of positivism and phenomenology which have to be understood and resolved.

Within these contexts the researcher has then to choose a set of research tools which will help collect evidence, analyse evidence and produce findings.

Methodology choice is certainly a function of the topic being researched as well as the education of the researcher and the culture and skills available in the institute. Compromises always have to be made and these may concern time and money.

The issues discussed in this paper are not simple. They require an understanding of the nature of academic research and an ability to put these issues into a philosophical context. Some researchers find this view difficult to grasp and question why it is necessary. Where a doctor of philosophy (PhD) degree is being pursued the answer is in the title of the degree. To hold a PhD an individual should have his/her own philosophical stance towards their research clear in their mind. However the same is still true for other doctorate degrees and even partly true for masters degrees. Perhaps this is actually true for any level of education?

#### **Reading list**

Aguinis H, Action research and scientific method: Presumed discrepancies and actual similarities, Journal of Applied Behavioural Science, Vol. 29 Iss: 4, Dec 1993 p. 416-431

Bell J, Doing Your Research Project A guide for first-time researchers in education and social science, Open University Press, 1987

Born, M., cited in The Nature of Physical Reality, Margenau, H., Mc-Graw Hill, New York, 1950.

Bynum W, Browne E & Porter R, Dictionary of the History of Science, Macmillan Reference Books, London, 1982.

Cohen L & Manion L Research Methods in Education, Second Edition, Croom Helm, London, 1987.

Collins H & Pinch T, The Golem, Canto Cambridge University Press, New York, 1994.

Collins H, A broadcast video on Science Matters entitled Does Science Matter, Open University, BBC, United Kingdom, 1994.

Collopy F & Armstrong J, Expert Opinions About Extrapolation and the Mystery of the Overlooked Discontinuities, International Journal of Forecasting, Vol. 8 Iss: 4, Dec 1992 p: 575-582

Creswell J, Research Design - Qualitative & Quantitative Approaches, SAGE Publications, London 1994.

Easterby-Smith M Thorpe R & Lowe A, Management Research An Introduction, Sage Publications, London, 1994.

Einstein, A., 'The Fundamentals of Theoretical Physics' In Out of my Later Years, (philosophical Library), New York, 1950.

Freeman J, Behind the smoke and mirrors: Gauging the integrity of investment simulations, Financial Analysts Journal, Vol. 48 Iss. 6, Nov/Dec 1992 p. 26-31

Galliers R, Information Systems Research, Alfred Waller, Henley-on Thames, 1991.

Glassier, B. G., & Strauss, A. L., The Discovery of Grounded Theory, Aldine Inc., Chicago, 1967.

Goldfisher K, Modified Delphi: A concept for new product forecasting, Journal of Business Forecasting, Vol: 11 Iss: 4, Winter 1992-1993 p: 10-11

Gould, S. J., The Panda's Thumb, W.W. Norton and Co., London, 1980.

Jocher C, The Case Method In Social Research, Social Forces Journal, 1928/9

Kasanen E, & Suomi R, The Case Method in Information Systems Research, Liiketaloudellinen Aikakauskirja - Foretagsekonomisk The Finish Journal of Business Economics. 1987

Kenny A, Oxford Illustrated History of Western Philosophy, p368, ed. Anthony Kenny, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1994

Ledford G & Mohrman S, Looking backward and forward at action research, Human Relations, Vol: 46 Iss: 11, Nov 1993 p: 1349-1359

Lee, A. S., MIS Quarterly, A Scientific Methodology for MIS Case Studies, March 1989, Vol 13 No 1.

Leedy, P. D., Practical Research - Planning and Design, Macmillan Publishing Company, New York, 1989.

Maital S, Oracles at work, Across the Board, Vol. 30 Iss. 5, Jun 1993 p. 52-53

McCarthy K, Comment on the 'Analytic Delphi Method', International Journal of Production Economics, Vol. 27 Iss. 2, May 1992 p. 135-136

McKeon R; Owen D (ed); McKeon Z (ed), On Knowing -- The Natural Sciences, University of Chicago Press, Chicago 1994

Millar R, A broadcast video on Science Matters entitled Does Science Matter, Open University, BBC, United Kingdom, 1994.

Oppenheim, A. N., Questionnaire Design and Attitude Measurement, Gower, New York, 1966.

Parsons H, Hawthorne: An Early OBM Experiment, Journal of Organizational Behaviour Management, Vol. 12 Iss. 1, 1992 p. 27-43

Pascale R, Managing at the Edge, Penguin Books, London, 1990.

Pettigrew A, Contextualist research: A natural way to link theory and practice in E E Lawler (ed) Doing Research that is useful in theory and practice, Jossey Bass, San Francisco, 1985.

Reiman M, Simon B & Willie J, Simterpolation: A Simulation Based Interpolation Approximation for Queuing Systems, Operations Research, Vol. 40 Iss: 4, Jul/Aug 1992 p: 706-723

Remenyi D & Williams B, Some aspects of ethics and research into artificial intelligence, A working paper published by the Department of Information Systems, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, 1995.

Remenyi, D, Strategic Information Systems Current Practice and Guidelines, Unpublished Doctoral Dissertation, Henley Management College, United Kingdom, 1990.

Smith N, The Case Study: a useful research method for information management, Journal of Information Technology, No 5, 123-133, 1990.

Sutrick K, Reducing the bias in empirical studies due to limit moves Journal of Futures Markets, Vol. 13 Iss: 5, Aug 1993 p. 527-543

Trundle R, Ancient Greek Philosophy: Its Development and Relevance to Our Time, Ashgate, Brookfield 1994

Tung L & Heminger A, The effects of dialectical inquiry, devil's advocacy, and consensus inquiry methods in a GSS environment, Information & Management Vol: 25 Iss: 1, Jul 1993 p: 33-41

Wessley S, A broadcast video on Science Matters entitled Does Science Matter, Open University, BBC, United Kingdom, 1994.

Yin R K, Case Study Research - Design and Methods, Sage Publications, 1989

### Glossary

(Terms extracted, or adapted, from *Dictionary of the History of Science*, edited by Brunum, Browne and Porter, Published by McMillan, 1982)

**Empiricism** - An ensemble of theories of explanation, definition and justification, to the effect that our concepts or knowledge are derived from or explicated (or justified) in terms of *sense-experience* (or introspection). It was initially a reaction to Platonic and Cartesian doctrines of 'innate ideas' and 'natural intuition', but is characteristic of positivism generally.

**Hermeneutics** - Study of the methodological principles of interpretation and explanation of written texts, deriving principally from scholarship in the exegesis of Holy and Sacred writings, and increasingly applied to broader fields. The method of understanding by Hermeneutics, similar to that of *Verstehn*, contrasts with the methods usually presupposed to hold in natural science. In the former understanding comes through 'getting within the object'; in the latter by subsuming the object within the operation of a more general set of laws. These differences may not be as real as is sometimes supposed.

**Instrumentalism** - The view that a scientific theory is nothing more than a device or instrument for yielding correct predictions about the course of nature and that theories must therefore be assessed not as true or false, but only as effective or ineffective as prediction. Some instrumentalists, also known as fictionalists, think that theories are never true. Other instrumentalists think that some scientific theories might possibly be true but since we have no means of deciding whether or not they are we should avoid any debate about the truth of the theories and simply confine attention to questions about their usefulness.

**Metaphysics** - A multi-faceted term, originally used to refer to the subject of Aristotle's untitled texts dealing with 'first philosophy' interpreted by his early editors 'as coming after the things of nature'. Following the positivist philosophy of science it is accepted that any developing scientific research programme depends on one or more metaphysics in a sense related to categorical frameworks not directly testable in experience. Traditional philosophical metaphysics was concerned to provide a comprehensive account of the nature of reality.

Naturalism - Nowadays, naturalism connotes three related views:

- a) the dependence of social, and more generally human, life upon nature i.e. materialism
- b) the susceptibility of these to explanation in essentially the same way i.e. scientifically
- c) the cognate character of statements of fact and value

Naturalism, in the sense of (b) must be distinguished from two extreme species of it: scientism, which claims a complete unity, and reductionism, which asserts an actual identity of subject matter, between the natural and social sciences.

Phenomenology - A phenomenon is something perceived by the senses. Thus phenomenalism claims what we call the physical objects are merely 'permanent possibilities of sensation'. Phenomenology, by contrast, does not deny the reality of

physical objects but argues for a method of studying them as phenomena by 'bracketing out' questions of their existence.

**Positivism** - Positivism was adopted by Comte (1798 - 1857) to express the idea that phenomena were real , useful, certain, precise, organic and relative and that all knowledge consists in the description and coexistence and succession of such phenomena. It became an extremely influential intellectual trend from the mid-19th century forming, until very recently, the generally accepted view of science. Positivism is a theory of the nature, omnicompetence and unity of science. Its most extreme form stipulates

- a) the only valid kind of (non-analytical) knowledge is science
- b) such knowledge consists in the description of the invariant patterns in time and space of observable phenomena
- c) philosophy's task is the analysis and summary of such scientific knowledge

Thus the cognitive credentials of the theory, metaphysics, ethics, aesthetics, religion and non-naturalist social science alike are rejected. As a species of empiricism, it is characterised by a reductionist view of scientific theory, a deductivist notion of scientific laws and a phenomenalist interpretation of scientific experience; its naturalist insistence on the unity of science and the scientistic disavowal of any knowledge apart from science explains its aversion to metaphysics, commitment to a strict fact/value dichotomy and tendency to a historicist conception of the inevitability of scientifically mediated progress.

**Realism** - Scientific realism is the thesis that the objects of scientific knowledge exist and act independently of the knowledge of them. more generally 'realism' asserts the existence of some disputed kind of object or thing (e.g. universals, material objects, scientific laws; propositions, numbers, probabilities) but the three most historically important types of realism are:

- a) Platonic Asserting the existence of abstract entities or universals either outside space and time or as the properties of particular material things
- b) Perceptual Asserting that material objects exist in space and time independent of our perceptions.
- c) Scientific Asserting that the objects of scientific inquiry exist and act independently of scientists and their activity

'Real' is typically contrasted with 'apparent' or with 'imaginary'. Thus a realist may investigate the realities underlying appearances or posit structures meant to be taken as real rather than as imaginary.

**Social sciences** - As in the natural sciences, many of the fundamental aims and ideas of the social sciences can be traced back to antiquity. For a long time the only major sources of secular explanatory principles in the social sciences was a mechanistic atomism. Comte broke radically with traditional or religious explanations with his espousal of a purely positive method based on and limited to observable facts, and a substitution of a religion of humanity for those of God. Two theoretical ideas important to the social sciences are structure (with particular regard to the political structure of the state) and evolution (as conceptualised by Darwin).

#### **Curriculum Vitae**

**Dan Remenyi** PhD is a Visiting Professor at Henley Management College in the United Kingdom and a Visiting Professor of Information Systems at the University of the Witwatersrand. He has spent more than 20 years working in the field of corporate computing and information systems working with computers and communications as an IS professional, business consultant and end-user. In recent years he has specialised in the areas of strategic information systems, measuring the effectiveness of information systems and technology forecasting. He has authored and co-authored more than 20 textbooks in the field of information systems.

# So you want to be an academic researcher in business and management studies!

Where do you start and what are the key philosophical issues to think about?

#### **Abstract**

The most important step an academic researcher takes is establishing a methodological framework in which to conduct the research. This step is difficult because there are a wide number of options available and because established researchers often argue passionately for their own particular approach. This paper discusses the important philosophical questions of *why* research, *what (and where)* to research, and *how* to research. It goes on to discuss the main methodological frameworks available to the business and management researcher, and suggests an approach whereby a Masters or Doctoral student can make a considered decision as to which is best for his/her research. The paper also suggests a practical approach as to how to conduct a research programme for a higher degree.